A comparison of liquid-based cytology and Pap smear as a screening method for cervical cancer
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Abstract. The implementation of population-based screening for cervical cancer with Pap smear in the early sixties was set to detect and treat precancerous lesions, hopefully preventing a subsequent invasive cervical cancer. Epidemiological data indicate that organized screening has a major impact on morbidity and mortality from cervical cancer. The limited sensitivity of a single smear necessitates repeated smears in organized program. It is suggested that liquid-based cytology improves the sensitivity. The aim of this split-sample study was to compare ThinPrep liquid-based cytology with conventional Pap smear, relying on a laboratory with long-term experience of the latter. In total, 137 women with atypical Pap smear in population-based cervical screening were enrolled for the split-sample study. The performance of both techniques (ThinPrep liquid-based cytology and conventional Pap smear) were compared and validated by a histological follow-up. Women without representative histological biopsy were excluded from the study. Pap smear had sensitivity for detection of CIN2-3 of 47% compared to 66% for liquid-based material. The concordance of the two sampling techniques with the histological diagnosis was 37% and 53%, respectively, this difference being statistically significant. The proportion of reports on atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS) was significantly less in the liquid-based material, 4.3% compared to 8% of the conventional smears. This improved sensitivity in combination with the possibility to perform reflex testing such as HPV DNA or p16 immunocytochemistry without renewed sampling gives ThinPrep a substantial advantage and makes the liquid-based technique interesting.

Introduction

Only second to breast cancer, cervical carcinoma is the most common malignancy among women worldwide (1-3). Its high mortality makes cervical cancer an important public health problem. Epidemiological and molecular biological studies have shown that persistent infection with high-risk HPV is necessary in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer (4). At present, most cervical carcinomas are considered to harbour oncogenic types of HPV (5) type 16, 18, 45, 31 and 33 being the most frequently identified viruses in these lesions (6). Early detection of cervical cellular changes and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) followed by appropriate treatment will reduce the risk of developing cancer. This was first made possible in the early 1940s by the introduction of the Papanicolaou (Pap) smear. Since the early sixties, population-based screening with Pap smears has been used to detect precancerous lesions. Epidemiological data show that organized screening with Pap smear has had a major impact on both morbidity and mortality from cervical cancer. In Sweden, nearly one million cytology tests are performed annually, of which 3-4% show some form of cellular atypia. Subsequently, this has lead to a reduction of ~75% in the incidence of cervical carcinoma (7).

The technique is fairly simple and inexpensive; however, there is room for improvements in sensitivity which varies between 50-70%. The high false-negative rate concerns several factors associated with both sampling and interpretation. Conventional Pap smears can sometimes be difficult to interpret due to uneven cell distribution overlapping cells, blood or inflammation.

Our aim was to compare conventional Pap smear to liquid-based cytology (ThinPrep®) during follow-up screening among women with cytologic abnormalities found in the Swedish population-based, organized screening program for cervical cancer. To the best of our knowledge, no studies have been...
published documenting the use of liquid-based cytology in Sweden. The study was approved by the local ethics committee of Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

Materials and methods

Patients. We consecutively enrolled 137 women with any grade of cytologic abnormalities detected at a population-based cervical cancer screening program, their mean age being 35.5 years (median: 34 years; range: 18 to 60 years). The women were examined 2-6 months later with colposcopy at the Gynaecological Department at Karolinska University Hospital Huddinge, Stockholm. A Zeiss OMPI colposcope was used for magnification. The ectocervix and distal part of the endocervix were stained with 5% acetic acid. Punch biopsies were obtained from acetowhite areas. When no acetowhite area was observed, a biopsy at 12 o’clock was taken close to the squamo-columnar junction.

The histological samples were evaluated by the local pathologist and classified according to the WHO (CIN classification). Based on the most severe grade of CIN found, 28 lesions were classified as CIN1, 43 as CIN2, and 34 as CIN3, while squamous cell carcinoma was found in two cases. In 30 women the biopsy failed to demonstrate any epithelial lesion. The sensitivity of the two cytological preparation techniques was evaluated with the histopathology as gold standard.

A split-sample study was designed for evaluation of the performance of the two cytological methods applied to paired specimens. A conventional Pap smear was first produced, and the remaining material on the spatula and in the brush was rinsed off in the liquid medium. The material taken for the ThinPrep® procedure was always the remains from the Pap smear preparation. The liquid-based material was prepared, using the ThinPrep 2000 Processor (Cytyc Corporation, Boxborough, MA, USA) (8). Different cytotechnologists evaluated the two cytological tests independently, the evaluation of the ThinPrep material being performed after practice with this particular material.

Statistical analysis. Chi-square statistics were calculated to test the significance of the data with evident ordering. All statistical tests were two-sided and the null hypothesis of no difference was rejected at a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$. Logistic regression was used to assess the significance of the differences in paired data, for instance, comparison of the sensitivity of cytology Pap smear and ThinPrep testing in the same subjects.

Results

The results from the second ordinary Pap smear from the 137 enrolled women showed atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance (ASCUS) in 11 cases (8%), low grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSIL) in 40 cases (29%), more advanced lesions (high grade squamous intraepithelial lesions; HSIL, undetermined high grade lesion; ASC-H, or malignant cells) in 56 cases (41%). Two cases were reported as atypical glandular lesions (AGUS) while 28 (20%) were considered within normal limits (Fig. 1). With the parallel liquid-based material, ASCUS was reported significantly less often (6 cases, 4%), LSIL was found in 44 cases (32%), while 57 cases (42%) had a more advanced lesion. AGUS was found in 2 cases, while 25 cases (18%) were considered within normal limits. Cases of CIN2+ found from the liquid-based material were considerably more often diagnosed as HSIL, along with less common use of ASC-H.

The results of repeat Pap smears, histology of colposcopically directed biopsies and ThinPrep testing are summarized in Tables I and II. Among the 28 women with a normal second Pap smear, the simultaneous biopsy showed a CIN2+ lesion in 12 cases (43%). Of the 109 women with an atypical second Pap smear, 65 (60%) had a CIN2+ lesion and in 2 cases the histology showed invasive cervical cancer. The ‘false positive rate’ of cytology from 109 women with an atypical second Pap smear was 23% (25/109) (Table I).

Of the 25 women with a normal ThinPrep test, 9 (36%) had a CIN2+ lesion and among 112 women with an abnormal ThinPrep test, 68 (61%) had a CIN2+, while in 23 cases (20%) the biopsy was normal. These results were similar to those obtained by Pap smear (Table II).

In three women where the second Pap smear was classified as ASCUS the histology showed CIN2+. The sensitivity for detecting a CIN2+ lesion with Pap smear was 47% (C.I. $_{95\%}$: 36-58%), compared with 66% (C.I. $_{95\%}$: 55-76%) for ThinPrep testing. The concordance with histopathology was 37% for
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Discussion

During the last decades in Sweden, a combination of organized
and opportunistic screening has achieved a substantial
reduction in the incidence of squamous carcinoma (9,10).
However, Pap smear has a high false-negative rate (11,12). A
review of evidence-based data revealed that as many as 50%
of precancerous cervical lesions may be missed with a single
Pap test (13).

In addition, the abnormal report rate is important for a
successful health control screening; high rates of referral and
samples without definite diagnosis such as ASCUS will
greatly increase the cost of the program. However, patients
who develop invasive cervical carcinoma in spite of their
participation in the screening program repeatedly show milder
lesions (LSIL or ASCUS) in these preceding smears; this
demonstrates the importance of cytological stringency. Liquid-
based cytology, such as the ThinPrep® technique, makes
immediate fixation easier and leaves the cells better visualized.

The ThinPrep test was approved by the Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) 1996 based on split-sample analysis
(14). The rates of squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL),
low-grade as well as high-grade, and glandular lesions both
increased with ThinPrep test, as has been demonstrated by
numerous studies (15-19). However, some studies do not
support the implementation of LBC at present and further
studies are recommended in order to evaluate the place of
this technology in the clinic (20,21). The sensitivity for
detection of invasive cancer was similar for the two sampling
techniques (22). In a systematic review by Davey et al, 56
primary studies were reviewed. The authors did not find
liquid-based cytology to be more accurate than conventional
cytology, equivalent performance might be sufficient if
liquid-based cytology has other advantages, such as the
opportunity for HPV DNA testing (23). Obwegeser and
Schneider in the comments to the editor wrote that the new
technology will not be the answer to the remaining incidence
and mortality rates of cervical cancer. The increasing
coverage rate has been shown to be the key to success (24).

In our study, the sensitivity for detecting a high-grade
lesion was somewhat better with the ThinPrep sample (66%)
compared to conventional Pap smear (47%), although the
difference is not significant at the chosen level of significance.
This is consistent with the findings by Bernstein et al in
their meta-analysis (17). The concordance of Pap smear and
ThinPrep with histopathology was 37.2% and 53.3%,
respectively, a statistically significant difference.

The liquid-based material not only gave a slightly better
sensitivity; it more often allowed a definite diagnosis of
high-grade lesions, a decreased rate of ASC-H, and a better
concordance with the simultaneous histopathological
examination. Furthermore, it was possible to obtain higher
sensitivity together with significantly reduced ASCUS, 8%
with Pap smear to 4% with liquid-based material. The
possibility for better diagnostic stringency may correlate with
both better specimen adequacy and improved cell morphology,
similar to previous studies (22,25-29). The occurrence of
false-negative reports not only correlates with the morpho-
logical quality, but also involves other factors; such as whether
the abnormal cells really are present in the sample in a
recognizable form, that is, factors merely related to sampling
and pre-laboratory handling. In this respect, the two methods
fared equally. The ThinPrep technique allows an immediate
fixation after sampling, which not only should eliminate
problems with too thick a smear but also drying artefacts and
other concerns. It should be emphasized that the present
results with ThinPrep were obtained using the remains from
preparations of conventional Pap smears.

All abnormal samples are associated with expensive
follow-up investigations. It is under debate whether HPV
testing may improve an organized cytological screening
program, particularly as a second line test to distinguish
precancerous lesions of the milder abnormalities (ASCUS
and LSIL) from lesions that are reactive or degenerated. Such
triage is costly; to a large extent the cost of recall and
resampling. An additional advantage with the liquid-based
technique would be that such second line analysis for HPV
DNA, HPV integration, p16 or any other predictive marker,
could be performed directly with the remaining sample
material available in the laboratory without the need to recall
the patient. Although in the future, vaccination might
prevent a significant part of cervical cancers worldwide, the
need for screening in some form is likely to remain.

The ThinPrep procedure increases the laboratory costs
due to disposables; these costs must be covered within the
screening program. Hoelund et al concluded that liquid-based
cytology did not increase the total cost for the cervical
screening system in Odense, Denmark (30). Although the
ThinPrep preparation procedure improved the performance of

Table I. Results of the histological analysis of biopsies in Pap
smear-negative and Pap smear-positive women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CIN1</th>
<th>CIN2*</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>WNL</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPAP Normal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAP Pathologic</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPAP, conventional Papanicolaou test.

Table II. Results of the histological analysis of biopsies in
ThinPrep-negative and ThinPrep-positive women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CIN1</th>
<th>CIN2*</th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>WNL</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPPT Normal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPPT Pathologic</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TPPT, ThinPrep Pap test.
vaginal cytology in the present split-sample study, its utility in organized screening must be evaluated in such a setting. If the sensitivity is sufficiently improved, this may allow for extended sampling intervals without decreasing the total sensitivity of the program. Furthermore, if second line analysis would be included when following up abnormalities, then this will also favourably influence the economy. All these factors must be carefully evaluated, before liquid-based cytology can be recommended as a routine method in the screening program. Such studies have already been initiated.
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