Expression and role of SIRT1 in hepatocellular carcinoma
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Abstract. Silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 (SIRT1) is a multifaceted, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent protein deacetylase with involvement in a wide variety of cellular processes ranging from cancer to aging. Expression of SIRT1 was evaluated in 90 cases of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and five HCC cell lines. The relationship between the mutation status of p53 and expression of SIRT1 was also investigated in 10 fresh HCC tissues. Synthetic small interfering RNA was used to silence SIRT1 gene expression by RNA interference (RNAi), and cell growth and cell cycle progression were assessed. Expression of SIRT1 was significantly elevated in the HCC tissues when compared to that of non-tumor tissues (p<0.001). Overexpression of SIRT1 and p53 was observed in 56% (50 of 90) and in 30% (27 of 90) of the HCCs, respectively. Expression of SIRT1 showed significant correlation with gender (p=0.023), serum AFP levels (p=0.030), viral infection (p=0.005) and p53 expression (p<0.021). Western blot analysis found no correlation between p53 mutation and expression levels of SIRT1. SIRT1 silencing was found to induce cell growth arrest in HCC cells. These results suggest an association of SIRT1 expression with HCC development and that SIRT1 plays a role in cancer cell growth.

Introduction

Silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 (SIRT1) is a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide-dependent histone deacetylase (1,2) that allows cell survival under genotoxic and oxidative stress (3-7). The role of SIRT1 in cell survival is achieved by deacetylation of key cell cycle molecules and apoptosis regulatory proteins, including Foxo family proteins (3), Ku70 (4), NF-κB (5), and p53 (6,7). Up-regulation of SIRT1 induces deacetylated inactivation of p53, which allows proliferation of cells in the presence of damaged DNA such that mutations accumulate, including those in p53 itself, leading to disruption of the cell cycle control and promotion of tumor progression (6-11). Recent studies have demonstrated overexpression of SIRT1 in cancer tissue, compared with normal tissue, suggesting that SIRT1 may act as a tumor promoter (9,12-16). However, expression of SIRT1 in HCC and its role have not been investigated.

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common malignant tumor worldwide, with an incidence of 626,000 cases and 598,000 deaths annually, making it the third most common cause of cancer-related deaths throughout the world (17). Over the past few decades, considerable progress has been made in diagnosis and treatment of HCC. However, HCC is still associated with a high rate of mortality, and prognosis for this tumor is poor, even with treatment that is considered potentially curative (18). Moreover, molecular changes and mechanisms that regulate development and progression of HCC remain unclear.

In the present study, we examined i) SIRT1 expression in surgical specimens of human HCCs and its relationship with clinicopathological factors, ii) the relationship between mutation status of p53 and expression of SIRT1, and iii) the question of whether SIRT1 silencing by small interfering RNA affects cell growth and the cell cycle in HCC cells.

Materials and methods

Patients and specimens. The present study was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of Chonbuk National University Medical School. We performed a retrospective study of HCC specimens that were obtained from 90 patients who underwent surgical resection between 1998 and 2006 at the Chonbuk National University Hospital. Of the 90 patients with HCC, 77 were men and 13 were women. The mean age of the patients was 58 years (age range, 30-76). Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) serologies were positive in 68 and 7 patients, respectively. Clinicopathological data were obtained from medical records at Chonbuk National University Hospital. Patient age at diagnosis, gender, T stage, microvessel invasion, intrahepatic metastasis, Edmonson histological grade, prothrombin time, level of serum albumin, presence of cirrhosis, etiology, and level of serum α-fetoprotein (AFP) were recorded.
HCC cell lines. Human HCC cell lines, HLE, HLF, and HuH-7, were purchased from the Health Science Research Resources Bank (Osaka, Japan) and HepG2 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). In addition, the sarcomaoid HCC cell line, designated as SH-J1, which was established in our laboratory (19) was used. HepG2, HLE, and HuH-7 cell lines were cultured according to the recommendations of the cell banks.

Immunohistochemistry. For immunohistochemical staining, the Dako Envision system, which uses dextran polymers conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Dako, Carpinteria, CA), was employed in order to avoid any endogenous biotin contamination. Briefly, after deparaffinization, tissue sections were treated with a microwave antigen retrieval procedure in 0.01 M sodium citrate buffer for 10 min. After blocking endogenous peroxidase, sections were incubated with Protein Block Serum-Free (Dako) at room temperature for 10 min in order to block non-specific staining; the sections were then incubated for 2 h at room temperature with anti-SIRT1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and p53 (Novocastra, Newcastle, UK) antibodies. Peroxidase activity was detected with the enzyme substrate 3-amoine-9-ethyl carbazole. For the negative controls, sections were treated in the same manner, except that they were incubated with Tris-buffered saline without the primary antibody. The samples subjected to immunostaining were rated according to a score calculated by multiplying the intensity of the stain times the area of the stain. The intensity of cell staining was graded according to the following scale: 0, no staining; 1+, mild staining; 2+, moderate staining; 3+ strong staining. The area of staining was evaluated according to the following scale: 0, 0-9% of cells stained positive; 1+, 10-29% of cells stained positive; 2+, 30-69% of cells stained positive; 3+, >70% of cells stained positive. The maximum combined score was 9, and the minimum score was zero. Since non-malignant hepatocytes can also express SIRT1, scores in tumors at least one scale more than that of the corresponding non-tumor tissues were defined as positive (SIRT1 combined score in tumor tissue vs. combined score in non-tumor tissue). Samples with nuclear p53 staining of at least 10% of the tumor cells were defined as positive.

TA-cloning and DNA sequencing for p53. The RNasy Plus Micro kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol for extraction of total RNA from 10 mg of frozen tumor tissues and HCC cells. Reverse transcription was performed using avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV) reverse transcriptase (CosmoGenetech, Seoul, Korea) with an oligo (dT) primer supplied by the RT-Premix kit. The reaction product was incubated at 70°C for 10 min, and iced for 5 min to allow the primer to anneal, at 45°C for 60 min for reverse transcription, and then at 95°C for 5 min. The primer set for amplification of a human p53 cds was designed according to GenBank NM_000546, using forward primers, 5'-ATGGAGGAGCCCGTCAGTCACTTACGCCTCAGGAG-3' and reverse primers, 5'-TCAGTCTGAGTACGGCCCTTCTGTCTTGAA-3'. PCR conditions were 95°C for 45 sec, 60°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 90 sec for 35 cycles using LaboPass pfu polymerase (CosmoGenetech). PCR products of the human p53 were purified using a LaboPass PCR purification kit (CosmoGenetech) and cloned into a pCR2.1 vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). We obtained 5-18 clones for each individual sample. Theoretically, it would be logical to obtain at least 10 clones for each individual sample; however, in practical terms, obtaining 10 clones from some samples was difficult. Therefore, we attempted to sequence as many clones as possible, using a BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with an ABI PRISM 3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Western blotting. Total protein was extracted in 1% Nonidet P-40 buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail I and II (Sigma), and the lysate was incubated on ice for 10 min and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The Coomassie blue method (Bio-Rad protein assay, Bio-Rad, Richmond, CA) was used for determination of the protein concentration. Protein samples were separated by electrophoresis on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and the separated proteins were electrotransferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane using a semidyrid transfer method (Bio-Rad). The membrane was then blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in Tris-buffered saline (TBS)-0.1% Tween-20 (15 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) for 1 h in order to reduce non-specific binding. The membrane was incubated with anti-SIRT1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-p53 (Novocastra) anti-acetylated p53 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) overnight at 4°C. After washing 3 times with TBST, the membrane was incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the corresponding secondary antibodies, and immune complexes were visualized using an ECL detection system (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK); they were then exposed to a luminescent image analyzer (LAS-3000, Fuji Film, Tokyo, Japan). Equal loading of proteins in each lane was confirmed by probing of the membrane with mouse anti-β-actin (Sigma).

Small interfering RNA transfection. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequences were used for silencing of SIRT1 expression. SIRT1 siRNA and negative control were purchased from Bioneer Corporation (Daejeon, Korea). Sequences for SIRT1-specific siRNAs and negative control siRNA were as follows: SIRT1, sense 5'-CUGUGAAAUUAUCUGCAAGA(dT) dT)-3'; antisense 5'-UCUUGCAGUAAUUUCACAG(dT)dT)-3'; SIRT1-specific siRNAs and negative control were obtained from the Cell Biology Lab (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA). We obtained 5-18 clones for each individual sample; however, in practical terms, obtaining 10 clones from some samples was difficult. Therefore, we attempted to sequence as many clones as possible, using a BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with an ABI PRISM 3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, one day prior to transfection, cells were seeded in a 6-well plate with antibiotic-free serum. At the time of transfection, cell confluence was 50% and the medium was replaced with antibiotic-free growth medium. SIRT1 and negative control siRNA were diluted in 500 µl of DMEM, followed by addition of 5 µl Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent; it was then left to stand at room temperature for 20 min. The diluted RNAi-Lipofectamine RNAiMAX mixture was added to the cells. The cells were incubated at 37°C in a CO_2_ incubator for 48 h. After 48 h, the cells were
harvested, and expression of the transfected gene was evaluated by Western blotting.

**Cell growth and proliferation assay.** Cell growth was determined by the colorimetric tetrazolium derived XTT (sodium 3'-[1-(phenylaminocarbonyl)-3,4-tetrazolium]-bis (4-methoxy-6-nitro) benzene sulfonic acid hydrate) assay (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany), and DNA synthesis of cells was assessed by the BrdU (bromodeoxyuridine) incorporation assay (roche Applied science). For the cell growth and proliferation assay, at 48 h after transfection of si rNA, the cells of each group were re-seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 0.3-1x10^4 cells per well. After 24-48 h, X tt and incorporated BrdU were measured colorimetrically using a microtiter plate reader (Bio-rad) at a wavelength of 450 nm.

**Cell cycle analysis.** Cells in 6-well plates were transfected with sIrt1 si rNA using the Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were washed with PBS and fixed in 70% ethanol. Cells were treated with RNase at 37˚C for 30 min, and then incubated with propidium iodide (10 µg/ml). Percentages of cells in different phases of the cell cycle were measured with a FACStar flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analyzed using Lysis II and CellFIT software (Becton-Dickinson) or ModFit software (Verity Software House, Inc., Topsham, ME).

**Statistical analysis.** Comparisons between SIRT1 expression and clinical factors were tested by the $\chi^2$ test. Differences in the SIRT1 expression score between HCC and non-malignant hepatocytes were tested by the Mann-Whitney rank sum test. P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Results**

**Immunohistochemical staining of SIRT1 and p53 in HCC.** SIRT1 expression in HCC was significantly up-regulated (2.70±0.3) compared to that in the non-malignant hepatocytes (0.19±0.1) (p<0.001). Overexpression of SIRT1 was observed in 50 out of 90 (56%) HCC specimens, when compared with the non-malignant hepatocytes. In HCC cells, SIRT1 expression was predominantly localized to the nucleus; however, in some cells, it was localized to both the nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig. 1). Overexpression of p53 was observed in 27 of 90 (30%) of the HCC tissues. Our statistical results showed a significant association between expression of SIRT1 and expression of p53 (p<0.05) (Table I).

**Association of SIRT1 expression with clinicopathological factors of hepatocellular carcinoma patients.** For the 90 HCC patients, significant associations were observed between SIRT1 expression and gender, serum AFP level, etiology (viral vs. non-viral) and p53 expression (p=0.023, p=0.03, p=0.005, p=0.021, respectively). Clinicopathological features of HCC patients and their relationships between SIRT1 expression are shown in Table I.

**p53 mutation and SIRT1 expression.** A mutation in the p53 gene often results in a prolonged half-life of the protein, compared to the wild-type and loss of function (20). The mutated p53 protein tends to accumulate in cell nuclei and can be analyzed immunohistochemically. Therefore, positive nuclear staining suggests mutated or overexpressed p53. Immunohistochemical study revealed a significant association between SIRT1 expression and expression of p53; therefore, the relationship between SIRT1 expression and p53 mutation in the HCC cell lines and HCC tissues was examined.

Of the 10 HCC tissues, five tissues showed the mutant p53 gene. The majority of mutations were single nucleotide substitutions (point mutations). One HCC tissue showed 2 types of mutations: the insertion mutation and the missense mutation. The mutation of p53 was found to occur primarily in the exon 5 [a hot spot (exon 5-8)] region (Table II). The p53 gene mutation was also identified in the HCC cell lines. p53 gene mutation analysis of HepG2 and SH-J1 cells indicated the wild-type form. In contrast, Huh-7 cells had 1 missense mutation in exon 6 while HLF and HLE cells had 1 missense mutation in exon 7 (Table III). Using Western blotting, we evaluated expression of SIRT1 and p53 in five different human HCC cell lines (p53 wild-type cell lines, HepG2, SH-J1; and p53-mutated type cell lines, Huh-7, HLF, and HLE). The expression level of the p53 protein was higher in the p53-mutated type HCC cell lines than in the p53 wild-type HCC cell lines. No difference was observed in the expression level of SIRT1 between the p53 wild-type HCC cell lines and the p53-mutated HCC cell lines (Fig. 2A). Similar to the results for the HCC cell lines, no correlation was observed between p53 mutation and the SIRT1 expression level in the HCC tissues (Fig. 2B).

**Silencing of SIRT1 acetylated p53 protein.** Since SIRT1 is known to deacetylate p53 protein, expression levels of acetylated p53 and SIRT1 were evaluated in SIRT1 siRNA-transfected HepG2 (p53 wild-type) and HLF (p53-mutated...
Transfection with sIrt1 siRNA resulted in decreased sIrt1 protein expression by 75 and 81% at 48 h post-transfection in the HepG2 and HLF cells, respectively. Down-regulation of sIrt1 in the HepG2 and HLF cells resulted in accumulation of acetylated p53 by 39 and 88% when compared to that of the control, respectively (Fig. 3).

Effects of SIRT1 silencing on cell growth and cell proliferation. sIrt1 silencing of HepG2 and HLF cells resulted in a significant inhibition of cell growth when compared to that of the control (p<0.001) (Fig. 4A). Down-regulation of SIRT1 in HepG2 and HLF cells by SIRT1 siRNA resulted in decreased cell proliferation when compared to that of the control, but without statistical significance (Fig. 4B).

Silencing of SIRT1 induces G1 arrest in HepG2 cells. The effect of SIRT1 siRNA transfection on cell cycle distribution was determined in HepG2 and HLF cells by flow cytometry. Down-regulation of SIRT1 in the HepG2 cells induced an increase in G1 phase arrest up to 55% (p<0.001) (Fig. 5A). However, specific phase arrest was not observed in the SIRT1-down-regulated HLF cells (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

SIRT1 has been regarded as a tumor promoter due to its increased expression in some types of cancers and its role in the inactivation of proteins involved in tumor suppression and DNA damage repair (8-10,12,15). However, in a recent study,
reduced SIRT1 levels were demonstrated in other types of cancers, and SIRT1 deficiency was shown to result in genetic instability and tumorigenesis (21). Whether SIRT1 acts as a tumor promoter or tumor suppressor remains controversial. The present study demonstrated that i) expression of SIRT1 was significantly elevated in HCC tissues when compared to non-tumor tissues; ii) expression of SIRT1 showed significant correlation with p53 expression in HCC tissues by immunohistochemistry; iii) Western blot analysis found no correlation between p53 mutation and the SIRT1 expression level; iv) expression of SIRT1 was associated with gender, serum AFP level, and viral infection in HCC patients; and v) SIRT1 silencing induced significant inhibition in the viability and growth of human HCC cells.

Down-regulation of normal SIRT1 protein observed during ageing is lost in cancer cells, rendering them resistant to replicative senescence after oxidative stress and facilitating tumor development due to less induction of apoptosis by p53 in response to DNA damage (6-11). In our study, significantly elevated expression of SIRT1 was observed in HCC tissues when compared to non-tumor tissues. Increased expression of SIRT1 has been reported in various types of malignant tumors, including human cutaneous tumors (12), and breast (13), colon (13), prostate (14), and mouse cancers (9). In addition, SIRT1 expression has been suggested as a possible prognostic indicator in human malignant lymphoma (16) and gastric cancer (22). Thus, our present results and previous data suggest that SIRT1 expression may act as a tumor promoter and may increase the risk of cancer. However, this issue remains controversial. In contrast to our results, a previous study demonstrated the down-regulation of SIRT1 in colon tumors (23) and its antitumor properties (24). Despite frequent overexpression of SIRT1 in malignant ovarian serous tumors, increased expression of SIRT1 has been reported in various types of malignant tumors, including human cutaneous tumors (12), and breast (13), colon (13), prostate (14), and mouse cancers (9). In addition, SIRT1 expression has been suggested as a possible prognostic indicator in human malignant lymphoma (16) and gastric cancer (22). Thus, our present results and previous data suggest that SIRT1 expression may act as a tumor promoter and may increase the risk of cancer. However, this issue remains controversial. In contrast to our results, a previous study demonstrated the down-regulation of SIRT1 in colon tumors (23) and its antitumor properties (24). Despite frequent overexpression of SIRT1 in malignant ovarian serous tumors, increased expression of SIRT1 showed a correlation with increased overall survival (15). In addition, a recent study demonstrated suppressed intestinal tumor formation due to enhanced SIRT1 expression in a β-catenin-dependent mouse model of colon cancer, thereby indicating that the effects of SIRT1 may vary in different tumor models, depending on downstream targets of the enzyme (25). Further analysis of SIRT1 expression by cancer cells is required for determination of its mechanism of action and its importance in carcinogenesis.
Reciprocal regulation occurs between SIRT1 and p53. SIRT1 binds and deacetylates activated p53 (6, 7), while activated p53 induces down-regulation of SIRT1 translation via miR-34a (26). Therefore, the possible correlation between SIRT1 and p53 expression status was investigated in HCC tissues. In the present study, expression of SIRT1 showed a significant correlation with p53 expression in HCC tissues, suggesting a close association of SIRT1 expression with p53. The mutated p53 protein tended to accumulate in cell nuclei, and positive nuclear staining in the immunohistochemistry indicated mutated p53. These findings suggest a possible role of mutated p53 in the increased expression of SIRT1 by inducing disturbance of the repressed function of p53 in the expression of SIRT1. The relationship between SIRT1 expression and p53 mutation was examined in HCC cell lines and HCC tissues. Although p53 mutation might be expected to affect SIRT1 expression, this study did not demonstrate a significant association between p53 mutation and the SIRT1 expression level in the Western blot analysis.

In the present study, a significant association was found between SIRT1 expression and HBV infection in HCC patients. HBV infection can promote carcinogenesis by at least 3 different mechanisms (27-29). First, insertional mutations of HBV are known to activate endogenous genes with involvement in cell cycle control, cellular proliferation, and differentiation. Second, integration of viral DNA into the host genome can induce chromosomal instability. The third mechanism of carcinogenesis linked to HBV infection is based on expression of viral proteins, particularly HBx, in the modulation of cell proliferation and viability. Binding of HBV DNA to p53 protein, resulting in inactivation of p53 transcription, has already been established (28). Alteration of several new genes by HBV integration in tumors has recently been reported, suggesting that viral integration in the vicinity of genes controlling cell proliferation, viability, and differentiation is a mechanism with frequent involvement in HBV hepatocarcinogenesis (29,30). Allison et al (31) demonstrated that exogenous expression of human papilloma virus (HPV) E7 in primary human keratinocytes induces abnormally high levels of SIRT1 protein. HPV E7 is also required for maintenance of abnormally high levels of SIRT1 protein expression in cervical cancer cells. In addition, the ability of HPV E7 to up-regulate SIRT1 appears to be linked with HPV E7-mediated suppression of apoptosis in cervical cancer cells (31,32). Our observations, together with those of previous studies, suggest a possible interaction between HBV and SIRT1, which resulted in increased expression of SIRT1 protein and prolonged tumor cell survival.

Our study found a significant association of elevated serum AFP with SIRT1 overexpression in HCC patients. The AFP gene is regulated primarily by the positive transcriptional regulatory factor hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 (HNF1) and a

Figure 5. Cell cycle analysis in hepatocellular carcinoma (A) HepG2 and (B) HLF cell lines. HepG2 cells transfected with SIRT1 siRNA showed ~55% G1 arrest.
negative transcriptional factor, AT-motif-binding factor 1 (ATBF1) (33). p53 is also involved in the negative regulation of AFP gene expression through alteration of chromatin structure at the core promoter (34,35). Little is known regarding the relationship between expression or the role of SIRT1 and AFP production in HCC. Only one previous study has demonstrated strong repression of AFP promoter activity by p53, while SIRT1 binds and deacetylates the p53 protein, reducing its transcriptional activity, resulting in increased AFP promoter activity in HepG2 cells (36).

Our study revealed that SIRT1 silencing leads to an increase in acetylated p53 and induces cell growth arrest in HCC cells. Our findings are in agreement with those of previous studies demonstrating that SIRT1 silencing by RNA interference induces growth arrest and/or apoptosis in human colorectal carcinoma cells (37). SIRT1 inhibitor, sirtinol, induced senescent-like growth arrest characterized by induction of senescence-associated β-galactosidase activity in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells and lung cancer H1299 cells (38). In addition, a recent study demonstrated that SIRT1 inhibition via nicotinamide and sirtinol, as well as short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated RNA interference, result in significant inhibition of the growth and viability of human prostate cancer cells (39). Therefore, our findings, together with those of previous studies, suggest that up-regulation of SIRT1 expression may play an important role in promotion of cell growth in HCC tissues.

In the present study, silencing of SIRT1 in p53 wild-type HepG2 cells induced G1 phase arrest, while specific phase arrest was not observed in SIRT1-down-regulated p53-mutated HLF cells. A previous study demonstrated that SIRT1 inhibition imparts an anti-proliferative response in human prostate cancer cells, irrespective of their p53 status (39). In wild-type p53 prostate cancer cells, shRNA-mediated knock-down of SIRT1 resulted in an increase in senescence, whereas, in prostate cancer cells lacking p53, SIRT1 knockdown resulted in induction of apoptosis (40). In contrast, Yamakuchi et al. reported that inhibition of SIRT1 expression with miR-34a resulted in an increase in acetylated p53, and, ultimately, an increase in apoptosis in colon cancer cells with wild-type p53, but not in colon cancer cells lacking p53 (26). The precise mechanism of the differential anti-proliferative responses of SIRT1 inhibition in human cancer cells according to p53 status remains unclear; however, the differential effects of SIRT1 on cancer cell growth may be a result of the type and location of p53 mutation as well as a potentially different gain or loss of function caused by p53 mutation. However, further in-depth studies are needed in order to dissect the molecular mechanism(s) of the observed differential response of SIRT1 inhibition in cancer cells, particularly in connection with p53.

In conclusion, SIRT1 may play a role as a tumor promoter and may also play an important role in cancer cell growth in HCC. Our findings may provide a rationale for SIRT1 inhibition as a novel therapeutic approach to suppression of proliferation of HCC cells.
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