Abstract. The disruption of tight junction protein expression in cancer might account for invasiveness, loss of cohesion, and lack of differentiation. Our cDNA array data indicated that claudin 7 was up-regulated in gastric carcinoma. We investigated the expression patterns and clinical implications of claudin 7 in gastric cancer. By immunohistochemical staining and Western blot, claudin 7 was significantly more often expressed in intestinal metaplasia, adenoma and cancer than in normal gastric epithelium. Twenty-seven (47.4%) of 57 normal gastric epithelium samples did not express claudin 7, but 50 (86.2%) of 58 intestinal metaplasia, 11 (91.7%) of 12 adenoma tissues, and 129 (82.7%) of 156 cancer samples did. Claudin 7 was more often unexpressed in diffuse type gastric cancer than in intestinal type. Only 13 (11.2%) of 116 intestinal type samples did not express claudin 7, but 14 (41.2%) of 34 diffuse type samples showed no expression. Compared to normal gastric epithelium, intestinal type gastric cancer significantly more often expressed claudin 7, but diffuse type did not. The expression pattern of claudin 7 did not change as cancer progressed. In this study we show that claudin 7 expression changed with the gastric carcinogenic process and that this is implicated in cancer characteristics.

Introduction

Tight junctions encircle cells at the apical end of epithelial and endothelial lateral membranes. They are important for the barrier properties of these tissues and also contribute to cell surface polarity (1,2). Recent studies have found that they recruit signaling molecules involved in cell proliferation, differentiation and gene expression. It has been suggested that the disruption of tight junction protein in cancer might account for invasiveness, loss of cohesion and lack of differentiation (3,4).

Claudins are transmembrane proteins with extracellular loops that are potential targets for antibody-based diagnostic and therapeutic modalities (4). Given that tumorigenesis is associated with the disruption of tight junctions, down-regulation of claudins is expected. However, studies of claudins in malignancy are limited and rather confusing. Claudins 1 and 7 are down-regulated in breast, colon, and head and neck cancer (5-7), but other claudin proteins are actually up-regulated in ovarian, breast, prostate and pancreatic cancer (8-10). Some studies have been able to demonstrate a correlation among claudin proteins and tumor cell differentiation, progression and prognosis (5,11). However, some claudins have cancer-specific functions. For example, expression of claudin 4 in pancreatic cancer reduces invasiveness (12), but the same claudin in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm is associated with a more invasive phenotype (13).

Recently, using cDNA array experiments, we identified claudin 7 as being highly up-regulated in gastric adenoma and carcinoma. Like other claudin proteins, the function of claudin 7 is not well known. In mouse models, claudin 7 is expressed throughout the duodenum, jejunum and colon (14). Reduced claudin 7 expression in breast cancer correlates with higher tumor grade and metastatic disease (15). Claudin 7 expression is reportedly an early event in gastric carcinogenesis (16). Here, we investigated the protein expression patterns and clinical implications of claudin 7 in gastric carcinoma.

Materials and methods

Microarray analysis. Ten normal gastric tissue samples, 7 intestinal-type gastric cancer samples, 2 diffuse-type gastric cancer samples, and 5 mixed-type gastric cancer samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and homogenized with a polytron (Kinematica Inc., Newark, NJ, USA). Total-RNA from normal tissues and cancer tissues was isolated using TRizol reagent (Gibco-BRL, Grand Island, NY, USA) and purified using the RNeasy mini kit and the RNase free DNase set (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. RNA quality of all samples was tested by RNA electrophoresis and RNA LabChip analysis (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to ensure RNA integrity.
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To verify alterations in gene expression at the mRNA level, select genes with varying expression profiles were assayed by real-time reverse transcription-PCR analysis. Two micrograms of total-RNA from each sample was subjected to reverse transcription using the Superscript first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Real-time PCR was then carried out in a total of 25 μl (2 μl of cDNA, 12.5 μl of 2X SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, 1.5 μl of each 5 μmol/l forward and reverse primers, and 7.5 μl of H2O) in SmartCycler II (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The PCR program was initiated by 10 min at 95˚C before 40 thermal cycles of 15 sec at 95˚C and 1 min at 60˚C. PCR amplification efficiency and linearity for each gene, including targeted and control genes, were tested. Data were analyzed according to the comparative C, method and normalized against β-actin or glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase expression in each sample.

Immunohistochemical staining. A set of gastric cancer and adenoma samples were randomly obtained from individuals who had undergone gastrectomy for gastric cancer or endoscopy for adenoma between January 1999 and December 2001 at Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine. All patients underwent gastrectomy for curative purposes and gave informed consent for using tissue samples. One hundred and sixty-eight cancer and 13 adenoma tissue samples were collected. Sixty-two normal gastric epithelium samples and 58 intestinal metaplasia samples obtained from tissues adjacent to tumors were also collected. A pathological grading was made, and the tumor stage of the tissue samples was determined according to the AJCC staging system. After pathological evaluation, a tissue microarray (TMA) was generated using cores from tumors and adjacent normal tissue from each specimen. The Ethics Committee for the Clinical Research of the Institutional Review Board of Yonsei Medical Center, Korea, approved this study protocol.

Immunostaining with a goat-derived polyclonal claudin 7 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotecnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) at a dilution of 1:100 was performed on TMAs, using standard procedures. Initially, TMA slides were deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated in graded alcohol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubating slides with methanol containing 0.3% hydrogen peroxide at room temperature for 20 min. Microwave antigen retrieval was performed in citrate buffer (0.01 M, pH 6.0) for 10 min. To block non-specific staining, slides were incubated with 10% normal donkey serum solution for 1 h. Blocked sections were incubated in primary antibody overnight at 4˚C. Slides were then treated using an LSAB+ kit (Dako Cytomation, Carpinteria, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Slides were developed by incubating them with 3-amo-9-ethyl carbazole and then counterstained with haematoxylin. A negative control was made by applying secondary antibody without primary antibody. Samples were evaluated by two pathologists who were blinded to patients’ clinical information. Intensities were scored as 0, no staining; 1, weak; 2, moderate; or 3, strong.

Western blotting. Claudin 7 expression was also evaluated using Western blotting. Two normal gastric epithelium samples, 2 intestinal metaplasias, 2 gastric adenomas and 4 gastric carcinomas were used. Human gastric tissues were lysed [70 mM β-glycerophosphate (pH 7.2), 0.6 mM Na vanadate, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.5% Triton X-100, 0.5% NP-40, 0.2 mM PMSF and protease inhibitors]. Protein (50 μl) was separated using 18% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA, USA) for 1 h. Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline/0.05% Tween-20 (TBS-T) for 1 h at room temperature and probed with claudin 7-specific antibody (1:1000 dilution in 0.5% non-fat milk in TBS-T) overnight at 4˚C. After washing with TBS-T, membranes were treated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h and washed several times. Proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence system (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA).

Statistical analysis. Claudin 7 in intestinal metaplasias, adenomas and cancers were compared to normal gastric epithelium using the Mann-Whitney U test. Claudin 7 expression was correlated to tumor cell differentiation, Lauren’s classification, and tumor stage using Spearman methods. In all cases, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Microarray data. Based on microarray data, genes with >3-fold change in expression levels (p<0.01) were selected: 2486 genes in diffuse-type gastric cancer tissue, 1200 genes in intestinal-type gastric cancer tissue, and 816 genes in mixed type gastric cancer tissue were up- or down-regulated. Among the genes with significant changes, claudin 4 and 7, epilakin 1,
and anillin were up-regulated in intestinal-type gastric cancer. TTK protein kinase, thrombospondin 2, osteopontin, calgranulin A and B, and cathepsin B and C were up-regulated in diffuse-type gastric cancer. Compared to normal gastric epithelium, claudin 7 expression was 23.5-fold higher in gastric adenoma and 5.9-fold higher in intestinal-type gastric cancer. Claudin 7 expression was also slightly increased in diffuse- and mixed-type gastric cancer, but this change was not statistically significant.

Claudin 7 expression in gastric epithelium, intestinal metaplasia, adenoma and cancer. After immunohistochemical staining, most of the normal gastric epithelium did not express claudin 7, but some expressed it weakly at the basolateral membrane (Fig. 1A). The foveolar gland expressed claudin 7. Claudin 7 was expressed homogeneously at cell-cell contacts and in the cytoplasm of intestinal metaplasias, adenomas and cancer cells. The basolateral membrane of intestinal metaplasia cells stained strongly (Fig. 1B). Claudin 7 was more often expressed in intestinal metaplasia than in gastric epithelium. Thirty (52.6%) of 57 gastric epithelium samples and 50 (86.2%) of 58 intestinal metaplasia samples expressed claudin 7 (p<0.001). Western blots showed that claudin 7 was expressed in intestinal metaplasia, but not in gastric epithelium (Fig. 2). Claudin 7 expression at the basolateral membrane of adenomas was not as prominent as in intestinal metaplasias (Fig. 1C). Claudin 7 was more often expressed in adenoma tissues than in gastric epithelium (p<0.005). Eleven (91.7%) of 12 adenomas expressed claudin 7. Cancer tissue also expressed claudin 7 more frequently than gastric epithelium (p<0.001). The expression pattern was similar to that in adenomas (Fig. 1D). Twenty-seven (47.4%) of 57 gastric epithelium samples did not express claudin 7, while 129 (82.7%) of 156 cancer samples did. However, there was no difference in the intensity or frequency of claudin 7 expression in cancer samples compared to intestinal metaplasias and adenomas (p>0.05 and >0.05, respectively). Claudin 7 expression in gastric epithelium, intestinal metaplasia, adenoma, and cancer is summarized in Table I.

Association between claudin 7 expression, cancer cell differentiation and Lauren's classification. Poorly differentiated cancers associated with loss of claudin 7 (p<0.05). Of 62 well-differentiated cases, 5 (8.1%) showed no claudin 7 expression, whereas 22 (23.4%) of 94 poorly differentiated cases showed no expression (Table II).

Loss of claudin 7 expression occurred more often in diffuse-type gastric cancer than in intestinal-type (p<0.001).
Only 13 (11.2%) of 116 intestinal-type samples lacked claudin 7, but 14 (41.2%) of 34 diffuse-type samples showed no expression. In 37 (31.8%) of 116 intestinal-type cancer cases, claudin 7 immunoreactivity was moderate or strong, but only 1 (2.9%) of 34 cases with diffuse-type cancer showed moderate intensity. Compared to normal gastric epithelium, intestinal-type gastric cancer more often expressed claudin 7, but diffuse-type did not (p<0.001 and >0.05, respectively; Table I).

**Table I. Claudin 7 expression in gastric epithelium, intestinal metaplasia, gastric adenoma and gastric carcinoma.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claudin 7 staining intensity</th>
<th>None (%)</th>
<th>Weak (%)</th>
<th>Moderate (%)</th>
<th>Strong (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastric epithelium (n=57)</td>
<td>27 (47.4)</td>
<td>25 (43.9)</td>
<td>5 (8.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal metaplasia (n=58)</td>
<td>8 (13.8)</td>
<td>31 (53.4)</td>
<td>17 (29.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma (n=12)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal type cancer (n=116)</td>
<td>13 (11.2)</td>
<td>66 (56.9)</td>
<td>33 (28.4)</td>
<td>4 (3.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse type cancer (n=34)</td>
<td>14 (41.2)</td>
<td>19 (55.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Association between cancer cell differentiation and claudin 7 expression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claudin 7 staining intensity</th>
<th>None (%)</th>
<th>Weak (%)</th>
<th>Moderate (%)</th>
<th>Strong (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well-differentiated (n=62)</td>
<td>5 (8.1)</td>
<td>37 (59.7)</td>
<td>17 (27.4)</td>
<td>3 (4.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated (n=94)</td>
<td>22 (23.4)</td>
<td>51 (54.3)</td>
<td>19 (20.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only 13 (11.2%) of 116 intestinal-type samples lacked claudin 7, but 14 (41.2%) of 34 diffuse-type samples showed no expression. In 37 (31.8%) of 116 intestinal-type cancer cases, claudin 7 immunoreactivity was moderate or strong, but only 1 (2.9%) of 34 cases with diffuse-type cancer showed moderate intensity. Compared to normal gastric epithelium, intestinal-type gastric cancer more often expressed claudin 7, but diffuse-type did not (p<0.001 and >0.05, respectively; Table I).

**Association between claudin 7 expression and tumor stage.** Claudin 7 expression did not change with tumor progression (Table III). The percentage of cases that lacked claudin 7 expression in each cancer stage was consistent. In intestinal-type cancer, 6 (12.5%) of 49 stage I cases and 2 (13.3%) of 15 stage IV cases showed no claudin 7 expression (p>0.05). In diffuse-type cancer, 6 (50.0%) of 12 stage I cases and 3 (33.3%) of 9 stage IV cases lacked claudin 7 expression (p>0.05).

**Discussion**

Tight junction proteins are suspected to play an important role in cancer progression, invasion, and metastasis, but there are few studies reporting on the role of tight junction proteins in these processes. Gastric carcinoma is the fourth most frequently diagnosed cancer (19), but studies of the tight junction in gastric carcinoma are rare. In this study we were able to show the expression pattern of claudin 7 in each carcinogenic step of gastric carcinoma. In addition, we demonstrated its clinical implications.

Claudin 7 up-regulation is an early event in gastric tumorigenesis, based on results showing claudin 7 overexpression in gastric dysplasia and cancer (16). According to our results, significant claudin 7 expression was already noted in intestinal metaplasias, suggesting that up-regulation may have occurred earlier. This result was slightly different from previous studies showing weak claudin 7 expression in intestinal metaplasia (16). This difference may have been caused by the number of cases studied. Our study included 58 cases of intestinal metaplasia, and the former study had 3 cases.

Our result agreed with a previous study demonstrating that diffuse-type gastric adenocarcinoma did not express as much claudin 7 as intestinal-type tumors (16). Also, compared to normal gastric epithelium, intestinal-type gastric cancer expressed claudin 7 more often, but diffuse-type did not. These results may account for different carcinogenic processes and behaviors of intestinal and diffuse-type gastric carcinomas.
They also support the carcinogenesis process from intestinal metaplasia to adenoma to intestinal-type gastric cancer because all three expressed claudin 7. It explains why diffuse-type gastric cancer does not express claudin 7, since it develops from gastric epithelium, not intestinal metaplasia (20).

In esophageal and breast cancer, similar results to ours were reported (6,21). Poorly differentiated cancer was associated with loss of claudin 7. However, loss of claudin 7 expression correlated with tumor progression. In gastric cancer, claudin 7 expression was maintained regardless of tumor stage, especially for intestinal-type gastric cancer where only 10% of each tumor stage did not express claudin 7. Claudin 7 up-regulation might be an early event in carcinogenesis, but it was not lost in advanced stages.

Like claudin 7, claudins 1, 3, 4 and 5 were also more frequently expressed in intestinal-type gastric cancer, and their expression was not associated with tumor stage (5,22). In addition, tumors expressed claudin 7 more often than the surrounding normal gastric epithelium. Claudin 1 expression was strong in gastric cancer, but it was also expressed in non-neoplastic gastric mucosa. Claudins 3 and 4 were more selectively expressed in gastric cancer (5). These results suggest that claudins 3, 4 and 7 may be potential therapeutic targets for gastric cancer. Monoclonal antibody against these claudins, complexed with anti-cancer agents may be able to attack gastric cancer cells selectively (4,5). More research is needed to validate such treatment.

Understanding tissue- and cancer-specific expression of tight junction proteins could lead to new cancer diagnostic and therapeutic targets. In this study we showed that claudin 7 expression changed during gastric carcinogenesis. Claudins may be potential targets for antibody-based cancer treatment. More studies of the expression patterns and function of tight junction proteins and their underlying role in gastric cancer are warranted.
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Table III. Association between claudin 7 expression and tumor stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claudin 7 staining intensity</th>
<th>None (%)</th>
<th>Weak (%)</th>
<th>Moderate (%)</th>
<th>Strong (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intestinal type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I (n=49)</td>
<td>6 (12.2)</td>
<td>25 (51.0)</td>
<td>18 (36.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II (n=25)</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>14 (56.0)</td>
<td>7 (28.0)</td>
<td>3 (12.0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III (n=27)</td>
<td>4 (14.8)</td>
<td>19 (70.4)</td>
<td>4 (14.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV (n=15)</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>8 (53.3)</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diffuse type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage I (n=12)</td>
<td>6 (50.0)</td>
<td>6 (50.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II (n=1)</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III (n=12)</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV (n=9)</td>
<td>3 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (55.6)</td>
<td>1 (11.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


