Promoter methylation and expression analysis of MGMT in advanced pediatric brain tumors
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Abstract. Insufficient response to oral temozolomide (TMZ) in children with brain tumor may depend on the repair-action of inducible O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT). To investigate the clinical relevance of MGMT expression, we analyzed MGMT levels by qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry, and the methylation of gene promoter in patients with relapsed or refractory brain tumor, enrolled in an off-label trial with oral temozolomide. The drug was administered at the dose of 200 mg/m2/day in patients with no prior cranio-spinal irradiation, and 180 mg/m2/day in those with previous radiotherapy and/or high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous hematopoietic stem cell rescue. Nine patients with recurrent ependymoma (n=3), low grade glioma (n=3), glioblastoma (n=1), relapsed medulloblastoma (n=2) were enrolled in the study. Median absolute MGMT mRNA expression level standardized for GAPDH was 1.06 (range -0.453 to 3.932). The median relative expression level (RQ=2-ddC) was 4.29 (range 1.585 to 12.228). By immunohistochemistry, the score was 2+ in 6 of the 9 tumor samples, and 1+ in 3, while none was MGMT negative. Methylation of MGMT promoter was detected in only one ependymoma sample. The heterogeneous PFS in our patients treated with second line TMZ, indicates that MGMT expression alone is insufficient to predict the response to TMZ, presumably because of the DNA repair mechanisms involved.

Introduction

Temozolomide (TMZ) is a second-generation alkylating agent that undergoes spontaneous conversion into the active form under physiological conditions, independently of hepatic metabolism. Its ability to diffuse in all tissues including the central nervous system (CNS), together with reduced toxicity compared with its parent compounds, as dacarbazine, made TMZ an interesting agent for treatment of brain tumors (1-3). Its antineoplastic activity in recurrent anaplastic astrocytoma and newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM) is known for over 10 years (4,5). In a small cohort of patients with CNS tumor refractory to standard chemotherapy, therapeutic activity of a second line program based on TMZ was reported by De Sio and coworkers (6). Otherwise, in a phase II study of relapsed or progressive high grade and intrinsic brainstem glioma, the cooperative French/UK group reported no convincing evidence of efficacy (7). Thus, therapeutic efficacy of TMZ in refractory brain tumors remains controversial. In particular, whether any efficacy extends to refractory brain tumors in children needs to be clarified. To this point, it may be of interest that TMZ showed significant activity against pediatric solid tumor xenograft models (8).

Therapeutic response to alkylating agents depends also on activity of DNA repair genes, such as O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT). Evidence of overexpression of MGMT, documented by immunoblot analysis, predicts intrinsic resistance to TMZ; otherwise, in the absence or with very low level of MGMT, proficient mismatch repair systems determine sensitivity to this drug (8). Methylation of MGMT promoter leads to its silencing thus preventing repair of the DNA damage induced by alkylating agents including TMZ, as documented by Paz et al in a series of 206 GBM patients. Hegi et al concluded that no clinical response may be anticipated in patients without MGMT promoter methylation in the lesional tissue (9).

To address the issue of the role of MGMT methylation in children with brain tumor, we examined the promoter methylation status and expression level of MGMT in tumor samples from nine patients with relapsed disease. This information was compared to the clinical response to TMZ in a prospective trial.
Materials and methods

Study population. All patients with recurrent brain tumor seen between 2003 and 2007 at our institution (AUO Meyer, Florence) were eligible for this study. Histological diagnosis and tumor grading were carried out based on the 2007 World Health Organization (2007-WHO) criteria (11). The study was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from the parents or legal guardians in all cases. Nine patients were enrolled in the study. Their histological diagnoses were: ependymoma (EP, WHO-grade III) in three cases, relapsed or progressive low grade gliomas (LGG WHO-grade II) in three cases, glioblastoma (GBM, WHO-grade IV) in one case, medulloblastoma (WHO-grade IV) in two. Their main clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Median age at the time of diagnosis was 7.3 years (range 1-16 years). All had been treated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy according to current frontline therapeutic studies of the Associazione Italiana Ematologia Oncologia Pediatria (AIEOP). All underwent surgery for resection of relapsed disease, which turned to be complete in 3 of 9 cases.

Chemotherapy protocol. All patients received TMZ after surgery. Each course of TMZ (Temodal®) consisted of 200 mg/m² in a single daily dose for five consecutive days (7). Patients with previous radiotherapy and/or high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous hematopoietic stem cell rescue received a reduced dose of 180 mg/m²/day. The intention to treat was to administer nine courses, 28 days apart.

Response criteria. Extent of disease was assessed by contrast-enhanced cranial MRI scan at the time of study entry and then every two courses. Two perpendicular dimensions were determined as indicated in RECIST criteria (12). The following categories were used for evaluation of response: (i) complete response (CR) was defined as the disappearance of all known disease for at least 4 weeks; (ii) partial response (PR) as at least 30% reduction in the longest diameter of measurable lesions for at least 4 weeks; (iii) stable disease (SD) when neither PR nor PD criteria are met; and (iv) progressive disease (PD) as at least 20% increase in the longest diameter of target lesions or the appearance of new lesions (13).

Statistical analysis. The main clinical endpoint of the study was progression-free survival (PFS), defined as the interval between the first day of the first cycle of TMZ and the occurrence of tumor progression.

Promoter methylation analysis; MGMT molecular analysis. This study was performed on 9 samples of relapsed brain tumor. Methylation-specific PCR (MS-PCR) is a technology for the analysis of DNA methyl-ation in the CpG islands of the MGMT promoter after sodium bisulfite modification. In the bisulfite reaction, all unmethylated cytosines are converted to uracils, while 5-methyl-cytosines remain unaltered. Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) was performed with primers specific for either methylated or modified unmethylated DNA. Genomic DNA from tumor tissue and peripheral blood were extracted with QIAamp DNA kit (Qiagen, Milan, Italy) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA (500 ng) in a volume of 70 μl was denatured with freshly prepared 3 M sodium hydroxide for 10 min at 37°C, 3 min at 95°C and immediately placed on ice. A solution of 40 mM hydroquinone (Sigma-Aldrich, Milan, Italy) and 2.8 M sodium bisulfite (Sigma-Aldrich) at pH 5.0 was added and mixed and the samples were incubated at 55°C for 16-20 h in dark. DNA samples were purified from free bisulfite with the Wizard DNA clean-up system (Promega, Madison, WI) and eluted into 100 μl of water, again treated with 3 M sodium hydroxide for 15 min at 37°C, neutralized with 6 M ammonium acetate and precipitated with 2 volumes of cold absolute ethanol. DNA was resuspended in 20 μl of water. Each tumor control DNA for methylated MGMT promoter (CpGenome™ Universal Methylated DNA, Chemicon International, Temecula, CA) and control DNA for the unmethylated MGMT promoter (DNA from normal peripheral blood), after bisulfite modification, were amplified with met and unmet primers. Primer sequence for the methylated reaction were 5'-TTTCGACGTTCTAGTTTGTCCG3'- (forward primer) and 5'-GCACTCTTCCGAAACGAAACG-3' (reverse primer) and for the unmethylated reaction were TTTGTTTTGATGTTGTTAGGTTTTGTGT-3' (forward primer) and 5'-AATCCACACTCTTCCCCAAACAAAAAC-3' (reverse primer). The methylation-specific PCR was carried out in 25 μl reaction mixture containing 5 μl of bisulfite-treated genomic DNA, 1X PCR buffer [Tris.Cl, (NH4)2SO4, 15 mM MgCl2; pH 8.7] (Qiagen), 200 μM dNTPs, 0.5 U HotStarTaq DNA Polimerase (Qiagen) and primers (5 pmol each). The PCR cycle amplification consisted of 95°C for 15 min followed by 29 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 59°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 1 min, and then a final extension at 72°C for 10 min in a PTC 100 Thermal Cycler (MJ Research, Waltham, MA). Twenty microliters of amplified products were loaded onto 4% Metaphor agarose gel (FMC, Philadelphia, PA) and were visualized by using GelRed™ (Biotium, Hayward, CA).

qRT-PCR analysis. Lesional RNA was extracted with Qiagen RNeasy MIDI kit and quantified by spectrophotometer. Measurement of gene expression of MGMT (assay on demand, Applied Biosystems) was performed using the ABI Prism® 7000 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). Prior expression analysis, standard curve was generated for each gene to verify PCR amplification efficiency. Real-time PCR was performed on the corresponding cDNA synthesized from each sample and was repeated in triplicate. The different expression of the target genes normalized to GAPDH were calculated using ∆∆Ct method, ∆∆Ct = (CT_Target - CT_Endo)Sample - (CT_Target - CT_Endo)Reference. The mean and SD were determined from the triplicate samples at each point and data were normalized with Universal Human Reference (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Immunohistochemistry analysis. Sections were mounted on electrostatic slides, deparaffined with xylene and rehydrated by using graded ethanol. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide in distilled water for 10 min. Antigen was retrieved by microwave: the sections were immersed in TEC (Tris-EDTA-Citrate) buffer pH 7.8
and irradiated for 36 min in a microwave 'Processing Labstation' (MicroMED T/T Megamilestone Srl Sorisole, Bergamo, Italy). The primary antibody anti-MGMT (Ab1; clone MT3,1; Neo-Markers lab-Vision Corporation) was used at 1:100 dilution at room temperature for 2 h. Then, the sections were incubated with a mouse amplification reagent (Amplification kit; Ventana Medical System) for 10 min at room temperature. Visualization was obtained by adding a peroxidase conjugated polymer (ChemMate Dako-Envision Detection kit Peroxidase) for 30 min followed by 3,3 diaminobenzidine hydrogen peroxide (ChemMate DAB Chromogen Dako) for 5 min. The nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin. Positive staining was identified when the nucleus and the cytoplasm were brown. MGMT expression was indicated as negative when it was present in no more than 10% of the neoplastic cells, as 1+ when it was present in >10% to 50%, and as 2+ when it was present in >50% of the neoplastic cells. The following two conditions were used as negative controls: a non-immune serum in place of the primary antibody; omission of the primary antibody. An ascertained MGMT-positive colon adenocarcinoma tissue was used as positive control.

Results

Median PFS for the nine patients was 12.4 months (range 3-24 months); at the end of the study all patients were alive, 4 with progressive disease and 5 with stable disease. Three out of 6 patients with measurable disease (LGG1, LGG2 and LGG3) did not progress for 21, 15, and 15 months, respectively. Promoter methylation status, mRNA expression and immunohistochemistry of MGMT for all cases are summarized in Table II. Methylation of MGMT promoter was detected in only one out of 9 specimens (EP1), while the remaining 8, including all six patients with measurable disease (EP2, LGG1, LGG2, LGG3, GBM and MB2) showed an unmethylated promoter (Fig. 1).

Table I. Clinical characteristics of relapsed or progressive brain tumors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Sex/Age at diagnosis, years</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>Therapy before TMZ</th>
<th>Last surgery before TMZ</th>
<th>Number of total courses of TMZ</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>PFS (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP1</td>
<td>M/8</td>
<td>Anaplastic ependymoma</td>
<td>SU+RT+CT+SU</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP2</td>
<td>M/11</td>
<td>Anaplastic ependymoma</td>
<td>SU+RT+CT+SU</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP3</td>
<td>F/16</td>
<td>Anaplastic ependymoma</td>
<td>SU+RT+CT+SU</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG1</td>
<td>M/9</td>
<td>Pleomorphic Xanthoastrocytoma</td>
<td>SU+CT+SU</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG2</td>
<td>F/6</td>
<td>Fibrillary Astrocytoma</td>
<td>SU+CT+CT+SU</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG3</td>
<td>M/4</td>
<td>Pyxomixoid Astrocytoma</td>
<td>SU+CT+SU</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>M/5</td>
<td>Glioblastoma multiforme</td>
<td>SU+RT+CT+SU</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1</td>
<td>F/6</td>
<td>Classic medulloblastoma</td>
<td>SU+RT+CT+SU</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB2</td>
<td>M/1</td>
<td>Classic medulloblastoma</td>
<td>SU+CT+SU</td>
<td>PTR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EP, ependymoma; MB, medulloblastoma; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; LGG, low grade glioma; RT, radiotherapy; CT, chemotherapy; SU, surgery; GTR, gross total removal; PTR, partial total removal; PD, progressive disease; SD, stable disease; PFS, progression-free survival.

Figure 1. Methylation status of MGMT promoter in brain tumor samples. L, 100 bp marker ladder; PC, positive control for methylated DNA; NC, negative control (DNA from normal blood sample); 1, 4th recurrence of sample EP1; 2, LGG2 sample and 3, GBM sample.
score 2+, 3 (33%) had score 1+, and none was MGMT negative. In a single case MGMT promoter was found to be methylated. This was a patient with anaplastic ependymoma (EP1) at the fourth relapse. It was MGMT positive (score 1+) by immunohistochemistry (Table II).

Discussion

Alkylating agents, such as TMZ, are among the most widely used chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of brain tumors. Their efficacy, mediated by DNA damage, is greatly dependent on the activity of MGMT, a DNA repair enzyme. The methylation status of its promoter gene has also been related to silencing of MGMT expression and activity.

Our results, although on a small cohort, document that in advanced, either relapsed or progressive, pediatric brain tumor, the expression of MGMT is variable. The methylated MGMT promoter status, with a moderate level of expression of MGMT by both qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry, was found only in one case (at fourth relapse of EP1). At difference with other studies reporting a correlation between methylation status and response at TMZ, we showed that in pediatric patients the methylated MGMT promoter was, however, characterized by moderate-high expression of MGMT without correlation to clinical response at this agent. Quantitative analysis identifies expression of MGMT mRNA. In our analysis gene expression was considerably high in two samples: case LGG3 and case MB1 that showed a ten-fold logarithmic increment. Lower expression was found in two cases: in case EP1, the finding is in keeping with observed promoter methylation and low protein expression; on the contrary, in case GBM, which shows promoter unmethylation, we suspect that this result may depend on tissue sampling, due to the highly heterogeneous nature of this tumor. Three of the 6 patients with measurable disease did not progress for a median of 17.0 months. In these cases the unmethylated form of the promoter, and a moderate expression of MGMT gene were found by both qRT-PCR and immunohistochemistry analysis.
Donson et al. demonstrated that tumors always presented an MGMT-positive status. Pathology study on 23 pediatric medulloblastoma/PNET showed a methylated MGMT promoter in 28 (76%) patients, whereas alteration of methylation status had not prognostic implication (18). In adult low grade oligodendroglioma, it was also demonstrated a significant correlation between LOH at chromosome 1p, radiographic response to treatment with TMZ, and low MGMT protein expression evaluated by immunohistochemistry (21).

A series of studies evaluating the expression of MGMT did not reveal a strictly correlation with methylation status of the promoter gene (18,19). Several MGMT expression analysis have been carried out by immunohistochemistry, even if no method has yet been well standardized. Nakasu et al. analyzing neoplastic and non-neoplastic cells of gliomas, found that tumors always presented an MGMT-positive normal cell component. Considering a cut-off point at 10% of the normal cell component in glioma samples for discriminating the negative tumor from the positive one, they showed that MGMT negative expression tumor was a significant factor for survival (P<0.05) in the patient treated with ACNU (20).

Several studies have demonstrated a prognostic impact of MGMT promoter methylation in malignant glioma of adult patients (9,14). A recent pathological study reported that variation in MGMT promoter methylation can occur within different specimens taken from the same tumor before and after adjuvant treatment (15). Hegi et al demonstrated that the patients with newly diagnosed GBM treated with TMZ and radiotherapy containing a methylated MGMT promoter had a survival benefit (10). A retrospective study including 219 adult GBMs showed that methylation status had no impact on PFS and OS though there was a significant advantage for GBM patients treated with RT and adjuvant nitrosourea; particularly, survival curves of patients who received BCNU during the course of RT showing a clear, positive impact of methylation on survival (P=0.0004) (16). Doson et al examined the MGMT methylation status in a small cohort of ten pediatric GBM treated with TMZ as part of therapy under a variety of therapeutic protocols, either an initial therapy or relapse. They found that methylation correlated (P=0.0005) with a longer survival and the mean survival time for patients with methylated MGMT was 13.7±3.7 months, as compared to 2.5±1.7 months for patients with an unmethylated MGMT promoter (17).

In a series of 93 adult patients with WHO-grade III glioma uniformly treated with adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy (BCNU alone for anaplastic astrocytoma and PCV regimen for those with oligodendritic component) after surgery, it was shown that absence of tumor MGMT expression was independently associated with a longer OS in patients with anaplastic glialoma who received chemotherapy, whereas alteration of methylation status had not prognostic implication (18). In adult low grade oligodendroglioma, it was also demonstrated a significant correlation between LOH at chromosome 1p, radiographic response to treatment with TMZ, and low MGMT protein expression evaluated by immunohistochemistry (22).

Table II. MGMT analysis in relapsed or progressive pediatric brain tumors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor sample</th>
<th>Promoter status score</th>
<th>mRNA expression levels (dCt)</th>
<th>Relative expression level</th>
<th>Immunohistochemistry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP1</td>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.585</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP2</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.246</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP3</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4.605</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG1</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.196</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG2</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>4.431</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGG3</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>12.228</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1.761</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB1</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>9.458</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB2</td>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>4.290</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Ref.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MGMT mRNA expression in tumor samples standardized for GAPDH (mean values from three replicates). EP, ependymoma; LGG, low grade glioma; GBM, glioblastoma multiforme; MB, medulloblastoma. Human Ref, Universal Human Reference RNA (Stratagene). Immunohistochemistry score 0: ≤10%; 1: >10% ≤50%; 2: >50%.
tumors. Interestingly, they observed that MGMT expression by qRT-PCR varied more than 20-fold without any correlation with promoter methylation status. Similarly to our data, they demonstrated that all tumors presented an MGMT positive staining in immunohistochemistry analysis (24).

The results showing a low toxicity profile of TMZ have encouraged the use of this second-generation of alkylating agents in refractory tumors such as relapsed or progressive HGGs as well as other high risk pediatric brain tumors. The difference in response to chemotherapy of pediatric brain tumors are striking and, at the present time, not easily explained. Moreover, the repair of cytotoxic DNA damage by MGMT also seems to represent an important factor of chemoresistance to TMZ or other nitrosourea-based drugs as CCNU, commonly used in the treatment of brain tumors. A phase II study from Children's Oncology Group of TMZ in 104 pediatric brain tumors concluded that TMZ activity may be less robust in children even if adult studies confirmed a high response to TMZ for both low grade and high grade gliomas. A partial response has been obtained both in one high grade and in one low grade gliomas, responses (1 complete and 3 partial) were obtained in 4 out of 25 recurrent medulloblastoma/PNETs, whereas no response among the other patients with ependymoma, brain stem or other recurrent CNS tumors was reported (25). At present, there is an ongoing phase I/II study of TMZ plus autologous stem cell rescue in therapy of children with newly diagnosed HGG or recurrent brain tumors by Dr Henry Friedman of the Duke Comprehensive Cancer Center in Durham, NC, USA.

The use of TMZ alone or combined has produced promising results in phase I/II clinical trials in adult high grade gliomas (HGG) (26-30). A recent study on a small cohort of recurrent or progressive gliomas in adults pre-treated with TMZ showed a reduction of side effects and an objective response respect to other more aggressive treatments (31). TMZ varies in efficacy in the treatment of pediatric brain tumors. De Sio et al reported that TMZ was a well tolerated treatment which showed efficacy in refractory CNS tumors (6). A phase II clinical trial of TMZ administered three times a day for five days followed by two days of rest showed stabilization in around 30% of relapsed supratentorial gliomas (32). However, the results of a larger phase II study which considered 104 pediatric relapsed brain tumor patients, were not very encouraging, showing a limited overall objective response (25).

The heterogeneous PFS of our patients treated with second line TMZ, indicates that MGMT expression alone is insufficient to predict the response to TMZ at the molecular level, presumably because of the numerous DNA repair mechanisms involved. On the basis of our experience and literature data it is very likely that pediatric brain tumors have nearly always the MGMT promoter at unmethylated status consequently with a high level of this DNA repair enzyme.

In conclusion, the DNA damage of TMZ seems to be repaired by MGMT. This mechanism is likely efficient on the combination of TMZ with other antitumoral drugs as well, since the main DNA damage of TMZ is the methylation of the O' position of the guanine which is efficiently removed by MGMT. Waiting for the results of clinical trials which use TMZ in combination with other antitumoral agents, the treatment with TMZ alone has low efficacy and does not improve the clinical outcome of refractory pediatric CNS tumors. Further studies are needed to better characterize the role of MGMT gene in the resistance to alkylating agents such as TMZ.
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