Enhanced cytotoxic effect of low doses of metformin combined with ionizing radiation on hepatoma cells via ATP deprivation and inhibition of DNA repair
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Abstract. Metformin, one of the most widely used antidiabetic drugs, has recently been associated with potential antitumorigenic effects. In this study, we evaluated the possible cytotoxic impact of combined low doses of metformin and ionizing radiation (IR) on 2 human hepatoma cell lines. The cytotoxic effect of metformin combined with IR was subsequently determined by clonogenic survival and cell cycle assays, assessment of mitochondrial complex I and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) activity, measurement of cellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP) levels, comet assay and analyses of the formation and disappearance of phosphorylated histone H2AX (\gamma-H2AX) protein. The combination of metformin and IR caused a much stronger cytotoxicity than the treatment with metformin or IR alone, leading to an \textasciitilde80% decrease in cell viability and \textasciitilde35% increase in the accumulation of cells in the G\textsubscript{2}/M phase of the cell cycle in the 2 hepatoma cell lines. In addition, a reduction in mitochondrial complex I activity (~70%) and a significant increase in LDH activity, as well as lactate production were observed in the cells exposed to metformin. Interestingly, a severe depletion in ATP, increased olive tail moment and the delayed disappearance of \gamma-H2AX expression were detected in the hepatoma cells treated by metformin plus IR. These findings show that the combination of a low concentration of metformin and IR results in the considerable enhancement of cytotoxic effects in human hepatoma cell lines, leading to decreased DNA repair by reducing ATP production. The data provided in this study may elucidate the remarkable efficiency of this combination treatment and suggest that metformin may be used as a potential adjunct to the radiotherapy of hepatocellular carcinoma.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) represents the 6th most common cancer and the 3rd leading cause of cancer death globally (1). More than 80% of HCC occurs in developing countries, particularly Southeast Asia and Africa. However, the incidence of HCC has recently been increasing in western countries. In the United States, HCC incidence rates increased by more than 2-fold between 1976 to 2002 and are expected to double over the next 2 decades (1,2). Although surgical resection, orthotopic liver transplantation and radiofrequency ablation form the cornerstone of curative treatment of HCC, patients should be treated within a multidisciplinary setting. HCC is generally regarded as not being sensitive to systemic chemotherapy. Other strategies such as transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) or sorafenib alone or combined radiotherapy provide only modest survival benefits. Due to low liver tolerance to radiation therapy, the role of radiotherapy in the management of HCC has traditionally been ignored (3). With developments of imaging techniques, conformal radiotherapy has allowed the delivery of a high dose of radiation to a precise tumor volume while sparing the surrounding normal liver parenchyma. However, survival after radiotherapy remains limited as a result of the high frequency of hepatic recurrences (4-6). Therefore, it is imperative to discover new therapeutics to improve survival in HCC patients.

Metformin (N,N\textsuperscript{-}dimethylbiguanide) is the most widely prescribed oral hypoglycemic agent. It is believed to exert its effect by reducing hepatic glucose production and by increasing insulin sensitivity and metabolism in peripheral tissues. Metformin has been successfully used in a wide variety of indications, such as in polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) (7) and in the management of metabolic syndrome (8). Currently, increasing attention has been paid to metformin for its potential ability to suppress cancer cell growth. This has been demonstrated by multiple \textit{in vitro} as well as \textit{in vivo} studies (9,10) and by its use in several randomized clinical trials as an adjuvant to conventional chemotherapeutic agents (11,12). Although the...
mechanism of metformin anticancer action is not fully understood, there is substantial evidence indicating that metformin treatment activates AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), inhibits mTOR-dependent translation initiation (13-15) and affects cancer cell metabolism (16). Our previous study indicated that metformin exhibits protective effects on the metabolic disorder caused by a high-carbohydrate high-fat diet, which may shed light on its translational role in its antitumorigenic potential (17). Recently, epidemiological evidence has suggested that the anti-diabetic drug, metformin, reduces HCC risk and lowers the rates of cancer mortality among diabetics (18) without adverse side-effects. Metformin rarely causes lactic acidosis (19). However, little is known about the possible cytotoxic effects of metformin combined with radiotherapy in human hepatoma carcinoma.

In this study, we assessed the in vitro effect of the combination of metformin and ionizing radiation (IR) on hepatoma cell survival and explored the underlying mechanisms. Our data may contribute to the understanding of the mechanisms of action of the conventional drug and highlight potential implications of the combination of metformin and radiotherapy as an anticanc treatment.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents. Metformin was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. and dissolved in culture medium. RPMI-1640 medium, fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin were obtained from Gibco. Antibodies used for western blot analyses were provided by Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA).

Cell lines and treatment. Two human hepatoma cell lines (HepG2 and Bel-7402 cells with high and low invasive ability, respectively) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin, at 37˚C and 5% CO2. The cells were a kind gift from Professor X. Guo (Sun Yat Sen University, Guangzhou, China). To evaluate the effect of the combination of metformin and IR, the cells were pre-incubated with 10 µM metformin for 1 h and then exposed to various doses of radiation (0-5 Gy) using a Varian CL21EX accelerator (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with the source-skin-distance technique. The depth was set at 2 cm to the bottom of the 6-well plate.

Clonogenic assay. Cells were seeded in 6-well plates in triplicates at a density of 1,000 cells/well in 2 ml of medium containing 10% FBS. After 24 h, the cells were treated with metformin (10 µM) followed by IR (1, 2 and 5 Gy, separately) were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 250 µl of 0.6% normal high-melting agarose. The slides were then immersed in chilled lysis solution (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Na2EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1% Triton X-100 and 10% DMSO, pH 10.0) at 4˚C for 2 h in the dark. Thereafter, the slides were washed with a neutralizing buffer (0.4 M Tris-HCl and pH 7.5) 3 times and then stained with 30 µl ethidium bromide (5 µg/ml) for 15 min. Images of the comets were captured under a fluorescence microscope (Leica CTR6000) at x100 magnification. For each sample, a minimum of 50 comets was obtained and the olive tail moment [tail DNA (%) x (tail mean - head mean)] was analyzed using the Comet Assay Software Project (CASP).

Western blot analysis. Cell lysates were prepared in a buffer containing 0.5 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.0), 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.5 mM EDTA (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM EGTA (pH 7.0), 2 mM leupeptin, 1 mM PMSF, 2.5 mg/ml aprotinin, 1 mM DTT and 0.5% Triton X-100. After protein quantification using the Bradford assay, 25 µg of proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham in PBS for 30 min at 37˚C. The cell cycle distribution was then analyzed in a FACSCalibur cytometer (BD Bioscience, Mountain View, CA, USA).

Determination of mitochondrial complex I and glycolytic activity. Complex I activity was determined using the Dipstick Assay kit (MitoSciences, Eugene, OR, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cellular glycolytic activity was evaluated by measuring the activity of the key enzyme, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and lactate production. LDH activity was monitored spectrophotometrically by measuring the increase in NADH at 340 nm produced in the reaction of lactate to pyruvate as described previously (20). Lactate concentration in the culture medium was assessed with a chromatometric kit obtained from Sigma.

Measurement of cellular adenosine triphosphate (ATP) concentration. An ATP assay kit was purchased from the Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology (Haimen, China). The process was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Harvested cultured cells were lysed with a lysis buffer, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 5 min at 4˚C. Finally, the level of ATP was determined by mixing 100 µl of the supernatant with 100 µl luciferase reagent, which catalyzed the light production from ATP and luciferin. The emitted light was linearly associated with the ATP concentration and measured using a luminometer (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Comet assay. The comet assay was performed under alkaline conditions as previously described (21,22), with minor modifications. Briefly, HepG2 and Bel-7402 cell suspension was separately mixed with low-melting agarose at 1x106 cells/ml at 37˚C and evenly pipetted into the microscope slides pre-coated with 250 µl of 0.6% normal high-melting agarose. The slides were maintained on ice for 10 min to solidify. The remaining cells were exposed to metformin (10 µM) for 1 h followed by IR (2 Gy). After 1 h, the treated cells were washed with ice-cold PBS and spread on the slides as described above. The slides were then immersed in chilled lysis solution (2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Na2EDTA, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1% Triton X-100 and 10% DMSO, pH 10.0) at 4˚C for 2 h in the dark. Thereafter, the slides were rinsed in freshly prepared and chilled electrophoresis buffer (1 mM Na+, 300 mM NaOH and pH >13.0) for 20 min to allow DNA unwinding. Electrophoresis was then performed at 22 V, 300 mA at 4˚C for 20 min. The slides were then washed with a neutralizing buffer (0.4 M Tris-HCl and pH 7.5) 3 times and then stained with 30 µl ethidium bromide (5 µg/ml) for 15 min. Images of the comets were captured under a fluorescence microscope (Leica CTR6000) at x100 magnification. For each sample, a minimum of 50 comets was obtained and the olive tail moment [tail DNA (%) x (tail mean - head mean)] was analyzed using the Comet Assay Software Project (CASP).

Cell cycle analysis. Cells (1x106) were seeded in 6-well plates in triplicates. After 24 h, the cells were treated with metformin (10 µM) for 1 h followed by IR (2 Gy). After 36 h, the cells were harvested by trypsinization and fixed with 70% ethanol. The cells were stained for total DNA content with a solution containing 50 µg/ml propidium iodide and 100 µg/ml RNase I...
Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). The membranes were blocked using PBS (pH 7.4) containing 5% non-fat milk for 1 h, probed with primary antibody phospho-H2AX (Ser139) [anti-phosphorylated histone H2AX (γ-H2AX)] overnight at 4°C. The membranes were then washed with PBST (PBS + 0.1% Tween-20) and incubated with a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) for 1 h. Immunoreactive proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagent from BestBio Co. (Shanghai, China). The membranes were stripped and reprobed with anti-H2AX and anti-β-actin antibodies.

**Statistical analysis.** Data analyses were carried out using SPSS V17.0 and GraphPad Prism 4 software with the Student’s t-test. The results are expressed as the means ± SEM or SD. A difference was considered statistically significant when P<0.05.

**Results**

**Effect of metformin plus IR on cancer cell viability.** To determine the effects of metformin in combination with IR on hepatoma cell viability, we observed the ability of the 2 cell lines to form colonies on 6-well plates. IR caused a dose-dependent inhibition of colony formation in Bel-7402 and HepG2 cells (Fig. 1). A statistically significant enhancement of the radiation response was found in the 2 cell lines after treatment with metformin (P<0.05) (Fig. 1). These results demonstrated that the inhibitory effect caused by the combination of metformin and IR on cell survival was more effective than IR alone. Moreover, metformin combined with 5 Gy X-ray radiation was found to provide the best results with respect to the enhancement of cytotoxicity as opposed to a lower irradiation dose. As regards clinical radiotherapy, the dose of 2 Gy was selected to fulfill the following experiment.

**Combination of metformin and IR blocks the cell cycle in the G₂/M phase.** To determine whether the decrease in cell viability induced by the combination of metformin and IR is associated with cell cycle arrest, we analyzed the cell cycle phase distribution in the 2 hepatoma cell lines. Bel-7402 and HepG2 cells treated for 1 h in the presence of metformin were irradiated with 2 Gy X-rays and subjected to flow cytometric analysis 36 h later. Metformin treatment resulted in a minor accumulation of hepatoma cells in the G₀/G₁ phase (Fig. 2). Importantly, the combination of metformin and IR notably disturbed the cell cycle progression and showed a dramatic increase in the G₂/M phase cell populations in the hepatoma cells compared with metformin or IR treatment alone (Fig. 2), which may in part account for the strong inhibition of cell viability induced by G₂/M arrest.

---

**Figure 1. Effect of the combination of metformin and IR on cell survival.** Bel-7402 and HepG2 cells were irradiated with 1, 2 and 5 Gy X-rays after being exposed to 10 µM metformin for 1 h. Data represent the average values of 3 independent experiments ± SD. The colonies were stained by crystal violet 10-14 days later. Values are normalized to those of the untreated cells. IR, ionizing radiation; Met + IR, metformin combined with IR. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, compared with IR treatment.

**Figure 2. Effect of metformin combined with IR on the cell cycle profile in 2 hepatoma cells.** Bel-7402 and HepG2 cells were starved overnight and exposed to metformin for 1 h before irradiation with 2 Gy X-rays. After 36 h, cell cycle analysis was performed by flow cytometry. Con, control; Met, metformin; IR, ionizing radiation; Met + IR, metformin combined with IR. Data are the means ± SEM, n=3. *P<0.05, compared with individual treatment.
Metformin combined with IR initiates a strong energy stress in hepatoma cells. To investigate whether metformin has an effect on hepatoma cell energy metabolism, mitochondrial complex I activity that is involved in ATP generation was measured in a panel of 2 human malignant hepatoma cell lines. Metformin diminished mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I activity by 72 and 65% in HepG2 and Bel-7402 cells, respectively (Fig. 3A). As it has been known, a decrease in oxidative phosphorylation is equivalent to a nutrient depletion in terms of ATP supply which forces cells to improve their bioenergetics, such as increased glycolysis and autophagy (23,16). Hepatoma cells treated with metformin produced a significantly greater level of LDH activity (a key enzyme involved in glycolysis and catalyzing the conversion of pyruvate to lactate) and lactate accumulation than the untreated cells (Fig. 3B and C) 24 h after treatment. However, the ATP level was greatly diminished in both cell lines after treatment with metformin (Fig. 3D). These results indicated that as a consequence of complex I inhibition, metformin significantly enhanced the glycolytic ability of the hepatoma cells to compensate ATP deprivation from the mitochondrial respiratory defect. Increased glycolytic activity is unable to produce enough compensatory ATP eventually leading to cell death as the ATP generation by glycolysis is less efficient than by mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (2 vs. 36 ATP/glucose). To evaluate the effects on cell energy metabolism induced by IR, cellular ATP production was determined in hepatoma cells. A small decrease in ATP levels was observed in hepatoma cells 24 h post-irradiation, while the combination of metformin and IR decreased ATP concentration by >70% in HepG2 cells and ~60% in Bel-7402 cells, suggesting that metformin plus IR initiate a strong energy stress in hepatoma cells compared with IR treatment (Fig. 3D).

Increased DNA damage induced by metformin combined with IR. To further investigate how metformin plus IR treatment decrease cell survival, we performed a comet assay to ascertain DNA damage. The combination treatment significantly increased the olive tail moment in the 2 hepatoma cell lines compared to each individual treatment (P<0.05) (Fig. 4).

Enhanced expression of γ-H2AX protein in response to metformin plus IR treatment. The γ-H2AX protein was infrequently expressed in the control HepG2 cells (Fig. 5A). Treatment with 10 μM of metformin alone led to a minor increase in γ-H2AX expression, 1 h after treatment. Moderate levels of γ-H2AX protein were observed 1 h after irradiation with 2 Gy. More importantly, when the HepG2 cells were treated with 10 μM of metformin for 1 h prior to treatment with 2 Gy X-rays, the protein expression of γ-H2AX was dramatically increased compared with either metformin or IR treatment alone. Surprisingly, an obvious diminution of the expression of the γ-H2AX protein in HepG2 cells was observed 24 h after treatment with metformin or radiation alone, showing that DNA damage, likely the presence of double-strand breaks (DSBs), was repaired. By contrast, the combination of metformin plus radiation significantly prolonged the expression of γ-H2AX 24 h after treatment, with more breaks remaining unrepaired. A similar difference was also observed when the Bel-7402 cells were assayed for γ-H2AX (Fig. 5B). However, the total H2AX expression levels remained almost unaltered (Fig. 5).
results revealed that metformin enhanced tumor radiosensitivity in vitro and this sensitization correlated with the augmentation in DNA damage and the delayed disappearance of γ-H2AX expression, suggesting an inhibition of the repair of constitutive DNA damage.

Discussion

HCC is a highly lethal cancer with a poor prognosis. Radiotherapy has long been excluded from the therapeutic strategy for HCC due to its significant toxic effects on the normal liver parenchyma. With the development of radiation therapy equipment and technology, radiotherapy has become one of the main non-surgical treatments for HCC. However, increasing the dose of radiation is unfeasible to the majority of patients with advanced HCC having serious liver diseases, such as hepatitis and cirrhosis. Thus, more efficient and safe agents should be developed to increase the radiosensitivity of HCC (24). Therefore, the present study was carried out to address the effects of metformin in combination with IR on human hepatoma cells and to investigate the possible mechanisms involved.

In this study, the low doses of metformin in combination with X-ray radiation demonstrated an obvious enhancement of cytotoxicity in the 2 hepatoma cell lines. To the best of our knowledge, an investigation of the radiosensitizing potential of the combination of metformin and X-ray radiation has not yet been reported, although metformin has recently been reported to modify γ-ray response in lung cancer (25). Based on our results, metformin significantly enhanced the radiation response, as the number of colonies of hepatoma cells in the combined treatment group was significantly lower than that of each individual treatment group, implying that metformin may function as a potential radiation sensitizer for cancer radiotherapy.

The results of metformin combined with X-ray radiation are promising. However, the underlying mechanisms by which metformin exhibits its effects and interacts with X-ray radiation are largely unknown.
metformin in clinical trials with a standard metformin dosage. Therefore, for our study, the cytotoxicity of various concentrations of metformin (0, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20 and 50 µM) was previously determined using a clonogenic assay in hepatoma cells. We found that the appropriate dosage of metformin for the colony formation in these cells was ~10 µM (data not shown). Thus, this dose was used in our study.

To the best of our knowledge, our study proves for the first time that the brief exposure of hepatoma cells to metformin at a micromolar concentration prior to IR significantly enhances the radiation responses by inhibiting DNA repair. The data from our study may provide the scientific foundation for further in vivo cancer models and clinical studies as regards the combination of metformin and radiotherapy for HCC treatment.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants (A2011290) from the Department of Health of Guangdong Province, (2012J2200032) from the Star of Science and Technology of Guangzhou, and (2012A1001163) from Health Bureau of Guangzhou. We gratefully acknowledge Dr Y.X. Gu and W.J. Zhang from the Central South University for their helpful comments during the study.

References


