Metformin inhibits the development and metastasis of ovarian cancer
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Abstract. The aim of this study was to investigate the role of metformin in the regulation of development and metastasis of ovarian carcinoma cell lines in vitro and ovarian cancer in a nude mouse model in vivo. The effects of metformin on the ability of two high-metastatic potential human ovarian cancer cell lines (SKOV3 and HO8910-PM) to adhere, invade and migrate in vitro were observed by means of a cell adhesion test, cell invasion test and cell migration test. The size and number of the inoculated and metastatic tumours in vivo in a nude mouse were determined following intraperitoneal injection of metformin. Furthermore, the extent of angiogenesis (vWF) and macrophage infiltration in the tumour were determined. Proliferation, migration, invasion and adhesion of ovarian cancer cells were significantly inhibited (P<0.05) in a dose-dependent manner in vitro. In addition, metformin inhibited hepatic, intestinal and lung metastasis (P<0.05), with no weight loss in vivo, consistent with decreased expression of vWF and macrophage infiltration. Our data suggest that metformin inhibits the development and metastasis of ovarian cancer by reducing cellular-ECM interactions, neovascularisation and macrophage infiltration.

Introduction

Ovarian carcinoma, the third highest morbidity among gynecological cancers, still has the highest mortality (1), despite current strategic management which has substantially improved the median survival rate over the past few decades. Two thirds of ovarian cancer patients have a low 5-year survival rate (~30%) (1), due to late diagnosis with peritoneal metastasis. The essential steps for metastasis include proliferation, adhesion to the peritoneum and migration into the stroma (2). Current management of ovarian cancer is cytoreductive surgery followed by chemotherapy (3), but yields an unsatisfactory prognosis.

Metformin has been used as an anti-hyperglycaemic drug for type-2 diabetes (4) with a safe tolerability profile, but also has a potential anti-tumour effect, since a significant reduction in the risk of malignancy has been observed in diabetic patients treated with metformin (5,6). Metformin inhibits proliferation of breast (7), prostate (8), ovarian (9) and endometrium (10) malignant cells in vitro, which may be mediated via upregulation of AMPK activity (11). Furthermore, metformin significantly reduces growth of inoculated human p53-deficient breast cancer cells in nude mice (12), and reduces remission of breast cancer stem cells (13). However, it is still unclear if metformin can inhibit the growth and metastasis of ovarian cancer.

In this study, the ability of metformin to inhibit ovarian cancer, particularly adhesion, invasion and migration was studied in vitro was investigated. Furthermore, nude mice inoculated with the ovarian cancer cell line were evaluated by disease score, extent of neovascularisation and macrophage infiltration in the inoculated region, and location and extent of metastatic sites. These data support the clinical efficacy of metformin therapy for ovarian cancer and raise potential new lines of research investigating analogues of this drug for use as anti-cancer agents.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Human ovarian SKOV3 and HO-8910PM cell lines with high-metastasis potential (14), obtained from Chinese Academy of Sciences, were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco), supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco) using standard culture conditions (humidified 5% CO2 at 37°C).

Cell proliferation analysis. SKOV3 and HO-8910PM cell proliferation, following metformin (Sigma) treatment for 24, 48 or 72 h, was assessed by Cell Counting kit-8 (CCK-8) (Dojindo). Briefly, 3x104 cells, seeded into 96-well plates, were treated with metformin (0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100 mM). After 24, 48 and 72 h, 10 µl CCK-8 was added to each well at 37°C for 2 h. The supernatant absorbance (450 nm) from each well was measured, using
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CHOCO-XX flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson) after incubation with annexin V-FITC and PI at room temperature (RT) for 15 min. Cells positive for early apoptosis (annexin V stained only) and for late apoptosis (annexin V and PI stained) were determined. Viable cells were negative for both annexin V and PI.

Adhesion analysis. Matrigel (BD Biosciences) dry-coated 96-well plates were blocked with 1% BSA for 1 h. Metformin pretreated (0, 5, 10, 20 mM for 24 h) cells (2x10^4) were allowed to adhere to the coated well for 1 h at 37˚C, and the non-adherent cells were removed by 5 washings with cold PBS. The adherent cells in each well were measured using the CKK-8 kit. The adhesion rate (%) was calculated by comparing the adherent cell numbers following metformin versus mock treatment.

Invasion analysis. SKOV3 and HO-8910PM cells were collected by trypsinization and resuspended in serum-free medium (3x10^4/ ml). Cells (1x10^4/ml) in serum-free medium were placed into the upper wells, coated with 50 µl of Matrigel (1:6 dilution) of the Boyden chamber (8 µm pore size, Millipore) with metformin (0, 5, 10 and 20 mM). Medium containing 20% FBS was added to the lower chamber. Cell invasion into the Matrigel was determined following 24 h under standard culture conditions. The membrane containing invading cells was methanol fixed and H&E stained, after removing non-invading cells on the upper side of the membrane with cotton swabs.

Migration analysis. Cell migration was determined using a wound-healing motility assay (2). SKOV3 and HO-8910PM cells (4x10^4) were added to a 6-well plate with metformin (0, 5, 10 and 20 mM) and incubated for 24 h. After scratching with a plastic cell scraper of fixed width, the monolayer of cells was washed 3 times with PBS to remove the detached cells. The remaining adherent cells were incubated using standard culture conditions. Cell migration was determined by monitoring reduction in the width of the scaped zone at various time-points for up to 18 h, to avoid cell doubling (average doubling time ~22 h). The migration rate (%) was calculated from the migration distance at different dosages compared to mock-treatment.

Metastasis assay in vivo. Five-weeks old female athymic BALB/c nude mice, obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (15), were housed under conventional laboratory conditions with chow and water available ad libitum. Experiments adhered to the guidelines of the Shanghai Jiaotong University, Animal Ethics Committee. Nude mice were inoculated on their back with 2x10^6 HO-8910PM cells in 100 µl of PBS, to establish a viable solid tumour. The established tumour was dissected into 1 mm^3 pieces and one piece was implanted orthotopically on the right ovarian capsule of the recipient nude mouse. A total of 14 female nude mice (5-weeks old) were randomly divided into 2 groups. Two weeks post-implantation, metformin (Glucophage, Squibb, 250 mg/kg body weight) in normal saline (NS), was administered i.p. every other day for 4 weeks in the metformin-treated group, whereas the mock-treated group received NS only. The disease score was calculated by adding body weight, activity and responsiveness, mobility, ascites and gross tumour size together (16). The sizes of the inoculated and metastatic tumours were determined by mean tumour volume [MTV = XY^2/2], where X is the shorter and Y is the longer diameter (mm) of orthogonal measurements (15), after sacrificing the mice at 8 weeks post-implantation. Histopathology of the original and metastatic tumours was performed in formalin-fixed, wax-embedded and H&E-stained sections (5 µm).

Immunohistochemistry analysis. Paraﬁn sections (5 µm) were incubated with rat anti-F4/80 (WEHI) or vWF (Dako) antibody bodies followed by a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-rat antibody (Dako), as described (17). All sections were then visualized with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Dako), and lightly counterstained with hematoxylin. Immunohistochemical quantiﬁcations were performed on digitized images using image analysis software Image-Pro Plus. The presence of monocytes/macrophages was assessed using anti-F4/80 antibody and the proportion of positive cells was determined by [100% x (number of positive nuclei/total number of nuclei)].

Statistical analyses. Each experiment was done in triplicate. All data are expressed as means ± standard error or division of the mean (SEM or SD). Data analysis was carried out by one-way and two-way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism 4.0. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Metformin inhibits proliferation of human ovarian cancer cells. Metformin inhibited the proliferation of SKOV3 cells in a dose-dependent manner (0-100 mM) at 24, 48 and 72 h in vitro (Fig. 1A). Significantly greater inhibition of proliferation of SKOV3 cells was observed following 48- and 72-h metformin-treatment in the range 5-50 mM, compared to 24-h treatment. The inhibition at 48 or 72 h reached plateau at 25 mM dose. A similar pattern was observed in HO-8910PM cells following metformin-treatment, but a significant difference between 24 h and 48/72 h was observed at 5 mM only (Fig. 1B). To evaluate whether the metformin-induced reduction in proliferation was due to apoptosis, cells were assessed for annexin V expression using flow cytometry. No apoptosis was detected in SKOV3 cells following 24-h metformin-treatment (0-20 mM) (Fig. 1C-F). The IC_{50} for metformin for SKOV3 or HO-8910PM cells was 20.62 or 50 mM for metformin (20 mM), compared to the mock-

 Metformin inhibits adhesion, invasion and migration of human ovarian cancer cell lines. Metformin significantly inhibited SKOV3 and HO-8910PM cell adhesion in a dose-dependent manner, by ~50% at the IC_{50} (20 mM), compared to the mock-treated groups (Fig. 2A). Metformin-treatment (0-20 mM) resulted in a remarkable dose-dependent decline in the invasive ability of the ovarian cancer cells, ~60% and ~40% in SKOV3 and HO-8910PM cells, respectively, at the IC_{50} of 20 mM (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, metformin significantly inhibited the migration
Metformin inhibits ovarian cancer growth and metastasis \textit{in vivo}. All mice developed bloody ascites two weeks post-orthotopic tumour implantation, in both the metformin (250 mg/kg) and mock-treated groups (Fig. 3A and B). One mouse died due to extreme cachexia in the mock-treated group at 6 weeks after implantation; whereas all 7 mice survived in the metformin-treated group. The size of the orthotopic implanted ovarian cancer increased substantially in both metformin and mock-treated groups (black arrow, Fig. 3C and D). No significant difference in the size of the orthotopic tumour was observed between these two groups \[2095\pm768.2 \text{ mm}^3 \ (7 \text{ mice}) \text{ vs } 2728\pm987.5 \text{ mm}^3 \ (6 \text{ mice}), \text{metformin vs mock-treatment}\].

The extent of metastases was greater in the mock-treated group compared to the metformin-treated group. There were peritoneal macroscopic metastases (liver, intestine, spleen and pancreas) (white arrows, Fig. 3D) in all mock-treated mice (6/6). The sites of metastasis were the peritoneum (5/6), contra-lateral ovary (3/6), mesentery (2/6), intestine (5/6), liver (4/6), kidney (1/6), spleen (1/6), diaphragm (3/6), and mesenteric lymph nodes (1/6). By contrast, in the metformin-treated group, fewer metastases were detected; to the peritoneum (2/7), intestine (4/7) and mesentery (2/7). The number and size of the intestinal metastases was noted to be fewer and smaller than that of the mock-treated group (Fig. 3C and D).
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Mucosa, white arrow, Fig. 4F), compared to the metformin-treated group (limited to the serosa, white arrow, Fig. 4E). There were metastatic lesions in the liver from the mock-treated mice (arrow, Fig. 4H), while no liver metastasis was detected in the livers from the metformin-treated animals (Fig. 4G), suggesting that inability to penetrate the muscularis propria in the metformin-treated group inhibited portal venous metastasis. Larger capsular metastases were observed on the spleen from the mock-treated animals (arrow, Fig. 4J) compared to the smaller splenic capsular metastases in the metformin-treated group (Fig. 4I). No directly attached metastatic lesions were observed on the kidney (Fig. 4K and L) or the uterus (Fig. 4M and N) from both mock- and metformin-treated groups.

**Metformin decreases tumour neovascularisation and macrophage infiltration.** Using immunohistochemistry, metformin was found to inhibit neovascularisation (vWF expression), in intestinal and hepatic metastases. vWF expression in the gut and liver from the metformin-treated group was ~75% (P<0.001) and ~40% (P<0.05) lower than the mock-treated group, respectively (Fig. 5A-F). Inhibition of macrophage infiltration was also observed in the metformin group, compared to the mock-treatment group, in the lung (~60%) and the liver (~90%) (Fig. 5A-F).

**Discussion**

The rationale for our current experiment is based on reports that metformin possesses anti-tumour capabilities (8-11). The current standard therapy for ovarian cancer is combined chemotherapy following surgery. However, development of drug-resistance and other side effects compromise the effects of chemotherapy.
Metformin is an anti-hyperglycemia drug in use for over 60 years with few adverse effects (4). Our study demonstrated that metformin inhibited proliferation, adhesion, invasion and migration in human epithelial ovarian cancers (HO-8910PM and SKOV3 cell lines) in vitro, in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Furthermore, metformin inhibited growth and metastasis of these ovarian cancers in vivo in a nude mouse model. Effective inhibition of SKOV3 cell proliferation in vitro occurred at an IC_{50} of ~20 mM metformin, without necrosis or apoptosis in these cells. These data suggest that this dose has no direct cytotoxicity, suggesting that this dose is at a therapeutic level. This is consistent with findings in breast and prostate cancer cell lines following metformin-treatment, where no cell death was observed, but tumour cells were arrested at the G0/ G1 stage (7,8).

Our data are the first to demonstrate that metformin inhibits growth and metastasis of ovarian cancer in vivo, in a nude-mouse model. Previous reports have shown that metformin inhibited growth of inoculated breast cancer cells in nude mice, especially in a p53-deficient- (12) and in an ERα MDA-MB-435 human breast cancer cell line (18). Interestingly, the dosages of metformin chosen for the breast cancer experiments were 2- and 6-fold higher, respectively, than that used in the present study. The dosage chosen in the present study was based on the recommended maximal therapeutic daily dose in human, i.e., 2,550 mg/60 kg. The dosage differences may be due to the different forms of cancer, and/or may reflect different therapeutic pathways. The precise underlying mechanisms are worthy of further investigation.

A non-significant trend towards body-weight loss in the mock-treated, compared to the metformin-treated, group was observed. However, the overall condition of the mice was more accurately quantified by the disease score, which was found to be higher in the mock-treated group, consistent with metformin acting as an effective anti-cancer therapy. The failure to observe a significant difference in body-weight between the metformin- and mock-treated groups may be due to greater ascites generation during the growth and metastasis of ovarian cancer in the mock-treatment group. Qualitatively, more severe cachexia was observed in the mock-treated group.

Angiogenesis is another objective factor predicting prognosis for overall and disease-free survival in advanced ovarian cancer
(19). We showed reduced expression of vWF, reflecting decreased neovascularisation, in metastatic tissues following metformin-treatment, compared to mock-treatment. The suppressed vWF level correlates well with reduced size and number of metastases.

Macrophages contribute to tumour dissemination by upregulating tumour cell adhesion molecules, releasing invasive proteases and promoting angiogenesis (20,21). We showed metformin significantly suppressed macrophage infiltration in metastatic tissues compared with mock-treatment, correlating with the reduced size and number of liver and lung metastases. Thus, macrophage-mediated metastasis appears to play an important role in dissemination of ovarian cancer, which may also be a useful target for future therapeutic intervention. In conclusion, metformin inhibits growth and metastasis of ovarian cancer, by down-regulating pFAK and MMP-2 observed in vitro, and by reduction of macrophage infiltration and vWF expression observed in vivo. Future experiments will focus on the underlying mechanism(s) of the anti-tumour effects of metformin, and its potential to move forward into clinical application for the management of ovarian cancer.
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