GFP/HPV-16E6 fusion protein induces apoptosis in MCF-7 and 293T cells using a transient expression system
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Abstract. Since mucosal high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) E6 can target and degrade the tumor suppressor p53, it is recognized as a major causative agent of cervical cancer. However, to date the distribution of high-risk HPV-E6 protein remains elusive. Thus, in the present study we used a mammalian green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression system to express a GFP/HPV-16E6 fusion protein (GFP-16E6) in wild-type (wt) p53 cells, such as MCF-7 and 293T cells to investigate the trafficking and localization of E6 and p53. Following transfection, we observed that the overexpressed GFP-16E6 was a nuclear protein, and that endogenous wt p53 localized to the nucleus together with GFP-16E6. Strikingly, p53 levels were not decreased but increased in 24 h transfected with pGFP-16E6. Furthermore, we observed significant apoptosis induced by GFP-16E6, which proved to be dependent on p53 expression.

Introduction

Human papillomaviruses (HPVs) are small double-stranded DNA viruses with a genome of ~8 kB. Over 90% of human cervical carcinoma has been shown to be associated with high risk HPVs, mainly the serotypes 16 and 18 (1). The mechanisms underlying the carcinogenesis of high risk HPVs have been studied extensively, showing that the E6 and E7 proteins are the oncoproteins, which interact respectively with essential components of the cellular regulatory machinery, leading to the dysregulated proliferation and transformation of the infected cells (2). The viral E6 protein's principal target is cellular tumor suppressor p53, as a consequence of this interaction, p53 is labeled with ubiquitin, leading to p53 entering the ubiquitin-mediated degradation system, therefore, the p53 growth regulatory function is abolished (3). Thus, it has been well accepted that HPV-E6 targeted p53 degradation resulting in p53 pathway failure, together with E7 protein interacted with pRb, is responsible for carcinogenesis (4,5).

p53 is a very important tumor suppressor protein, it remains at low levels under normal conditions, only in response to stress, such as UV radiation, DNA damage, hypoxia or virus infection, p53 gene starts to be activated and the protein expressed (6-8). Activation of p53 can be modulated at different levels: increased p53 expression, transformation of the protein from a latent to an active conformation through different mechanisms, such as post-translational modification, and translocation of p53 to the nucleus, where it acts as a transcriptional factor (9,10). Little is known about whether the overexpression of high risk HPV-E6 proteins alters the expression and location of endogenous wild-type (wt) p53 protein, and what happens next.

The traffic and distribution of E6 protein inside the infected cells remains elusive. Some authors have shown that the full-length high risk HPV-E6 was located in the nuclei in transiently transfected COS cells by immunofluorescence staining and considered it a nuclear protein (11). Some other studies found it to have both nuclear and cytoplasmic distribution (12,13). These confusing results were probably due to the lack of reliable anti-HPV-16E6 antibodies, and the risk of introducing artifacts into protein distribution from the fixation procedures (14). We used green fluorescent protein (GFP) as a tag labeling HPV-16E6 (GFP-16E6) to track its subcellular location in living cells to get ride of any artificial interference. In the present experiment, an expression plasmid of GFP with HPV-16E6 inserted was applied to transfect wt p53 cell lines, such as MCF-7 and 293T cells, the experiment system would provide a platform for tracing the E6 protein. Simultaneously, we observed the expression, localization, and traffic of p53 protein with immunofluorescence technique, to determine whether the expression of E6 protein would affect the behavior of p53. By immunoblotting, we studied the
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expression level of p53 in the context of E6. Strikingly, the p53 was not degraded in 24 h in pGFP-16E6 transfected cells.

We observed the stabilization and increased expression of p53 in the presence of overexpressed E6 proteins clearly in the short-term. To avoid the possible effect of GFP-fusion protein on E6-p53 binding and the degradation of p53, we used His tagged HPV-16E6 protein (His-16E6) at the same time. We observed His-16E6 was mainly located in nuclei together with p53, and the p53 was not degraded in 24 h in His-16E6 expressing cells. Furthermore, at the later times of transfection, p53 was degraded gradually whereas the other apoptosis associated proteins such as bax, Bak, c-myc andcdc2 were increased andbcl-2 was decreased compared with control. We further observed obvious apoptosis induced by E6, which was proved to be dependent on p53 expression. Taken together, in the transient expression system, the high risk HPV-16E6 was located in nuclei together with endogenous wt p53, which in turn induced apoptosis.

Materials and methods

**Plasmid construction.** Full length HPV-16E6 was amplified by PCR from HPV type 16 complete genome, and then cloned in frame within the C terminus of the mammalian expression vector pEGFP-C1 (Clontech, CA, USA) and pcDNA4/To/myc-HisC (Invitrogen, CA, USA) respectively, producing plasmids pGFP-16E6 and pcDNA4/To/myc-HisC-16E6.

**Cell culture and transfection.** The human breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cells and human embryonic 293T kidney cells were maintained in RPMI1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Human colon carcinoma HCT116 cells and HCT116 p53−/− were maintained in DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS, at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The MCF-7 and 293T cells were seeded at approximately 30% confluency on glass coverslips in 12-well culture plates. The cells were transiently transfected with plasmid pGFP-16E6 and pGFP overnight using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) following the recommendations of the manufacturer. The reagent:DNA ratio was 2:1.

**Viable-cell imaging by confocal microscopy.** The MCF-7 and 293T cells were grown on glass coverslips, transfected, and at 21 h post-transfection, coverslips were mounted on modified glass slides with 10% fetal calf serum-containing cell culture medium, and used immediately for imaging. Images of live cells were collected with a Leica confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzler, Germany) at a magnification of x400. Fluorescent images were analyzed using Leica Confocal Software (Leica Microsystems).

**Immunocytochemistry.** The cells were seeded on glass coverslips at a density of 100,000 or 200,000 cells/well. Following standard procedures, they were transfected with plasmid pGFP-16E6, pGFP, pcDNA4/To/myc-HisC-16E6 and pcDNA4/To/myc-HisC, respectively. After transfection, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. They were then rehydrated three times with cold PBS, permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 for 5 min on ice, and rinsed with PBS and blocked. The pGFP and pGFP-16E6 transfected cells were incubated with a primary antibody against p53 (cell signaling: dilution, 1:500) overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, signal detection was performed using Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Pierce, Rockford, IL; dilution, 1:200) in blocking solution for 30 min at room temperature. Reaction products were visualized with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) and the slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

The pcDNA4/To/myc-HisC and pcDNA4/To/myc-HisC-16E6 transfected cells were also incubated with primary antibodies against p53 (cell signaling; dilution, 1:500) and against His (Clontech, dilution 1:500) overnight at 4°C, the secondary antibody of HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma; dilution, 1:200) and Cy3-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma; dilution, 1:200) in blocking solution for 30 min at room temperature. Viable-cell imaging by confocal microscopy.
The primary antibodies used were: anti-p53 (Cell Signaling; dilution, 1:1,000), (the following were all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology) anti-bax (dilution 1:500), anti-bcl-2 (dilution 1:500), anti-Bak (dilution 1:500), anti-c myc (dilution 1:500), anti-cdc2 (dilution 1:500) and anti-β-actin (dilution, 1:10,000). The blots were counterstained with goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with HRP (Pierce).

Analysis of apoptosis by flow cytometry using Annexin V and PI double staining. The transfected cells were harvested after 24, 48, and 72 h by trypsinization, and apoptotic cells were assayed with the Annexin V-APC Apoptosis Detection kit (Bender Medsystems, Burlingame, CA). Briefly, 1x10⁶ cells in 100 µl binding buffer were stained with 5 µl Annexin V-APC and 10 µl PI (final concentration, 1 µg/ml) by mixing and incubating on ice for 10 min in the dark. The cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. The data were processed using the Cell Quest software.

Statistics. All data were recorded as means ± standard deviation, and analyzed by the SPSS 11.0 software. Analysis of data was performed using one-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons. P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

GFP-16E6 is a nuclear protein. Viral E6 coding regions were inserted within the C terminus of the pGFP vector, producing plasmid pGFP-16E6. We transiently transfected pGFP-16E6 in MCF-7 and 293T cells, which allow E6 proteins to be expressed as GFP-16E6 fusion proteins. By confocal microscopy, we observed the subcellular localization of GFP-16E6 and GFP in viable cell images. The E6 fusion proteins may have low or high expression levels at different times, and this could affect the distribution of E6. Therefore, we observed the localization and expression of proteins from 6 to 72 h post-
transfection. The results indicated that GFP-16E6 protein was expressed essentially in the nucleus from 6 h post-transfection. Its expression increased gradually, and reached its maximum expression level at 21 h (P<0.001). Then, it decreased gradually and disappeared after one week. During this whole period, no change was observed in protein localization. As control, we observed the expression of GFP alone. It exhibited a diffused signal, and was present in both the nucleus and cytoplasm from 6 h to one week post-transfection. In addition, its location did not change at any time (Fig. 1A).

The 293T cells were also used to study E6 localization. The cellular distributions of GFP and GFP-16E6 proteins were similar to those in MCF-7 cells (Fig. 1A). The analysis of relative fluorescence signal intensity of GFP-16E6 in different cell lines is shown in Fig. 1B.

Co-localization of GFP-16E6 and p53 protein. Because high risk E6 can bind to p53 (15), we suspected that the GFP-16E6 and p53 might locate together. Using MCF-7 and 293T cells, we investigated endogenous wt p53 localization by immunocytofluorescence technique. The results showed that p53 protein was mainly located in the nuclei of pGFP transfected cells. In pGFP-16E6 transfected cells, the distribution of p53 protein was not changed, and it was located in the nuclei together with GFP-16E6. Fig. 2A shows representative images of the co-localization of GFP-16E6 and p53 proteins.

GFP-16E6 interacts with p53 in vivo. In the present study, we observed p53 and E6 protein located together, it was necessary...
to determine whether GFP-16E6 could interact with p53 in vivo. We investigated the potential role of the interaction between endogenous wt p53 and E6 protein by performing immunoprecipitation assay with anti-p53 antibodies. Then, by western blot analyses with anti-GFP antibodies it was shown that p53 interacted with GFP-16E6 protein. Moreover, as a control, in GFP expressing cells, only the GFP protein that lacked the E6 protein was unable to interact with p53 (Fig. 2B). Therefore, in present study, we observed that p53 could interact with HPV-16E6 protein in vivo. This is supported by a report that p53 has binding sites for high risk HPV-E6 (15).

p53 is increased in 24 h transfection with pGFP-16E6. It has been extensively shown that p53 was degraded by 26S proteasome via the ubiquitin pathway (16). Since E6 interaction with p53, we next to determine whether the overexpressed E6 affected this process of p53 degradation. At 24 h post-transfection, the GFP and GFP-16E6 expressing cells were treated with MG132, a potent inhibitor of 26S, and then examined p53 level by immunoblotting. The ubiquitination of p53 was readily detected in both GFP and GFP-16E6 expressing cells upon MG132 treatment. The results showed that the ubiquitination of p53 was significantly lower in GFP-16E6 cells than GFP control cells (Fig. 3). Thus, p53 was increased in 24 h in GFP-16E6 expressing cells, which was partly due to the decreased ubiquitin-mediated degradation of p53.

**GFP-16E6 induces apoptosis in transfected cells.** With the overexpression of oncoprotein E6, we next asked whether it can promote cell apoptosis along with the expression of p53. By Annexin V and PI double-staining combined with flow cytometry, we observed obvious apoptosis in GFP-16E6 expressing MCF-7 cells. Apoptosis occurred at 12 h post-transfection, and it increased gradually. From 12 to 72 h post-transfection, apoptosis was prominent compared with GFP alone expressing cells (P<0.001). For 293T cells, we obtained similar result to that in MCF-7 cells (Fig. 4A).

By western blotting, we examined p53 level in GFP-16E6 cells at 12, 24, 48 and 72 h post-transfection. The result indicated, for GFP-16E6 expressed cells, p53 was increased in 24 h post-transfection, and then it degraded gradually at later times. Accordingly, the other apoptosis associated proteins,
such as bax, Bak, c-myc and cdc2 were increased and the bcl-2 was decreased compared with GFP control cells (Fig. 5). On the other hand, p53 in GFP-16E6 expressing MCF-7 cells was degraded more than 293T cells. The various activity of E6 to target and degrade p53 was partly due to p53 conformation in different cells (17). Thus, our data indicated the GFP-16E6 could induce apoptosis in wt p53 cell lines.

**p53 is necessary for GFP-16E6 induced apoptosis.** Our result showed there was obvious apoptosis induced by E6 along with the expression of p53. To further investigate whether p53 was necessary for apoptosis, we transfected pGFP-16E6 in both HCT116 and HCT116 p53−/− cells. We observed, in the context of HPV-16E6, there was obvious apoptosis in HCT116 cells, whereas there was no obvious apoptosis in HCT116 p53−/− cells (Fig. 4B). Therefore, we concluded p53 was necessary for GFP-16E6 induced apoptosis.

**p53 is increased in 24 h by His tagged HPV-16E6 protein expression.** To avoid the possible effect of GFP-fusion protein on E6-p53 binding and the degradation of p53, we used His with HPV-16E6 fusion protein for further research. By immunocytochemistry stain, we observed the His-16E6 expression in both MCF-7 and 293T cells (Fig. 6). Furthermore, using immunofluorescent technique, we clearly observed His-16E6 was mainly located in the nuclei together with p53 (Fig. 7A).

**Discussion**

The present study provides a novel observation that the transiently expressed high risk HPV-16E6 with GFP fusion protein induced apoptosis in wild-type (wt) p53 cells. We showed HPV-16E6 was a nuclear protein, and the endogenous wt p53 was located in nuclei together with HPV-16E6. Furthermore, there was obvious apoptosis induced by HPV-16E6 which was dependent on p53 expression.

Many studies on the localization of E6 protein have led to contradictory results, most probably due to the low level of endogenous E6 protein and the poor reactivity of the available anti-E6 antibodies. In the present study, we used GFP with HPV-16E6 fusion proteins to dynamically trace the traffic and localization of E6 proteins in different cell lines. GFP is a convenient, genetically encoded intrinsic fluorescent molecular label that has been widely and successfully used to study protein distribution in cells (18). Our results suggested that GFP-16E6 was mainly expressed in the nucleus of transfected cells. This was consistent with the study by Tao et al who showed that the high risk full-length E6 protein was distributed predominantly in the nucleus of transfected COS-1 cells (14). Thus, it seems likely that the localization of HPV-16E6 in the nucleus is consistent with E6 having some transcription factors (19-21) including p300/CPB, IRF-3, c-Myc or transcriptional co-activators (22) as cellular binding partners which were mainly located in the nuclei.

The tumor suppressor p53 causes cell cycle arrest or apoptosis in response to DNA damage and other forms of stress (23). The ability to localize into the nucleus is essential for p53 to act as a transcription factor. Previous studies have shown the p53 interacting with HPV-E6 playing a very important role in carcinogenesis. We next asked whether the presence of E6 altered...
the subcellular localization of p53. About 50% of tumor cells contain mutated p53 but only wt p53 is detected in the HPV sequence positive tumors (24). Therefore, we chose MCF-7 and 293T cells, which are wt p53 cell lines, they can partly stimulate HPV-infected cells. We observed the endogenous wt p53 was mainly located in the nuclei together with HPV-16E6, further more, we proved E6 interaction with p53 in vivo. These data were consistent with authors who claimed that E6 did not alter the cellular localization of p53, and it was co-localized with p53 (12).

Next, we examined the level of endogenous wt p53 in the context of HPV-16E6. Of note, the result indicated, the endogenous wt p53 was not degraded but increased in 24 h in GFP-16E6 expressing cells. Also, the same result was obtained several times in both MCF-7 and 293T cells. To avoid the possible effect of GFP-fusion protein on E6-p53 binding and the degradation of p53, we expressed the His with HPV-16E6 fusion protein further for further investigation. We obtained similar result to GFP tagged HPV-16E6. The His-16E6 was mainly located in the nuclei together with p53, and it was co-localized with p53 (12).

In present study, we clearly observed p53 was located in the nuclei together with HPV-16E6. Of note, the result indicated, the endogenous wt p53 was not degraded but increased in 24 h in GFP-16E6 expressing cells. Also, the same result was obtained several times in both MCF-7 and 293T cells. To avoid the possible effect of GFP-fusion protein on E6-p53 binding and the degradation of p53, we expressed the His with HPV-16E6 fusion protein further for further investigation. We obtained similar result to GFP tagged HPV-16E6. The His-16E6 was mainly located in the nuclei together with p53, and the p53 was increased in 24 h in His-16E6 expressing cells. This agreed with the GFP tag available for HPV-E6 protein research, and it did not effect the interaction of p53 and E6 (14,25,26). This result was supported by Kawamata et al who reported that p53 protein expression levels in normal cervical keratinocytes were not degraded by the introduction of HPV-16E6, probably due to a tight transcriptional regulation of p53 (27). This was also supported by increasing evidence, which clearly suggesting that the expression of E6 does not necessarily equate to a p53 null background (28,29).

In present study, we clearly observed p53 was located in the nuclei together with HPV-16E6. Furthermore, we observed in both GFP tag and His tag expressed system, p53 was increased in 24 h transfected with HPV-16E6. This confirmed that the infected cells recognize virus replication as a DNA damage stress and elicit host surveillance mechanism which ultimately induces activation of p53 (30). We observed obvious apoptosis induced by HPV-16E6 along with the expression of p53. This finding agreed with the possibility that p53 can transactivate other genes to induce apoptosis in response to the overexpression of E6 (31). Accordingly, our result showed the apoptosis associated proteins, such as bax, Bak, c-myc and cdc2 (18) were upregulated, whereas the bcl-2 was downregulated by HPV-16E6 expression. To investigate whether apoptosis-induced by E6 was dependent on p53 expression, we took advantage of HCT116 and HCT116 p53-/- cells, which are a pair of cells: one contain wt p53, the other one is wt p53 null. Also, we proved p53 was necessary for E6 induced apoptosis. It seems likely the activity of p53 is a key event for anti-virus response. There are other viruses, such as EB and Africa Swine Fever virus, they both can induce apoptosis which was dependent on p53 activation (32,33).

In conclusion, we observed that transiently expressed GFP-16E6 was located in the nuclei together with the endogenous
wt p53 protein, which in turn induced apoptosis. Therefore, our experiments provided new insight into the interaction of high risk HPV-E6 and endogenous wt p53.
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