Sunitinib inhibits tumor vascularity and growth but does not affect Akt and ERK phosphorylation in xenograft tumors
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Abstract. Sunitinib is a multikinase inhibitor approved for use in some solid human malignancies, including renal clear cell and gastrointestinal stromal cancer, and under investigation for many other neoplasias. In many preclinical cancer models sunitinib has shown anti-angiogenic and antitumor effects, acting mainly by inhibiting the activity of pro-angiogenic growth factor receptors. However, a percentage of tumors develop resistance to this treatment. The aim of this study was to identify novel potential molecular targets for the non-responsive tumors. The effects of sunitinib were investigated in xenograft tumors obtained by injecting HEK293 cells into NOD-SCID mice, focusing on the activity of growth-regulating pathways involved in tumorigenesis. During 11 days of oral administration of sunitinib (40 mg/kg/day), the growth of tumors was monitored by measuring the mass volume by a caliper. At the end of the treatment, tumor specimens were histologically examined for microvessel density (MVD) and presence of necrosis, and the phosphorylation of ERK and Akt was analyzed in protein extracts by Western blotting. Moreover, the mRNA levels of VEGF and its receptor genes were measured by quantitative RT-PCR. Treatment with sunitinib elicited a clear reduction of the tumor growth, associated with a reduction of MVD, correlated with an increased number of necrotic cells. In contrast, the levels of phosphorylated Akt and ERK proteins were similar in treated and non-treated animals. The VEGF and VEGFR-1 and 2 transcripts were not affected by sunitinib treatment. In conclusion, these findings confirm the anti-angiogenic action as the major effect of sunitinib against tumor growth. In contrast, other important growth regulatory pathways involved in malignant transformation, such as the ERK-MAPK and Akt/mTOR pathways are not affected by such a treatment, suggesting the use of specific inhibitors of these pathways as valid candidates for combinatorial therapies in sunitinib-resistant malignancies.

Introduction

Sunitinib (sunitinib malate; SU11248; Sutent®, Pfizer Inc., New York, NY, USA) is a multitargeted tyrosine kinase (TK) inhibitor with antitumor and anti-angiogenic activities. Sunitinib has shown potent inhibiting effects on the activity of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptors one (VEGFR-1) and two (VEGFR-2), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), fetal liver TK receptor and KIT (stem-cell factor receptor), in both biochemical and cellular assays (1,2). Moreover, many preclinical and clinical studies support its efficacy in renal cancer and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST), showing as its major mechanism of action inhibition of the activity of VEGFR and PDGFR (3). In various tumors sunitinib has also displayed antiproliferative and apoptotic effects via inhibiting the protein TK-mediated proliferation and survival of tumor cells (4).

Although sunitinib is currently considered the standard of care for first-line therapy of metastatic clear-cell renal cell carcinoma (RCC) (5), not all patients respond to this drug and the vast majority eventually develop resistance to this therapy (6). Similarly, in GIST resistant to imatinib, the treatment with sunitinib may also result in a secondary TK inhibitor resistance (7). Up-regulation of alternative kinase pathway has been proposed as one of the mechanisms of resistance to kinase inhibitors developing in tumor cells (8,9). Thus, in this preclinical study we investigated the in vivo effects of sunitinib on the activity of some tumorigenic pathways. In xenograft tumors obtained by injecting HEK293 cells in immunodeficient mice, the levels of phosphorylated ERK and Akt were analyzed after treatment with sunitinib (40 mg/kg/day for 11 days), able
to inhibit tumor growth by reducing its vascularity. In addition, the gene expression of the proangiogenic factor VEGF and its receptors was also evaluated.

Materials and methods

Xenograft model. Animal experiments were carried out in agreement with the principles and procedures approved by the local Ethics Committee. HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM (Lonza, Milan, Italy) containing 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (0.1 mg/ml) and streptomycin (2.5 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich S.r.l., Milan, Italy). Female NOD-SCID mice of 4-6 weeks of age were injected subcutaneously in the flank with 1x10⁶ cells suspended in 200 µl of D-PBS. Treatment was initiated when tumors reached an average volume of ~500 mm³. Tumor-bearing mice were randomized into groups (n=5 each) consisting of a control group (vehicle only) and treatment group with sunitinib (40 mg/kg/day for 11 days). Treatment was delivered once daily by oral gavage in a volume of ~100 µl using a sterile animal feeding needle. Tumor volume was measured at the start of the treatment and every 2 days during the course of therapy using a caliper, and tumor volumes calculated according to the following equation: Vt = 0.5 x D² x d where Vt is the volume of tumor, D and d the long and short diameter. The body weight, feeding behaviour and motor activity of mice were used as indicators of general health. At sacrifice, the tumors were dissected free of vessels, fibrous tissue and surrounding dermis. Tumors were weighed, cut longitudinally to provide a representative fragment for immunohistochemistry, and the remainder was fresh frozen in liquid N₂ for subsequent protein and RNA isolation.

Protein extraction and Western blot analysis. Total proteins were extracted from xenograft tumor tissue and homogenized in 150 µl of buffer containing NaCl 0.15 mM/l, MgCl₂ 1.5 mM/l, HEPES 50 mM/l, EGTA 5 mM/l, glycerol 1% v/v, Triton 1% v/v (all from Sigma-Aldrich) and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Milan, Italy). The homogenate was centrifuged at 1200 rpm (4°C for 10 min), the supernatant containing the whole-cell lysate was centrifuged at 12000 rpm (4°C for 15 min) and were quantified spectrophotometrically using the Bradford method. Thirty micrograms of proteins were loaded onto a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and subjected to electrophoresis at a constant voltage (120 V). Electroblotting to a Hybond ECL-PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare, Milan, Italy) was performed for 2 h at 225 mA using the Mini Trans Blot system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Milan, Italy). Membranes were blocked with TTBS/milk (TBS, 1% v/v Tween-20, and 5% w/v non-fat dry milk) for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with affinity-purified anti-Akt, anti-p-Akt polyclonal antibody diluted 1:200 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-ERK1,2 and anti-p-ERK1,2 polyclonal antibody diluted 1:1000 (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA). After one 15-min and two 5-min washes in TTBS, the membrane was incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma-Aldrich) (1:5000) in TTBS/milk. After one 15-min and two 5-min washes in TTBS, the protein was visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence Western blot analysis detection system (ECL plus, GE Healthcare).

RNA isolation, reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR analysis. Transcripts levels of VEGF-A and VEGFR1, 2 and 3 genes were determined using real-time quantitative RT-PCR as previously described (10). Briefly, 1 µg of total-RNA, extracted from tumor tissues using Trizol method (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), was reverse transcribed in a 20 µl reaction volume using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The complementary DNAs (cDNAs) were then diluted 1:5 in nuclease-free H₂O (Gibco, Milan, Italy) and amplified in an Applied Biosystems 7900HT fast real-time PCR Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) using fast quantitative PCR thermal cycler parameters. Each tube (reaction mixture volume: 20 µl) contained 2.0 µl of cDNA, 10.0 µl TaqMan Fast Universal PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems), 1.0 µl of a primer/probe mixture for each of the genes evaluated (VIC dye-labelles probes, Assay-on-Demand Gene Expression Products, Applied Biosystems). The β-actin gene was used as an endogenous reference (Pre-Developed TaqMan Assay Reagents; VIC dye-labeled probes P/N 4326315E, Applied Biosystems). All amplification reactions were performed in triplicate; the threshold cycle (Ct) was obtained using Applied Biosystem software (SDS version 2.3) and was averaged. Results were determined by the 2⁻ΔΔCt method and were expressed as relative expression normalized to a calibrator (control mice).

Assessment of tumor necrosis and of microvessel density (MVD). Specimen of xenografted tumors from three sunitinib-treated mice and three non-treated controls were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin for routine histologic examination after hematoxylin and eosin staining. The amount of tumor necrosis and MVD were assessed microscopically on 10 whole tumor sections from three sunitinib-treated mice (3 whole sections for each tumor) and on 8 whole tumor sections from the three controls. Tumor necrosis was assessed after complete scanning of whole tumor sections at x100 as the percentage of each whole tumor section showing areas of breakdown with eosinophilic cellular debris, nuclear disruption and degenerative changes. Microvessel density was evaluated after immunostaining with anti-CD31 antibodies (Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA) following the Weidner protocol (11) with some modifications. Briefly, by scanning each whole tumor section at low power (x40 and x100, magnification) tissue vascularity was graded from 1 to 3, to determine vascular hot spots. Then, four areas with the highest vessel density were selected for each whole tumor section, individual vessels were counted at high power (x200), and the average values of the four readings were recorded for statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis. Results of growth analysis are expressed as means ± SD and differences were analyzed with the t-test. P-value <0.05 was used as the cut-off for statistical significance. Quantitative RT-PCR results are expressed as means ± SD and differences were analyzed with the t-test. P-value <0.05 was used as the cut-off for statistical significance. Data analysis was performed using StatView 5.0.1 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The percentage of tumor necrosis and the average MVD readings for sunitinib-treated and control
whole tumor sections were compared by the unpaired t-test. The relationship between tumor necrosis and MVD was analyzed by the Pearson correlation test. All statistical analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA).

Results

Effects of sunitinib on the growth of xenograft tumors. Anti-tumor activity of sunitinib in vivo was investigated in a renal tumor xenograft mouse model. Administration of sunitinib (40 mg/kg/day) or vehicle was initiated after HEK293 cell implantation on xenografts, when the average tumor volume was approximately 500 mm$^3$. Treatment with sunitinib resulted in a significant inhibition of tumor growth (~4-fold after 11 days treatment) (Fig. 1A). A significant reduction of the weight of the collected tumoral masses was also observed in tumor-bearing mice treated with sunitinib compared to untreated tumors (Fig. 1B).

Effects of sunitinib on microvessel density and histological analysis of tumor necrosis. Reduction of the the mass associated to macroscopic reduction of vascularization was evident at the end of the treatment (Fig. 2A). Histologic

Figure 1. In vivo antitumoral effects of Sunitinib (40 mg/kg/day) in immunodeficient NOD-SCID mice bearing xenograft tumors. (A) Variation of tumor volume as a function of duration of treatment. (B) At the end of the experiments, animals were sacrificed and the tumor masses were excised and weighed. Animals were treated for 11 days. Results are reported as the mean ± SD (see Materials and methods). *P<0.05.
examination of tumor sections showed marked differences between non-sunitinib-treated control tumor xenografts and sunitinib-treated tumors. In the control tumors, neoplastic cells formed solid areas up to 3-4 mm in diameter with sparse microfoci of necrosis. Small venules and capillaries formed a well-developed network within the solid areas of growth (Fig. 2B, left panel). Moreover, in control xenografts, tumor necrosis also formed irregularly distributed patches (not shown).

In contrast, in sunitinib-treated tumor xenografts, small venules and capillaries were poorly developed, sometimes virtually absent. As a result, neoplastic cells survived only in the proximity of a centrally located feeding vessel, resulting in characteristic peritheliomatous structures uniformly distributed throughout the tumor, separated by large confluent areas of tumor necrosis (Fig. 2B, right panel). Overall microvessel density was higher in controls than in sunitinib-treated tumor xenografts (P=0.0001) (Fig. 3A), while the amount of tumor necrosis was smaller (Fig. 3B; P=0.0056). Measurements of average microvessel density and percentage of tumor necrosis in the same whole tissue section from both control and sunitinib-treated tumor xenografts show that necrosis is inversely and significantly correlated to microvessel density (Fig. 3C; Pearson correlation coefficient r=-0.55, P=0.0174). No signs of epithelial-mesenchimal transition were observed (Fig. 2).

Effects of sunitinib on growth regulatory signal transduction pathways. The activity of some signal transduction pathways involved in the cell growth regulation was investigated. Our attention was focused on RAF/MEK/ERK and PI3K/PTEN/Akt-dependent pathways. The levels of phospho-Akt, phospho-ERK and their respective non-phosphorylated proteins were evaluated by Western blot analysis in protein extracts from the tumor masses of three animals of each group (treated and untreated) representative of three different experiments is shown (see Materials and methods).

Effects of sunitinib on the expression of angiogenic factors. Finally, we examined the effects of sunitinib on the proangiogenic VEGF-A and VEGFR1,2 and 3 gene expression (Fig. 5). No significant changes in VEGF-A and VEGFR1,2 and 3 mRNA levels resulted in xenograft tumors treated with sunitinib compared to controls.

Discussion

Sunitinib is a multikinase inhibitor currently used for the treatment of some solid malignancies, including advanced RCC and GIST after disease progression or intolerance to imatinib mesylate therapy. Moreover, objective partial responses were reported in several studies enrolling patients with thyroid cancer, neuroendocrine cancer, sarcoma, non-small cell lung cancer and melanoma, supporting the basis for phase II/III clinical development program of this drug (12). Preclinical studies have clearly demonstrated that sunitinib inhibits the VEGF, stem cell factors and PDGF-dependent...
proliferation and migration of human endothelial cells, and, in xenograft models from numerous tumor types, inhibited cancer angiogenesis decreasing tumor microvessel density (12). Resistance did not appear in these models, suggesting that the anti-angiogenic action mediated by the block of phosphorylation of VEGF-R and PDGF-R is effective against well-established tumor types. In contrast, a considerable group of patients with RCC or GIST do not respond to this drug or eventually develop resistance to sunitinib therapy (6,7). At present the molecular mechanism underlying such a resistance are not fully clarified. Two main theories have been proposed to account for acquired resistance. The first one suggests the occurrence of an ‘angiogenic escape’ sustained by up-regulation of associated proangiogenic growth factors. The second supports the role of alternative kinase pathways, a mechanism proposed as responsible for the resistance to kinase inhibitors developing in many tumor cells (8,9). In particular, in GIST, cKIT and PDGFR mutations have been described (13), suggesting that overcoming resistance in these tumors will require combinatorial approaches that target other characteristics of the tumors. The same strategy is suggested for the non-responsive RCC, in which therapies with mTOR inhibitors are currently recommended after VEGF-targeted treatment (14). In these tumors, the presence of acquired mutations is not thought to be involved in the resistance (15,16). Thus, a complete understanding of sunitinib action against tumor cells is crucial to identify an ideal combination with other targeted agents able to challenge the mechanism of resistance. In this study, we have tested the effects of sunitinib on other tumor cell TK-mediated growth regulatory pathways in HEK293-induced xenograft tumors. In accordance with data obtained in various other models, treatment with sunitinib 40 mg/kg/day determined a tumor growth inhibition, clearly caused by reduced vascularization. The microvessel density, in fact, was greatly reduced in the sunitinib treated animals and such effect paralleled the presence of necrotic tumor cells. In accordance with responsiveness to the treatment, no signs of epithelial-mesenchimal transition, proposed as markers of resistance to sunitinib treatment in RCC (17), were observed. However, in the tumor tissue, both ERK and Akt phosphorylation was not affected, suggesting that the correspondent pathways, known for their role as growth-promoting and anti-apoptotic (18), resulted still activated in the surviving tumor cells irrespective of the treatment with sunitinib. Such findings, therefore, suggest that these pathways may play a role for the selection of cell clones which may determine, in long-term, secondary resistance to the treatment. Thus, agents targeting such kinases or their downstream pathways may represent valid candidates for a combined treatment with sunitinib in resistant tumors. Indeed, mTOR inhibitors have been tested in sunitinib resistant RCC, although, when used as single agent, a compensatory up-regulation of PI3 kinase has been reported (19). Development of novel specific combination of targeted agents are therefore eagerly awaited, taking into consideration the preference for those agents with the minimal toxic effects. Another important issue in the treatment with the novel anticancer targeted agents, and in particular for sunitinib, is represented by the need of biomarkers able to predict the individual response to the treatment. In patients with objective response to sunitinib, an early increase in VEGF serum levels with a decrease of VEGFR-2 (in RCC) or VEGFR-2 and 3 levels (in GIST) has been reported and interpreted as the result of hypo-oxygenation of the tumor mass (7,3). Their assessment in patients subjected to sunitinib treatment has been therefore proposed as biomarkers of its efficacy. In the xenograft tumors treated with sunitinib, examination of VEGF and its receptor mRNA expression levels at the end of proliferation and migration of human endothelial cells, and, in xenograft models from numerous tumor types, inhibited cancer angiogenesis decreasing tumor microvessel density (12). Resistance did not appear in these models, suggesting that the anti-angiogenic action mediated by the block of phosphorylation of VEGF-R and PDGF-R is effective against well-established tumor types. In contrast, a considerable group of patients with RCC or GIST do not respond to this drug or eventually develop resistance to sunitinib therapy (6,7). At present the molecular mechanism underlying such a resistance are not fully clarified. Two main theories have been proposed to account for acquired resistance. The first one suggests the occurrence of an ‘angiogenic escape’ sustained by up-regulation of associated proangiogenic growth factors. The second supports the role of alternative kinase pathways, a mechanism proposed as responsible for the resistance to kinase inhibitors developing in many tumor cells (8,9). In particular, in GIST, cKIT and PDGFR mutations have been described (13), suggesting that overcoming resistance in these tumors will require combinatorial approaches that target other characteristics of the tumors. The same strategy is suggested for the non-responsive RCC, in which therapies with mTOR inhibitors are currently recommended after VEGF-targeted treatment (14). In these tumors, the presence of acquired mutations is not thought to be involved in the resistance (15,16). Thus, a complete understanding of sunitinib action against tumor cells is crucial to identify an ideal combination with other targeted agents able to challenge the mechanism of resistance. In this study, we have tested the effects of sunitinib on other tumor cell TK-mediated growth regulatory pathways in HEK293-induced xenograft tumors. In accordance with data obtained in various other models, treatment with sunitinib 40 mg/kg/day determined a tumor growth inhibition, clearly caused by reduced vascularization. The microvessel density, in fact, was greatly reduced in the sunitinib treated animals and such effect paralleled the presence of necrotic tumor cells. In accordance with responsiveness to the treatment, no signs of epithelial-mesenchimal transition, proposed as markers of resistance to sunitinib treatment in RCC (17), were observed. However, in the tumor tissue, both ERK and Akt phosphorylation was not affected, suggesting that the correspondent pathways, known for their role as growth-promoting and anti-apoptotic (18), resulted still activated in the surviving tumor cells irrespective of the treatment with sunitinib. Such findings, therefore, suggest that these pathways may play a role for the selection of cell clones which may determine, in long-term, secondary resistance to the treatment. Thus, agents targeting such kinases or their downstream pathways may represent valid candidates for a combined treatment with sunitinib in resistant tumors. Indeed, mTOR inhibitors have been tested in sunitinib resistant RCC, although, when used as single agent, a compensatory up-regulation of PI3 kinase has been reported (19). Development of novel specific combination of targeted agents are therefore eagerly awaited, taking into consideration the preference for those agents with the minimal toxic effects. Another important issue in the treatment with the novel anticancer targeted agents, and in particular for sunitinib, is represented by the need of biomarkers able to predict the individual response to the treatment. In patients with objective response to sunitinib, an early increase in VEGF serum levels with a decrease of VEGFR-2 (in RCC) or VEGFR-2 and 3 levels (in GIST) has been reported and interpreted as the result of hypo-oxygenation of the tumor mass (7,3). Their assessment in patients subjected to sunitinib treatment has been therefore proposed as biomarkers of its efficacy. In the xenograft tumors treated with sunitinib, examination of VEGF and its receptor mRNA expression levels at the end of proportion of VEGFA and VEGFR1, 2 and 3 mRNA levels. Total-RNA was extracted from control and treated (sunitinib 40 mg/kg/day) mice after their sacrifice at the end of the experiments and the transcript levels of VEGF and VEGFR1, 2 and 3 genes analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR, as described in Materials and methods. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD of values obtained from at least three different experiments. Statistic analysis was performed using the t-test. n.s., P>0.05.
the treatment, did not show any significant differences versus non-treated tumors. Probably, in our experimental model, other factors occurred counteracting the effects of hypoxia, or the dislocation of the surviving tumor cells around the remaining vessels (as clearly shown in Fig. 2B) defended the cells from the damage induced by reduced nutrition and its secondary effects. The development of new biomarkers, therefore, remains a critical goal for the optimization of any kind of treatment (20).

In conclusion our findings indicate that sunitinib, by acting primarily through an anti-angiogenic mechanism, does not affect some important molecular growth regulatory pathways of cancer cells. Therefore, only a combination with other selective TK inhibitors may offer valid opportunities to overcome sunitinib resistance.
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