Synergistic inhibitory effect of gemcitabine and angiotensin type-1 receptor blocker, losartan, on murine pancreatic tumor growth via anti-angiogenic activities
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Abstract. Pancreatic cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer death, and represents a challenging chemotherapeutic problem. The crucial role of angiogenesis in tumor growth has been widely recognized, and several reports have revealed that the combination treatment of the conventional chemotherapeutic drugs and anti-angiogenic agents exerted synergistic anti-cancerous effects. It has been reported that the clinically used angiotensin type-1 receptor blocker (ARB) exerted potent anti-angiogenic activity. The aim of our current study was to examine the combination effect of gemcitabine (GEM), a widely used conventional chemotherapeutic drug against pancreas cancer, and losartan (Lo), an ARB, on murine pancreatic tumor growth, especially in conjunction with angiogenesis. When used individually, GEM and Lo at clinically comparable low doses moderately suppressed pancreatic tumor development. The combination treatment with GEM and Lo exerted a marked inhibitory effect as compared with single agent treatments even after the tumor was fully established. Neovascularization and the expression of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a central angiogenic factor, in the tumor were both markedly suppressed in a magnitude similar to the inhibitory effects against the tumor growth. Since both agents are widely used in the clinical practice, the combination regimen of GEM and Lo may represent a potential new therapeutic strategy for pancreatic cancer in the future.

Introduction

Exocrine pancreatic carcinoma is now the fifth leading cause of cancer in Japan, United States and Europe, with an overall 5-year survival rate of less than 5% (1). The majority of patients are potential candidates for systemic treatment because the tumor is already unresectable at the time of diagnosis due to metastasis or the presence of locally advanced disease (2). Since 1997, gemcitabine (GEM) has been used for patients with advanced pancreatic cancer (3). Although GEM exerted a modest anti-tumor activity, it could achieve a significant improvement in the disease-related symptoms and prolong the survival of advanced pancreatic cancer patients. GEM produces a response rate of only 12-27% with a median survival of only 4-6 months, and it frequently induces drug resistance like most of the other conventional chemotherapeutic agents (3-5). New therapeutic approaches based on the biologic characteristics of this disease are required to improve the response rates and survival of patients with advanced pancreatic cancer.

It is now widely recognized that the growth of any solid tumor depends on angiogenesis. Without angiogenesis, the tumor ceases to grow even beyond a few millimeters in size; i.e., ‘tumor dormancy’ (6). Since inhibition of angiogenesis is now considered as a promising approach for cancer therapy, the efforts are currently directed worldwide at overcoming tumor angiogenesis (7). Although angiography in the clinical practice revealed that most pancreatic cancers are hypovascular or avascular, the human pancreatic cancer cells reportedly overexpress pro-angiogenic molecules such as the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a central key pro-angiogenic factor (8). In patients with pancreatic cancer, the intra-tumoral microvessel density proved to be an independent prognostic factor for survival in multivariate analysis (9,10). Furthermore, in animal models, the anti-angiogenic compounds have proven very successful in inhibiting pancreatic tumor development (11-14). Collectively, angiogenesis also plays a pivotal role in the growth of pancreatic tumors.
It has been reported that combination treatments of anti-angiogenic agents and the conventional chemotherapeutic drugs at low doses exert a more potent inhibitory effect on tumor development than single-agent treatment (15). Since a long-term administration is required for anti-angiogenic treatment, selection of safe agents is necessary.

Angiotensin-II (AT-II) is an octapeptide produced mainly via proteolytic cleavage of its precursor angiotensin-I by angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), and is mainly coupled with angiotensin type-1 receptor (AT1-R). ACE inhibitor (ACE-I) and AT1-R blocker (ARB), that block the AT-II biological activities, are widely used as anti-hypertensive agents without serious side effects in the clinical practice. Several investigators including our group have shown that ACE-I and ARB possess strong anti-angiogenic activity, and that these agents could inhibit the growth of several types of tumors at clinically comparable low doses (16,17). AT-II reportedly plays an important role in angiogenesis of tumors at clinically comparable low doses (16,17). AT-II as hypoxia as described previously (22). The immunostained microvessel length was assessed under x200-fold magnification. In each tumor sample, five areas showing the highest density of staining were selected for counting. In counting, the large vessels with a thick muscular wall or with a lumen >50 μm in diameter were excluded. These immunopositive vessels were evaluated with Adobe Photoshop and NIH image software as described previously (23).

Measurement of the VEGF expression in tumors. We measured the VEGF protein expression level in the tumor. Because different sizes of the tumor may cause different hypoxic conditions, which strongly induce VEGF (24), five tumors having the same size were chosen from each group. The tumor samples were prepared as described previously (16). After the protein concentration was equalized, the VEGF level was measured with an ELISA kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) in accordance with the supplier's instructions.

Materials and methods

Compounds and cell lines. GEM and Lo were generously supplied by Eli Lilly Japan K.K. (Hyogo, Japan) and Banyu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), respectively. The murine pancreatic cancer cell line, Pan02 (National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD), was cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% ampicillin/streptomycin, and 1% glutamine. The cells were grown in the media recommended by the respective suppliers.

Animal treatment. A total of 40 male 6-week-old C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Japan SLC, Inc. (Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan). They were housed under controlled temperature conditions and relative humidity, with 10-15 air changes per hour (h) and light illumination for 12 h a day. To create the allograft model, 2.5x10⁵ Pan02 cells were injected into the flank of C57BL/6 mice. After the tumor was fully established (the mean tumor volume was 200 mm³ on day 21), the animals were allowed free access to food and water throughout the acclimation and experiment protocols. The tumor volume was measured twice a week, and the mice were sacrificed on day 73 after tumor cell implantation. All animal procedures were performed according to approved protocols and in accordance with the recommendations for the proper care and use of laboratory animals.

Statistical analysis. To assess the statistical significance of inter-group differences in the quantitative data, Bonferroni's multiple comparison test was performed after one-way ANOVA. This was followed by Bartlett's test to determine the homology of variance.

Results

Effect of GEM and Lo on tumor development. We first examined the effect of clinically comparable doses of GEM and Lo on the pancreatic tumor development. As shown in Fig. 1, single agent treatment with either GEM (G2) or Lo (G3) showed moderate inhibitory effect on the pancreatic tumor development as compared to the control group (G1) (p<0.05, each). The inhibitory effect in G2 and G3 were almost of similar magnitude. Mice treated with GEM plus Lo (G4) showed a significant inhibition of the tumor volume as compared with those of G2 or G3 and G1 (p<0.05 and <0.01, respectively). The current doses of both agents did not affect the health status, such as body weights during the experiment in all experimental groups (data not shown).

Tumor neovascularization. To determine whether the inhibitory effect of GEM and Lo on the tumor development was accompanied by suppression of neovascularization, we next examined the tumor expression level of CD31. As shown in Fig. 2, the CD31-positive vessels in the tumors of either G2 or G3 were moderately fewer than those in the control group (G1).
group; i.e., the results were similar to those of the pancreatic tumor development. The combination treatment of GEM plus Lo significantly attenuated the CD31-positive vessels in the tumor. We employed computer-assisted image analysis techniques to perform semi-quantification of neovascularization in the tumor as described previously (25). The semi-quantitative analysis revealed that the CD31-positive vessels in G2 or G3 were suppressed as compared to the control group (p<0.05), and the combination treatment of GEM and Lo (G4) exerted a more potent inhibition of CD31-positive vessels in the tumor as compared to G2 and G3 (p<0.05) (Fig. 3).

Figure 1. Effects of GEM and Lo on the pancreatic tumor development. Single treatment with either GEM (50 mg/kg/twice a week, G2) or Lo (30 mg/kg/day, G3) showed moderate inhibitory effect on the pancreatic tumor development as compared to the control group (G1) (P<0.05). The inhibitory effects in G2 and G3 were almost of similar magnitude. The mice treated with GEM plus Lo (G4) showed a significant inhibition in the tumor volume as compared with those of G2 or G3 and G1 (p<0.05 and <0.01, respectively). The tumor volume was determined at the indicated time-points from day 21 (the mean tumor volume was 200 mm³). Each point represents the mean ± SD (n=10). Single and double asterisk indicate statistically significant differences between the indicated experimental groups (p<0.05 and <0.01, respectively). The white arrow indicates the time-point at which the combination treatment of GEM plus Lo started (day 49).

Figure 2. Immunohistochemical analysis of the CD31 expression in the tumor. Tumor vascularization was visualized by immunostaining of the CD31 vascular endothelial adhesion protein. (A), The control untreated group. (B), GEM-treated group. (C), Lo-treated group. (D), GEM and Lo combination-treated group. The original magnifications are x40.
Figure 3. Semi-quantitative analysis of CD31-immunopositive vessels. The length of CD31-positive vessels in the tumor was measured by an image analysis system as described in Materials and methods section. The CD31-positive vessels in the tumors of either G2 or G3 were moderately fewer than those in the control group, and these results matched those of the pancreatic tumor development. The combination treatment of GEM plus Lo significantly attenuated the CD31-positive vessels in the tumor. The data represent the mean ± SD (n=5). Single and double asterisk indicate statistically significant differences between the indicated experimental groups (p<0.05 and <0.01, respectively). C, the untreated control group. G and L, GEM- and Lo-treated group, respectively. G+L, GEM and Lo combination-treated group.

Figure 4. Effects of GEM and Lo on the VEGF expression in the tumor. The VEGF protein level was measured by ELISA as described in Materials and methods section. The VEGF expression in the tumor was suppressed by single agent treatment with GEM or Lo (p<0.05), and the combination treatment with GEM and Lo exerted a much stronger inhibition of VEGF as compared with either single agent (p<0.05). We also found the mRNA expression of VEGF in the tumor was suppressed by treatment with GEM and Lo (data not shown). The inhibitory effects of GEM and Lo on the VEGF expression were almost in parallel with those of inhibition of neovascularization in the tumor.

Discussion

In this study, we found that the single treatment with GEM or Lo exerted a modest inhibitory effect on the pancreatic tumor development along with suppression of VEGF-mediated angiogenesis, and the combination treatment of both agents exerted a more potent inhibitory effect as compared with either single agent. Therapies targeting the tumor vessels have proven very successful for cancer treatment in the experimental models (26). However, some recent studies have suggested that a treatment using single anti-angiogenic agent may not be sufficient to completely inhibit tumor angiogenesis. As such, the use of anti-angiogenic agents as monotherapy in treating the patients with advanced cancer has not yet shown any significant efficacy (15,27). Significant compensatory up-regulation of several pro-angiogenic factors was observed after treatment with a single angiostatic agent. In contrast, treatment with combination angiostatic therapy significantly reduced the compensatory up-regulation (15,28). Pre-clinical evidence indicated that combining anti-angiogenic agents with a conventional chemotherapeutic agent therapy results in additive or even synergistic anti-tumoral effect (12,29,30). Several studies have shown that the combination of newly developed anti-angiogenic agents (DC101, SU5416 and TNP-470) and GEM inhibited the tumor growth and angiogenesis synergistically in pancreatic cancer experimental models (31). Although several anti-angiogenic agents have already been employed in the clinical practice, these newly developed agents frequently induce severe side effects such as perforation of the gastrointestinal tract and hand-foot syndrome (32,33). In the current study, we employed Lo as an anti-angiogenic agent. Lo is widely used currently without serious side effects in more than 100 countries, and its safety of administration to the patients with hypertension has already been proven. A noteworthy finding in this study was that the inhibitory effect of GEM and Lo could be observed at clinically comparable low doses of both agents. Furthermore, Lo was added after the tumor kept growing under the condition of GEM treatment. Since pancreatic tumors frequently exert drug resistance with single treatment of GEM in the clinical practice, we assume that the experimental condition in the current study was very similar to that of the patients with advanced GEM-resistant pancreatic cancer.

We observed that the inhibitory effects of GEM and Lo were associated with suppression of neovascularization and VEGF in the tumor. Of the numerous identified pro-angiogenic factors, VEGF appears to be a key factor in tumor angiogenesis, and VEGF is known to be a survival factor for the EC (24,34). In the pancreatic tumor, VEGF was found to play an important role in supporting the aberrant growth of
the tumor through maintaining its blood supply (35-37). Also, high levels of VEGF correlated with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis in the patients with pancreatic cancer (38). The VEGF gene expression is regulated by several factors, including AT-II (18). Numerous tissues, including the pancreas (39-41), possess their own AT-II-generating systems factors, including AT-II (18). Numerous tissues, including the pancreas (38). The VEGF gene expression is regulated by several mechanisms.

Similarly, GEM may also exert anti-tumoral effect through paracrine/autocrine mechanisms. Classically, GEM shows a marked anti-tumoral effect as a result of its cytotoxic action on the cancer cells (44). In addition to this cytotoxic activity, anti-angiogenic effect of GEM has recently been detected (11.45). It has been reported that the EC were more sensitive to GEM than the pancreatic tumor cells in vitro, and that GEM inhibited neovascularization of the human pancreatic tumor in nude mice at very low-dose metronomic schedule (11). Taken together, it could be possible that coordination of these different biological activities of GEM and Lo via paracrine/autocrine mechanisms resulted in the in vitro combination marked tumoricidal effect. Further studies are required to elucidate the exact mechanism.

In summary, we have shown here that the combination treatment with GEM and Lo significantly inhibited the pancreatic tumor development along with suppression of VEGF-mediated neovascularization even after the tumor was fully established. Since both agents are currently widely used in the clinical practice, this combination regimen may represent a potential new therapeutic strategy for pancreatic cancer.
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