Epidermal growth factor receptor and human epidermal growth receptor 2 expression in parotid mucoepidermoid carcinoma: Possible implications for targeted therapy
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Abstract. The expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) was analyzed in immunohistochemical preparations from 46 primary parotid mucoepidermoid carcinomas (MEC). For the cases with lymph node metastases, the receptor expressions were investigated in parallel samples, primary tumour and metastasis, from each patient (n=11). The goal was to evaluate whether any of these receptors are suitable as a target for radionuclide-based imaging and therapy. The HercepTest scoring was used for the analysis of both HER2 and EGFR expression (0, 1+, 2+ or 3+). EGFR overexpression (2+/3+) was found in 67.4% (31/46) of the primary tumours. Out of the 11 cases with evaluated paired samples, EGFR overexpression was observed in 81.8% (9/11) of the primary tumours and 72.7% (8/11) of the corresponding lymph node metastases. There was only one patient who had EGFR overexpression in the primary tumours which changed to negative in the lymph node metastases but no changes occurred reciprocally. The HER2 overexpression was only found in 4.3% (2/46) of the primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma and none of the lymph node metastases (0/11). EGFR and HER2 stainings were mainly found in the cell membranes. It was concluded that the majority of parotid mucoepidermoid carcinomas express EGFR strongly in their cell membranes and that lymph node metastases generally express EGFR to approximately the same extent as in the primary tumours. The stability in the EGFR expression is encouraging in the effort to develop radionuclide-based EGFR imaging agents. It is also possible that EGFR targeting agents (e.g. Iressa, Tarceva, Erbitux or radiolabelled antibodies) can be applied for the therapy of mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

Introduction

Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) is considered the most common type of salivary gland malignancy accounting for approximately one third of all salivary malignancies (1-4) and the most common malignant tumour of the parotid gland (5). Surgical resection has traditionally been considered the primary treatment at initial diagnosis, whereas radiotherapy is recommended for patients with poor prognostic features such as late-stage tumour, anaplastic histology, perineural invasion or inadequate margins (6,7). Chemotherapy has been reserved for palliation of a minority of MEC patients with advanced, unresectable or metastatic disease and its role continues to evolve (7). The prognosis of MEC is mainly influenced by the histologic grade and the clinical stage. A tumour with a low grade of malignancy and an early clinical stage has a very low recurrence rate and high survival. In contrast, high grade tumours usually have a worse clinical outcome, with 5-year survival of around 30% (5,8,9). Distant metastasis is not uncommon in high-grade mucoepidermoid carcinoma of the parotid gland. According to Spiro et al (10), 35% of high grade MEC in major salivary glands developed distant metastasis, and a higher incidence (67%) of distant metastasis was reported by Emerick et al (5). Those presenting with recurrent or metastatic disease have limited treatment options. Therefore, there is a definite need for additional therapeutic strategies to improve the survival and quality of life for these patients. One strategy is molecular-targeted therapy, which is currently having a positive impact on the daily practice of clinical oncology. Another strategy is receptor-mediated tumour-targeting radionuclide therapy (11), which is based on the delivery of therapeutically relevant radionuclides directly to disseminated tumour cells, hopefully with minimal damage to normal tissues.

Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling pathways control a wide variety of cellular processes,
including cellular proliferation, apoptosis and angiogenesis. Consequently, EGFR is one of the most-studied ligand-receptor systems and specific EGFR inhibition approaches are currently among the most promising and advanced in the clinical setting. Nowadays, EGFR-targeted drugs, including small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g. Iressa and Tarceva) (12,13), as well as the chimeric monoclonal antibody Cetuximab (Erbitux) (14), are among the most advanced anti-EGFR drugs at the clinical level. Recently, randomized clinical trials combining Cetuximab with radiotherapy have shown promising locoregional control and a reduction in mortality for patients with stage III-IV head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (15) and a better overall response rate was observed in a phase-III evaluation of Cetuximab in combination with cisplatin in recurrent/metastatic head and neck cancer (16). Meanwhile, trastuzumab (Herceptin), a humanized monoclonal antibody which specifically targets the extracellular domain of HER2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2), was reported to have significant therapeutic effects in patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer (17). So far, the literature on the trials of Herceptin in patients with advanced salivary carcinoma has been rare (18,19). Haddad et al (18) reported the results of weekly trastuzumab therapy, one of the three patients with mucoepidermoid carcinoma had a partial response that is still ongoing at 45 months.

The knowledge of EGFR levels within mucoepidermoid carcinoma was limited and the reported frequencies of EGFR overexpression varied greatly (20,21). HER2 overexpression occurs in 0 to 38% of mucoepidermoid carcinoma (22-28). So far, no previous study has evaluated the EGFR and HER2 expression in metastases and compared such an expression with that of corresponding primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma. It is still unclear whether the metastases lose, gain or retain the receptor status relative to the primary tumours. For a receptor to be of interest for targeting, a similar expression in both the primary tumours and the disseminated lesions are required. Investigation into the receptor status between metastases and the primary tumours will provide valuable information on whether these receptors are suitable as a target for diagnostic and/or therapeutic procedures. In the present study, the expression of EGFR and HER2 was investigated immunohistochemically in a series of 46 parotid mucoepidermoid carcinomas and 11 paired lymph node metastases.

Materials and methods

Patients and samples. Forty-one patients with parotid gland mucoepidermoid carcinoma who were diagnosed and treated in Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, China, between 1994 and 2006, were enrolled in the present study. All the patients were treated with surgical resection and cervical lymph node dissection was performed in 35 patients and lymph node metastases were found pathologically in 6 of the cases. Another 5 cases of parotid mucoepidermoid carcinoma with lymph node metastases were collected from the Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine. In total, 46 patients with high quality material were finally included in the study. Clinical information was obtained from the hospital records and included patient age, sex, location of the primary tumour, disease stage, histological grade and nodal involvement. The patient and tumour characteristics of the analyzed cases are shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patients, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation of MEC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>19 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>16 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>11 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-stages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>12 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>21 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>7 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>6 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathologic nodal involvement</td>
<td>11 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium age, years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MEC, mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

EGFR-staining. EGFR was assessed by immunohistochemistry using a streptavidin-biotin complex technique as previously described (29). After deparaffinization of the sections, endogenous peroxidase was blocked in 0.3% H2O2 in PBS for 20 min. For antigen retrieval, the sections were submitted to high temperature and pressure with Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 9.0) for 5 min. The slides were preincubated in PBS for 10 min. The primary mouse monoclonal antibody directed against the EGF receptor (clone 31G7, Zymed labs, South San Francisco, CA, USA) was diluted at 1:100 and incubated overnight at 4ºC. The secondary biotinylated antibodies (goat anti-mouse from Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and the peroxidase-labelled streptavidin-biotin complex (Dako) were diluted at 1:200 and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. All slides were developed in 0.05% diaminobenzidine (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 5 min and counterstained in Harris hematoxylin (Sigma). Finally, the slides were dehydrated through graded alcohol to xylene and mounted in organic mounting medium.

HER2-staining. The HER2 immunohistochemical staining was made as previously described (29). After deparaffinization, the sections were incubated in methanol and hydrogen peroxide...
The scoring was based on a scale where 0 corresponded to completely negative staining, 1+ corresponded to faint perceptible staining of the tumour cell membranes, 2+ corresponded to moderate staining of the entire tumour cell membranes, and 3+ was a strong circumferential staining of the entire tumour cell membranes creating a fishnet pattern.

Table II. EGFR and HER2 expression for the analyzed primary parotid mucoepidermoid carcinoma (n=46).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Immunohistochemical scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scoring was based on a scale where 0 corresponded to completely negative staining, 1+ corresponded to faint perceptible staining of the tumour cell membranes, 2+ corresponded to moderate staining of the entire tumour cell membranes, and 3+ was a strong circumferential staining of the entire tumour cell membranes creating a fishnet pattern.

Table III. EGFR-scores for the analyzed primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma and the corresponding lymph node metastases (n=11).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumour</th>
<th>Lymph node metastasis</th>
<th>EGFR-scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGFR-scores</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The scoring was based on a scale where 0 corresponded to completely negative staining, 1+ corresponded to faint perceptible staining of the tumour cell membranes, 2+ corresponded to moderate staining of the entire tumour cell membranes, and 3+ was a strong circumferential staining of the entire tumour cell membranes creating a fishnet pattern.

Results

Expression of EGFR. The EGFR scores for the analyzed 46 primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma are shown in Table II. EGFR overexpression (2+ or 3+) was found in 67.4% (31/46) of the primary tumours, 1+ staining in 9 cases and negative EGFR staining in the remaining 6 cases. Out of the 11 cases with evaluated paired samples, the EGFR overexpression (2+ or 3+) was observed in 81.8% (9/11) of the primary tumours and 72.7% (8/11) of the corresponding lymph node metastases. There was a good agreement between the primary tumours and the corresponding lymph node metastases in the majority of cases. The important results from the EGFR-score analyses of the paired samples are summarized in Table III. In total, four changes were observed. However, there was only one patient who had EGFR overexpression in the primary tumours which changed to negative in the lymph node metastases, but no patients who had 0 or 1+ in the primary tumours and changed to 2+ or 3+ in the lymph node metastases. Examples of staining patterns for a primary tumour and the corresponding metastasis (both of which were scored as 3+) are shown in Fig. 1A and B.

Expression of HER2. Table II shows the HER2 scores for the analyzed primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Generally, HER2 had a lower expression as compared to EGFR. An overexpression (2+ or 3+) of HER2 was only found in 4.3% (2/46) of the primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma (Fig. 2). Seventeen cases (37.0%) had only faint perceptible staining of the tumour cell membranes (1+), while 27 (58.7%) of the 46 cases had no HER2 staining at all. Out of the eleven cases of mucoepidermoid carcinoma with paired lymph node metastases, 5 cases had a 1+ HER2 score in the primary tumours and the same stainings (1+) in the lymph node metastases. Examples of staining patterns for a primary tumour and the corresponding metastasis (both of which were scored as 3+) are shown in Fig. 1A and B.
change of HER2 scores between primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma and the corresponding lymph node metastases was observed.

Discussion

To our knowledge, the present study is the first to compare the EGFR and HER2 receptor status in primary mucoepidermoid carcinomas with their lymph node metastases. The goal was to evaluate whether any of these receptors are suitable as a target for clinical diagnosis and therapy, including radio-nuclide-based imaging and radiation therapy.

The expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor, EGFR, in mucoepidermoid carcinoma is not well-documented. According to the limited literature, the overexpression of EGFR was reported to be 25 and 77% respectively (20,21). EGFR-targeted drugs are now commercially available, including small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g. Iressa and Tarceva) (12,13), as well as the chimeric monoclonal antibody cetuximab (Erbitux) (14). However, with the exception of a total of four cases reported in two meeting abstracts (ASCO), these EGFR-targeted drugs have yet to be tried for the therapy of mucoepidermoid carcinoma. It is, from previous studies, still an unanswered question as to whether the metastases lose, gain or retain EGFR status relative to the primary tumour. Studies on the EGFR status of the metastatic lymph node of mucoepidermoid carcinoma will provide precious knowledge to evaluate whether the receptor is of interest for diagnostic and/or therapeutic procedures or not.

In the present study, the EGFR expression was identified in the primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma and, in eleven cases, the corresponding lymph node metastases as well. A high frequency of EGFR overexpression has been reported in head and neck cancers (31). The overexpression of EGFR is generally believed to be common in salivary gland carcinoma, although the incidence of positive EGFR staining was found to be 25% (2/8) in an earlier study with a small sample size (20). Gibbons et al found 17 out of 22 (77%) mucoepidermoid carcinoma cases with EGFR overexpression (21). We reported on a series of 46 patients of mucoepidermoid carcinoma. It is the largest series considering that the EGFR status of mucoepidermoid carcinoma and EGFR overexpression (2+/3+) was found in 67.4% of the cases. Our findings confirmed the result of a high EGFR overexpression in mucoepidermoid carcinoma found by Gibbons et al (21).

Furthermore, we found that the frequency of the EGFR overexpression in lymph node metastases was approximately as high as in the primary lesions of mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Only one patient with EGFR overexpression in the primary tumour had lower EGFR scores in the corresponding lymph node metastases. In a majority of the cases, the EGFR overexpression was retained in the metastases.

To our knowledge, the question of EGFR status in lymph node metastases versus primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma has yet to be addressed. Based on our results, we can draw the conclusion that the EGFR expression is stable when comparing the lymph node metastases with the primary mucoepidermoid carcinoma. It seems that the EGFR expression in the primary tumours, which can readily be determined after surgery or biopsy, can predict EGFR-positive metastases with a reasonably high probability.

The expression of HER2 in our samples was not so common as compared to that of EGFR. The overexpression of HER2 was only found in two (4.3%) out of the 46 cases of the primary mucoepidermoid carcinomas. A literature review shows an obvious controversy regarding the HER2 expression in mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Shrestha et al (26) and Sugano et al (27) found HER2 expression in only 4.5
(1/22) and 0% (0/9), respectively, of the studied mucoepidermoid carcinomas. Kernohan et al (28) reported positive immunostaining for HER2 in only 1 out of 6 cases with mucoepidermoid carcinomas. However, Weed et al (23), Cho et al (24) and Press et al (25) reported HER2 overexpression in 25, 36 and 38% of the studied cases. There may be many reasons for the observed differences, including patient selection, methodology of the immunohistochemical procedures, scoring and the definition of HER2 expression. For example, in the study of Press and colleagues (25), it was considered to be HER2 positive if any detectable staining could be found in a few scattered cells. Using the HercepTest scoring criterion, Glisson et al (22) reported a much lower frequency of HER2 positivity than the recent historical experience cited in the literature. The frequency of HER2 overexpression in the three most common subtype salivary malignancies (adenoid cystic, adenocarcinoma and mucoepidermoid) was only 8% (8/103).

No previous study can be found in the literature regarding the stability of the HER2 expression between primary mucoepidermoid carcinomas and the corresponding lymph node metastases. In our study, all the cases with lymph node metastases had a low HER2 expression (scored as 0 or 1+) in the primary tumours. There was a reasonably good agreement between the HER2 expression in the primary tumours and the corresponding metastases, with just one case with 1+ in the primary tumour changed to 0 in the corresponding lymph node. What is really important is the situation in the cases with HER2 overexpression. However, our results provided no further information in this respect.

Thus, the frequency of the HER2 overexpression in mucoepidermoid carcinoma seems to be low, which suggests a limited role of this receptor as a target in the treatment of mucoepidermoid carcinoma.

Additional strategies are needed to improve the survival and quality of life for patients with recurrent and disseminated mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Targeted therapy with antibodies or small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors has proved to be a promising therapy strategy in lymphoma, lung, colorectal and breast cancer (32,33) and may also be so for mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Furthermore, receptor-mediated tumour-targeted radionuclide therapy could be another strategy (11). This strategy is based on the delivery of therapeutically relevant radionuclides to disseminated another strategy (11). This strategy is based on the delivery mediated tumour-targeted radionuclide therapy could be of therapeutically relevant radionuclides to disseminated another strategy (11). This strategy is based on the delivery mediated tumour-targeted radionuclide therapy could be of the lung, colorectal and breast cancer (32,33) and may also be so for lymphoma, mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Targeted therapy with anti-Her2 overexpression. However, our results provided no further information in this respect.

Thus, the frequency of the HER2 overexpression in mucoepidermoid carcinoma seems to be low, which suggests a limited role of this receptor as a target in the treatment of mucoepidermoid carcinoma.
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