Expression of estrogen receptor β1 in colorectal cancer: Correlation with clinicopathological variables
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Abstract. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common malignancy in both genders with a high death rate, accounting for about 56,000 each year in the USA only. In this study we examined the differences in CRC between the genders. We also looked for differences in the staining of the tumors and adjacent colonic mucosa to estrogen receptor β1 and its possible prognostic value. Fifty-five specimens from patients who underwent resection of colon cancer in our institute were sectioned and stained for estrogen receptor β1. The histopathological slides were evaluated for positive staining in the tumor and the normal colonic mucosa as well. The results were statistically analyzed. Positive estrogen receptor β1 stain was found in the nuclei of the tumor cells. We noted positive stain also in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells. Similar findings were observed in the normal colonic mucosa. Statistically significant differences were found regarding the positivity of the staining between the deceased and surviving patients, men/women and those who had metastases vs. the non-metastatic ones. Our data suggest that there is an estrogen influence on the development and progression of colon cancer. Furthermore, it was found to be higher in the more severe cases.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a common malignancy in both genders (1). However, CRC shows several gender-related differences in incidence, response to chemotherapy and certain molecular characteristics. It has therefore been suggested that exposure to estrogen and/or estrogenic compounds may underlie these differences.

CRC is more common in men than women, the difference being more striking amongst premenopausal women and age-matched men (1). The oncogenic effects of estrogens have been investigated extensively in breast cancer, where hormone-receptor modulators are an integral part of targeted therapy. Little is know about estrogen signalling in CRC.

Estrogens are steroid hormones, historically associated solely with the human female reproductive cycle. The nuclear receptor of estrogen was identified in rat uteri by Toft and Gorski in 1966 (2) and was later named estrogen receptor α (ERα), after the discovery of the estrogen receptor β (ERβ) in 1996 (3). It is a nuclear receptor for 17β-estradiol, located on chromosome 6q25. Since the identification of two types of estrogen receptors, ERα and ERβ, by Kuiper et al in 1996 (3), the effects of estrogen on various tissues have been investigated. Estrogen receptors (ER) were found to represent the major pathway via which estrogens and estrogenic compounds act.

ERα and ERβ have different biological functions, as indicated by their specific expression patterns and the distinct phenotypes observed in ERα and ERβ knockout (αERKO and βERKO) mice. ERα and ERβ appear to have overlapping but also unique sets of downstream target genes, as judged from a set of microarray experiments. Thus, ERα and ERβ have different transcriptional activities in certain ligand, cell-type, and promoter contexts, which may help to explain some of the major differences in their tissue-specific biological actions (4).

It seems reasonable, therefore, that attempts to explain gender differences in CRC should consider the two ER subtypes. ERα and ERβ have similar DNA-binding and ligand-binding, but otherwise there is little homology. ERβ is found on chromosome 14q23.2, and is about 61.2 kb. The ERβ protein is produced from eight exons. Additionally, there are two untranslated exons, 0N and 0K, in the 5′ region and an exon at the 3′ end that can be spliced to exon 7 to produce the alternative ERβ isofrom (4). It is known to have at least five isoforms, ERβ1 the wild-type, and other isoforms numbered 2-5 (5). The interior of the ligand-binding pocket is mostly conserved with the only difference in two contact residues. This explains the similar affinities of ERβ and ERα for endogenous estrogen.

Correspondence to: Dr Lea Rath-Wolfson, Department of Pathology, Hasharon Hospital, POB 101, Petah Tikva, Israel
E-mail: leavo@clalit.org.il

Key words: colon cancer, estrogen receptor β1 stain, gender, prognosis and survival
Estrogens are known to affect the growth, differentiation and function of target tissues (6). Enmark et al (7) showed high titers of ERβ mRNA in human colonic mucosal epithelium.

There are data suggesting that women are more likely to respond to 5-fluourouracil (5-FU) based chemotherapy than men (8). This may relate to a relationship between estrogens and cell proliferation, which in turn may determine sensitivity to chemotherapy (9). Most studies have failed to demonstrate substantial expression of ERα protein and/or mRNA amongst CRCs (10-12).

In this study we determined the clinicopathological correlation of ERβ in CRC and whether there were gender differences, as it has not been reported in the literature.

Materials and methods

Patients and clinical data. The study included 55 patients with metastatic CRC, either at presentation or afterwards. The selection of patients with metastatic disease allowed the evaluation of the correlation between ER staining and the efficacy of first line treatment. The mean age of the entire study group was 68 years (range, 50-81 years). There were 29 males, with a mean age of 67.7 years (range, 52-78 years), and 26 females, with a mean age of 64.9 years (range, 51-77 years). All but one patient were Jews, mostly Sephardic (51%) or Ashkenazi (43%).

All patients underwent surgical resection of the tumor at the Rabin Medical Centre between 1996-2005. Forty-two of the tumors (76%) were localized to the colon and 13 to the rectum. In the group of colon cancer 23 were males and 19 females, while in the rectal cancer group, 7 were males and 6 females. Seventeen operations were right hemicolectomy, 8 left hemicolectomy, 13 sigmoidectomy, 3 subtotal colectomy, one Hartman operation and one abdominoperineal resection. Most of the operations were open abdominal resections, while 12 were operated laparoscopically.

The histological diagnosis of all the tumors was adenocarcinoma. Eight (14%) were well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (grade 1), 36 (65%) were moderately-differentiated (grade 2) and 11 (20%) were poorly-differentiated (grade 3).

In accordance with the inclusion criteria, 55% of the patients had metastatic disease already at presentation. The rest were diagnosed with stage II or III and developed metastases afterwards.

At the time of the analysis, 53 patients (96%) had died of CRC and two (4%) were alive. The median overall survival (OS) of the entire group from the diagnosis of metastatic disease was 21.41 months (range, 3.38-93.77 months). The median duration of follow-up was 2.5 years (range, 0.5-12.9 years).

Postoperative treatment. All patients had metastatic disease, either at diagnosis or at the course of their disease. They all received the same standard first line treatment for metastatic CRC and the response of their disease to this treatment could be determined. The first line treatment was in all cases a standard combination of 5-FU, leucovorin (LV) and irinotecan, the FOLFIRI regimen.

Of the 55 patients who were diagnosed with localized disease, 17 (68%) received postoperative adjuvant treatment, mainly standard 5-FU/LV.

The response rate to first line FOLFIRI regimen was 44% and the median progression-free survival (PFS) was 8.65 months (range, 1.63-61.63 months).

Pathological data. In order to evaluate the predictive impact of the ERβ and ERα expression in their primary tumor, patients were eligible for this study if they had adequate information on their primary tumor, which was also available for the receptor expression analysis and on their response to first line treatment for metastatic disease.

The histological slides from all cases were revised. From each case, histological slides, one representing the tumor and normal tissue were selected. The corresponding paraffin blocks were chosen. Serial 3-4 µm-thick sections were cut and stained by haematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Immunohistochemical assay and image quantitation methods. Representative paraffin-embedded tissue from all tumors were immunohistochemically stained for ERβ and ERα.

Sections, 3-4 µm-thick, were cut and placed on super frost plus slides and then dried overnight at 37°C. The slides were deparaffinized with 2 changes of xylene and rehydrated through a graded series of ethanol. They were washed in 100% ethanol twice for 5 min and then ethanol 95% for further 5 min. Afterwards they were washed in distilled water for 1 min. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 min at room temperature and then rinsed twice in distilled water. For the antigen retrieval we used the pressure cooker method with nuclear deckloaker buffer (pH 9.5). Sections were washed in distilled water and incubated with the primary antibody, ERβ monoclonal antibody (Serotec) diluted 1:10 for 1 h, in room temperature. Another group of sections was stained with ERα, a monoclonal antibody (Novocastra) diluted 1:100 for 1 h at room temperature. Both procedures were followed by additional rinse with washing buffer (PBS) and incubation with a polymer detection kit (HRP broad spectrum Zymed) for 15 min at room temperature. Sections were stained with diaminobenzidine (DAB) solution for 10 min at room temperature and then rinsed with tap water for 2-5 min.

Sections were counterstained in Mayer's hematoxylin for 5 min and rinsed again with running tap water followed with dehydration through 95% ethanol for 1 min and 100% ethanol for 2 min followed by xylene for 2 min coverslip with mounting media. Each case was stained with a positive control, which was normal colonic mucosa.

In each case the tumor and normal mucosa were scanned at low and high magnification. To assess the level of tissue ERβ and ERα expression, the percentage of positively stained cells was registered and also the intensity of the stain in the nuclei and cytoplasm. The intensity was 1, 2 or 3 in comparison with the control stain. The final score was the result of multiplication of the stain intensity with the percentage of positively stained cells.

Statistical analysis. The expression of ERβ in the cytoplasm and nuclei of the tumor and normal colon were compared with age, gender, CEA level, tumor grade, nodal status, presence of synchronous metastases and stage of disease at presentation. The expression was also compared with treatment and survival.
In order to examine these parameters, the ANOVA statistical analysis, t-test, Spearman's correlation and the Pearson correlation, were used. The study was approved by the IRB.

**Results**

We found positive ERβ1 stain in the tumor tissue. The positive staining was seen in the nuclei of these cells (Fig. 1). In the nuclei of the normal cells we found positive ERβ1 staining as well (Fig. 2). We also noted positive stain in the cytoplasm of both tumor (Fig. 3) and normal cells (Fig. 4). There were some cases with either negative nuclear or cytoplasmic stain as well as complete negative stain (Fig. 5). ERα was negative in both the tumor and normal tissues.

Expression of ERβ stain in the nuclei of the tumor cells. Those patients who were dead at the end of this study had a much higher nuclear ERβ1 positive stain in their tumor cells (M=164.60, Sd=100.13) compared with patients who were still alive (M=52.00, Sd=38.99). The comparison between the two groups resulted in a highly significant difference [t(53)=2.48, P<0.05] (Fig. 6).
When the staining of ERβ1 in the nuclei of the tumor cells of the dead male patients (M=162.96, Sd=98.11) was compared with the nuclear staining of the male patients who were still alive (M=25.00, Sd=35.35), a marginal difference [t(27)=1.95, P=0.062] was found (Fig. 7).

At the time of the diagnosis, some patients had synchronous metastases. The nuclear stain of ERβ1 in the tumor cells of the patients who had synchronous metastases (M=196.21, Sd=100.97) was higher than those patients with no synchronous metastases (M=118.40, Sd=86.32) and this difference was significant [t(52)=-3.33, P<0.005] (Fig. 8). Similarly, the expression of ERβ1 stain in the nuclei of the tumor cells of male patients with synchronous metastases (M=195.33, Sd=101.60) was higher than male patients without metastases (M=108.57, Sd=82.44), and the difference was significant [t(27)=2.52, P<0.05].

Those patients who did not have peritoneal metastases had a higher rate of nuclear ERβ1 stain in the tumor cells (M=186.90, Sd=100.39) compared with patients who had peritoneal metastases had (M=92.22, Sd=53.51), and the difference was not significant. Among males, there was a significant difference between peritoneal metastases and non-peritoneal metastases in the nuclear ERβ1 stain in the tumor cells [t(26)=2.65, P<0.05].

Among females, there was a strong positive correlation between CEA and nuclear ERβ1 stain in the tumor cells (r=0.41, P<0.05). As CEA increased, an increase in the nuclear ERβ1 was seen, and vice versa.

Expression of ERβ1 stain in the nuclei of the normal cells. We found a significant, medium and positive correlation between the patient nodal status and the nuclear ERβ1 stain in the normal cells (r=0.30, P<0.05). As the node stage was higher, the nuclear stain of ERβ1 in normal cell nuclei was higher as well, and vice versa.

Patients with synchronous metastases had a higher rate of nuclear ERβ1 stain in the normal cells (M=203.21, Sd=104.56) compared with those without metastases (M=131.85, Sd=100.46). The difference between the groups was significant [t(53)=2.58, P<0.05] (Fig. 9).

When the comparison was limited to male patients, male patients with synchronous metastases had a higher rate of ERβ1 nuclear stain in the normal cells (M=185.33, Sd=114.00) compared with those without such metastases (M=107.14, Sd=96.27), but the difference reached only marginal significance [t(27)=1.99, P=0.057] (Fig. 10).

Those patients with synchronous metastases had a higher rate of nuclear stain ERβ1 in nuclei of the normal cells (M=198.50, Sd=104.08) than those with non-synchronous tumors (M=116.20, Sd=100.35), with a statistically significant difference [t(53)=2.97, P<0.005].

The female patients who had died at the time of the analysis had a higher nuclear rate of ERβ1 stain in normal cells (M=205.65, Sd=95.96) than female patients who were still alive at that time (M=80.00, Sd=70.00) with a statistically significant difference [t(24)=2.18, P<0.05] (Fig. 11).

Expression of ERβ1 staining the cytoplasm of the tumor cells. The female patients had higher cytoplasmatic ERβ1 in the tumor cells (M=84.62, Sd=62.94) than the male patients.
also showed gender differences in the expression of ERβ1 in normal cells. The deceased female patients had higher ERβ1 expression in the nuclei of the normal cells.

We observed also positive ERβ1 staining in the cytoplasm of both tumor and normal cells. Female patients had higher expression of the ERβ1 in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells than male patients. Among the male patients there was a negative correlation with the stage of the disease, as the stage of the disease was higher the expression of ERβ1 was lower. There was also a positive correlation of the expression of ERβ1 in the cytoplasm of the normal cells and CEA levels.

In our study there was no correlation between the expression of ERβ1 and the therapy. The cause of gender differences in the epidemiology, natural history and therapy in colorectal cancer have not been investigated in depth.

Female prevalence is known in cases of gallstone disease and biliary cirrhosis, the cause of these differences is not known (13-16).

Recently Shmuely et al (17) demonstrated a gender difference in the eradication of Helicobacter pylori. The female response to therapy was better when compared to the male response.

Colorectal cancer is quite widespread. About 1 million cases of colorectal cancer are diagnosed every year around the world and half a million die of the disease every year. In the USA men have been shown to have higher risk of developing polyps while women are more prone to develop pure right sided polyps and tumors.

Soderlund et al also showed gender differences in the incidence of colorectal cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The female population showed a lower risk in these cases than the males (18).

CRC occurring in long-standing IBD and survival in patients with metastatic CRC was better in younger women than men (19). These differences disappeared after the age of 45, suggesting that estrogen may be protective. Then it was found that hormone replacement therapy protected against CRC and decreased the incidence in 66% of patients after 15 years of therapy (20).

These data support the suggestion that there is an estrogen influence on the development and progression of these tumors. There is abundant ERβ1 expression in the normal colonic mucosa with decline in the colorectal cancer cells (21).

In our study the expression of ERβ1 showed no correlation with the tumor grade. It was higher in the more severe cases, those which presented with either metastases or died of the disease. Only in male patients, in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells, there was a negative correlation of the expression of ERβ1 with the stage of the disease.

Discussion

Our study demonstrated that the ERβ1 expression in the nuclei of the tumor cells was significantly higher in colon carcinoma cases of those patients who died of the disease and especially in male patients. Higher expression was found also in the synchronous and metastatic patients. Among the female patients we found strong positive correlation with CEA.

Interestingly, we found also correlation with the expression of ERβ1 in the nuclei of the normal cells. Those cases with lymph nodes metastases and distant metastases at presentation had higher expression of ERβ1 and especially in the male patients. Statistically significant expression was found in the shynchronous cases, similar to those found in the nuclei of the tumor cells. The deceased female patients had higher ERβ1 expression in the nuclei of the normal cells.

Expression of ERβ1 staining in the cytoplasm of normal cells. There was also a strong positive correlation between CEA and cytoplasmatic ERβ1 in normal cells (r=0.56, P<0.005). As CEA increased so did the cytoplasmatic ERβ1 in normal cells, and vice versa.

A general comparison of the immunohistochemical stain positivity in the tumor and normal cells showed no statistically significant correlations between the ERβ1 staining and patient age, tumor grade and response to treatment.

We observed also positive ERβ1 staining in the cytoplasm of both tumor and normal cells. Female patients had higher expression of the ERβ1 in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells than male patients. Among the male patients there was a negative correlation with the stage of the disease, as the stage of the disease was higher the expression of ERβ1 was lower. There was also a positive correlation of the expression of ERβ1 in the cytoplasm of the normal cells and CEA levels.

In our study there was no correlation between the expression of ERβ1 and the therapy. The cause of gender differences in the epidemiology, natural history and therapy in colorectal cancer have not been investigated in depth.

Female prevalence is known in cases of gallstone disease and biliary cirrhosis, the cause of these differences is not known (13-16).

Recently Shmuely et al (17) demonstrated a gender difference in the eradication of Helicobacter pylori. The female response to therapy was better when compared to the male response.

Colorectal cancer is quite widespread. About 1 million cases of colorectal cancer are diagnosed every year around the world and half a million die of the disease every year. In the USA men have been shown to have higher risk of developing polyps while women are more prone to develop pure right sided polyps and tumors.

Soderlund et al also showed gender differences in the incidence of colorectal cancer in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The female population showed a lower risk in these cases than the males (18).

CRC occurring in long-standing IBD and survival in patients with metastatic CRC was better in younger women than men (19). These differences disappeared after the age of 45, suggesting that estrogen may be protective. Then it was found that hormone replacement therapy protected against CRC and decreased the incidence in 66% of patients after 15 years of therapy (20).

These data support the suggestion that there is an estrogen influence on the development and progression of these tumors. There is abundant ERβ1 expression in the normal colonic mucosa with decline in the colorectal cancer cells (21).

In our study the expression of ERβ1 showed no correlation with the tumor grade. It was higher in the more severe cases, those which presented with either metastases or died of the disease. Only in male patients, in the cytoplasm of the tumor cells, there was a negative correlation of the expression of ERβ1 with the stage of the disease.
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