Chk1 knockdown confers radiosensitization in prostate cancer stem cells
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Abstract. Radioresistance is responsible for treatment failure after radiotherapy in localized prostate cancer, while prostate cancer stem cells promote radioresistance by preferential activation of the DNA damage response. Chk1 inhibition has been shown to sensitize many tumor cells to radiation. However, whether Chk1 inhibition can potentiate the cytotoxic effects of radiation on prostate cancer stem cells remains to be elucidated. In this study, CD133+CD44+ cells were isolated using microbeads and were found to possess cancer stem cell properties. Using shRNA, Chk1 was knocked down in the sorted CD133+CD44+ cells. Our results demonstrated that Chk1 knockdown abrogated the radiation-induced G2/M arrest, inhibited DNA damage repair and promoted premature mitosis, leading to increased apoptosis in the radiated sorted CD133+CD44+ cells. Moreover, these effects were accompanied by caspase-2 activation and the inactivation of phosphorylated Cdc25C and Cdc2. Our results suggest that Chk1 knockdown increases the radiosensitivity of CD133+CD44+ prostate cancer stem cells. Chk1 knockdown in prostate cancer stem cells may be an effective therapeutic strategy against prostate cancer.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is the second leading malignancy in the Western world (1). Radiation is the mainstay of conventional prostate cancer treatment and it is likely to remain as such in the foreseeable future (2). Unfortunately, in our clinical practice, radioresistant prostate cancer was observed in approximately 20-30% of patients treated with primary radiation therapy for clinically localized prostate cancer, leading to cancer-related mortality in at least 27% of patients within 5 years (3). It is known that the radiation doses in prostate cancer treatment are generally limited to less than 80 Gy due to intolerable toxicity at higher doses; however, the radioresistance of prostate cancer cells has significantly reduced the therapeutic effect of the limited amount of radiation (4).

Previous studies have demonstrated that the DNA damage response pathway plays a dominant role in conferring radioresistance and its key mediator, Chk1, has been recognized as the culprit for radioresistance development (5,6). When activated, Chk1 phosphorylates a plethora of effector molecules involved in cell cycle arrest, DNA repair and apoptosis, which triggers cell cycle checkpoint and DNA repair defects, resulting in radiation hypersensitivity (7). Thus, restraining Chk1 activity may translate into improvement in the overall efficacy of radiation.

Apart from Chk1, it is believed that cancer stem cells are predisposed to radioresistance due to their preferential activation of the DNA damage checkpoint response and increasing DNA repair capacity (8-10). Indeed, radiation has been shown to kill differentiated tumor cells while sparing the rare cancer stem cells (11). Since cancer stem cells possess the capacity of self-renewal, multilineage differentiation and maintained proliferation, the presence of a small fraction of cancer stem cells that have survived radiation tend to put patient at a higher risk of tumor regrowth and recurrence (12-14).

In our previous study, we reported that Chk1 knockdown improved the radiosensitivity of glioblastoma stem-like cells (15). These aforementioned data lead us to infer that restraining Chk1 activity may sensitize prostate cancer stem cells to radiation therapy; however, evidence supporting this hypothesis is lacking. In this study, using Chk1 knockdown, we investigated whether restraining Chk1 activity is associated with the radiosensitization of prostate cancer stem cells. Our results demonstrate that the isolated CD133+CD44+ subpopulation from DU145 human prostate cancer cells present the key biological properties of cancer stem cells. Chk1 knockdown potentiates the cytotoxic effects of radiation on CD133+CD44+ prostate cancer stem cells by abrogating G2 arrest, as well as increasing apoptosis. Furthermore, the Cdc25c-Cdc2 pathway may be associated with Chk1 knockdown-mediated cell cycle arrest abrogation, while the induced apoptosis may be associated with caspase-2 activation in CD133+CD44+ prostate cancer stem cells. To our knowledge, this study presents the first description of the effects of Chk1 restraining activity on the radiosensitivity of prostate cancer stem cells, and may provide a broad therapeutic paradigm against prostate cancer.

Correspondence to: Dr Haijun Shi, Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of Science and Technology, 24 Jinghua Road, Luoyang 471003, P.R. China
E-mail: urololy@yahoo.cn

Key words: prostate cancer, Chk1, cancer stem cells, apoptosis
Materials and methods

Cell culture. The DU145 human prostate cancer cell line was obtained from ATCC and was maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum in a humidified incubator (37°C, 5% carbon dioxide). Purified CD133+/CD44+ prostate cancer stem cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with 20 ng/ml EGF, 10 ng/ml bFGF, 5 mg/ml insulin and 0.4% BSA. For sphere formation, cells were suspended and plated at 1,000 cells/ml per well in 24-well low-attachment plates and were analyzed after 7-14 days. For differentiation, 5% fetal bovine serum was added and the growth factor was deleted from the culture medium. The CD133+/CD44+ prostate cancer stem cells were then cultured for analysis.

shRNA preparation. As described in our previous study (15), we designed the interferential sequence Chk1-shRNA based on the target sequences of the Chk1 gene (GenBank GI: 166295195). The Chk1 shRNA sequences were as follows: 5'-CCG GCC CAA ATG AGT TTC CGA AGA CTA CTT GCT AGG TTTG-3' (forward) and 5'-AAT TCG AAA CAA ACC GAT CCC CGC GTT CAG GAG-3' (reverse). The mock-shRNA sequences were: 5'-GAT CCC GTG CTT CCC AAC GTG TTC CGA AGG AGA ATT TTT TGG AAA-3' (reverse). The above-mentioned shRNAs were ligated into the pLKO.1-TRC vector and the Chk1-shRNA, and the mock-Chk1 plasmids were then formed. The cells were transiently transfected with 2 µg plasmids via Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The knockdown efficiency was confirmed by RT-PCR and western blot analysis.

Isolation. DU145 cells were cultured under normal conditions. Subsequently, 10⁸ cells were suspended in magnetic microbeads buffer in a final volume of 600 µl. FcR blocking reagent (200 µl) and 200 µl CD44 MACS microbeads were then added to the suspension sequentially. The suspension was then mixed and incubated for 15 min at 4°C. The column was subsequently placed in the magnetic field of a MACS Separator and washed with 3 ml buffer. For the following step, the cell suspension was loaded onto the column and the negative cells were allowed to pass through and the column was then rinsed with 3 ml buffer three times. The column was placed on a collection tube and 5 ml of buffer were pipetted onto the column and the positive fraction was flushed out. The positive fraction was then eluted with buffer and the CD44+ cells were obtained. The cells were sorted again with CD133 MACS microbeads as described above.

Flow cytometry. For surface marker identification, the expression of the CD133 and CD44 markers on DU145 cells was determined by flow cytometry after surface staining with anti-human CD133 and CD44 antibodies, respectively. Flow cytometry was performed on a FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using BD FCSDiva Software and FCS Express 4 software (De Novo Software, Los Angeles, CA). For cell cycle analysis, the cells were harvested and fixed in 75% ethanol at 4°C overnight. Next day, cells were suspended in 20 µl PBS and 10 µl RNase A (5 mg/ml). After 30-min incubation, PI (500 µg/ml) was added and the cells were incubated for 30 min in the dark for analysis. For apoptosis analysis, the cells were suspended in Annexin V-FITC binding buffer (195 µl) and Annexin V-FITC (5 µl) in the dark for 10 min. The cells were centrifuged and suspended in binding buffer (190 µl) and 10 µl PI solution on ice in the dark for analysis.

EdU assay. The 96-well plate was centrifuged (1,000 rpm for 5 min). Subsequently, 100 µl EdU (50 µM) were added into each well followed by mixture. After incubation for 2 h, the supernatant was removed. The cells were washed with PBS and fixed using 4% buffered formaldehyde for 30 min. The cells were cultured in 4% glycine for 5 min. After washing with PBS, the cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min followed by washing with PBS. The cells were then stained with Apollo staining reagent (100 µl) and incubated for 30 min. After permeabilization for 30 min, the cells were washed with 100 µl methanol, followed by washing with PBS. The cells were then incubated with 100 µl Hoechst 33342 for 30 min. After washing with PBS, the wells were viewed and photographed using a confocal fluorescence microscope (Olympus FV500; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The staining positive rate was counted as positive cells/overall cells x100%. For each sample, the cell number was counted at least three times.

Western blot analysis and RT-PCR. The procedure and reagent for western blot analysis and RT-PCR has been described in our previous study (16). The anti-Chk1 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotech, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-Rad51 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz Biotech), anti-pH3 (1:500; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY), anti-γ-H2AX (1:500; Upstate Biotechnology, Milford, MA), anti-caspase-2 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA), anti-pCdCdc25C (1:1,000; Cell Signaling), anti-pCdc2 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling) and anti-GAPDH antibodies (1:3,000; Santa Cruz Biotech) were used. In this study, we employed the following primers for RT-PCR: human Chk1 forward, 5'-ATG CTC GCT GGA GAA GTT CAG GAA CTA CTT GGC AGA ATT TTT TGG AAA-3' (reverse). The above-mentioned shRNAs were ligated into the pLKO.1-TRC vector and the Chk1-shRNA, and the mock-Chk1 plasmids were then formed. The cells were transiently transfected with 2 µg plasmids via Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The knockdown efficiency was confirmed by RT-PCR and western blot analysis.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using statistical software SPSS 13.0. Data are expressed as means ± SD. The student's t-test and variance analysis were used in this study. P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant differences.

Results

Isolation and identification of prostate cancer stem cells. Since Chk1 inhibition radiosensitizes tumor cells in a p53-dependent manner with an obvious effect on p53 mutant tumor cells (17-19), in this study, we employed the p53 mutant DU145 human prostate cancer cell line to isolate prostate cancer stem cells. As CD133 and CD44 have been recognized as the markers of prostate cancer stem cells (20-24), we analyzed the ratio of the CD133+/CD44+ subpopulation in DU145 cells using flow cytometry prior to isolation. As shown in Fig. 1A, the percentages of the CD133+/CD44+ and CD44+ cell subpopulations in the total DU145 cells were 0.5±0.14, 32.21±6.1 and 0.81±0.23%, respectively, confirming the exis-
tence of a subpopulation of prostate cancer stem cells in the DU145 cells. We then isolated CD133+CD44+ cells from the DU145 cells using CD44 microbeads and CD133 microbeads sequentially. As there is significantly greater number of CD44+ than CD133+ cells in the DU145 cell line, we first used CD44 microbeads to isolate the CD44+ cells from the total DU145 cells. The obtained purified CD44+ cells were resuspended and sorted again using CD133+ microbeads. Subsequently, using flow cytometry, we determined the purity of the CD133+CD44+ cells in the obtained isolated cells and found that the purity of the CD133+CD44+ cells ranged between 85-92% (Fig. 1B-D).

After isolation, we identified the stem cell properties in the CD133+CD44+ isolated cells. As expected, a small number (500 cells) of CD133+CD44+ isolated cells generated prostaspheres (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, some spheroids generated new prostaspheres, indicating the self-renewal ability of these cells (Fig. 1B). In addition, after exposure to normal medium, many spheroid cells grew as a flat monolayer with a morphology similar to DU145 cells after 10 days, showing the differentiation capacity (Fig. 1C). More importantly, a very small number of cells (6,000 cells) formed xenograft tumors in nude mice (Fig. 1D). These observation support the evidence that CD133+CD44+ cells isolated from DU145 cells have cancer stem cell properties.

**Knockdown of Chk1 in prostate cancer stem cells using Chk1 shRNA.** In our previous study, the shRNA-Chk1 plasmid was used successfully to knockdown Chk1 in glioblastoma stem-like cells (15). In this study, the same plasmid was employed to knockdown Chk1 in CD133+CD44+ cells. The electrophoresis pattern of the shRNA-Chk1 plasmid digested for sequencing analysis, confirmed the base sequences (Fig. 3A and B). Using the Lipofectamine 2000 system, the cells were transiently transfected with the pLKO.1-Chk1 and pLKO.1-mock plasmids, and the inhibition efficiency was confirmed using RT-PCR and western blot analysis (Fig. 3C).
Chk1 knockdown radiosensitizes prostate cancer stem cells.

In order to investigate the effect of Chk1 knockdown on the radiosensitivity of CD133+CD44+ cells, EdU cell proliferation assay was performed. Chk1 knockdown cells, vector cells and control cells were radiated at a dose of 8 Gy. As shown in Fig. 4, 72 h after radiation, EdU assay showed that the growth
rate of the Chk1 knockdown cells was significantly lower than that of the vector and control cells after radiation. No obvious difference was observed between the growth rates of the vector and control cells (growth rate: shChk1 plus radiation group vs. control, vector and radiation groups, 9.23±2.15 vs. 50.59±4.27, 48.21±5.83 and 21.58±4.92%, respectively; P<0.05).

As γ-H2AX is considered an indicator of DNA damage (25), in order to further determine the effects of Chk1 knockdown on DNA damage in CD133+CD44+ cells, we measured γ-H2AX protein expression in the different groups of cells. Western blot analysis showed that radiation resulted in a moderate increase in γ-H2AX protein expression in the CD133+CD44+.

Figure 5. Cell cycle analysis of the sorted CD133+CD44+ cells exposed to radiation (8 Gy) and/or Chk1 knockdown in vitro. (A) Representative histograms of cell cycle analysis of the cells in the control, vector, radiation and Chk1 knockdown plus radiation groups. (B) The bar graph demonstrates that Chk1 knockdown resulted in the abrogation of the radiation-induced G2/M arrest in the sorted CD133+CD44+ cells. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 6. Flow cytometry analysis of apoptosis in the sorted CD133+CD44+ cells exposed to radiation (8 Gy) and/or Chk1 knockdown in vitro. (A) Representative scatter plots of apoptosis analysis in cells in the control, vector, radiation and Chk1 knockdown plus radiation groups. (B) The bar graph indicates that Chk1 knockdown promoted radiation-induced apoptosis in CD133+CD44+ cells. Data are representative of three independent experiments.
cells, while Chk1 knockdown significantly accelerated the radiation-induced accumulation of γ-H2AX protein expression (Fig. 7A). These results indicated that Chk1 knockdown significantly exacerbated the radiation-induced DNA damage in CD133+CD44+ cells and sensitized the CD133+CD44+ cells to radiation.

Chk1 knockdown abrogates radiation-induced cell cycle arrest and facilitates radiation-induced apoptosis. Chk1 knockdown, vector and control cells were radiated at dose of 8 Gy. Another group of CD133+CD44+ cells were cultured as the untreated controls without radiation. After 48 h, flow cytometry was used to examine the cell cycle distribution and cell apoptosis in the four groups (Figs. 5 and 6). Compared to the untreated control cells, radiation resulted in a high accumulation of CD133+CD44+ cells in the G2/M phase, while radiation only caused a slight G2/M phase accumulation in the Chk1 knockdown CD133+CD44+ cells (percentage of cells in the G2/M phase: shChk1 plus radiation group vs. control, vector and radiation groups, 32.13±4.54 vs. 20.85±3.27, 21.64±4.91 and 62.43±8.12%, respectively; P<0.05). In addition, the Chk1 knockdown CD133+CD44+ cells displayed a significantly higher apoptotic rate than the other groups which received radiation (apoptotic percentage: shChk1 plus radiation group vs. control, vector and radiation groups, 26.47±4.31 vs. 3.35±0.47, 3.21±0.82 and 12.28±3.63%, respectively; P<0.05).

Since histone H3 becomes phosphorylated during mitosis, the phosphorylation of histone H3 (pH3) has traditionally been adopted as standard therapy for localized prostate cancer, the long-term effects are relatively poor due to the radioresistance of prostate cancer cells (3). Following radiation exposure, the survived and repopulating prostate cancer cells modulate many molecular pathways (mainly the Chk1 pathway) to overcome the radiation cytotoxic effects, leading to the development of radioresistance (33). The activation of the Chk1 pathway is crucial for the proper coordination of checkpoint and DNA repair processes, which allow for tumor cell survival following radiation (27,33,34).

Based on these data, inhibiting Chk1 activity is believed to sensitize tumor cells to radiation and a considerable amount of evidence has confirmed that it is indeed the case in many tumor cell lines (18,35,36). Cancer stem cells are more radioresistant than bulk cancer cells due to their preferential activation of the
DNA damage checkpoint response and increasing DNA repair capacity (11,12). Moreover, cancer stem cells can generate tumors in very small numbers (13). These properties significantly contribute to tumor regrowth and radioresistance. Thus, we hypothesized that Chk1 knockdown may enhance the radiation sensitivity of cancer stem cells, thereby providing a more efficient way for prostate cancer eradication.

The identification of prostate cancer stem cells has shown that prostate cancer stem cells express a number of cell surface markers, including CD44, CD133, integrins, breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP) and Sca-1 (20,24). The CD44+ prostate cancer cell population is enriched in tumorigenic progenitor cells (23,24). CD133+ prostate cancer cells are more proliferative, clonogenic and tumorigenic than bulk prostate cancer cells (22). Since DU145 cells with stem-like properties have been reported to be enriched with CD133, CD44 and integrin α2β1 (24), we attempted to isolate prostate cancer cells from DU145 human prostate cancer cells. However, in our study, following isolation of the CD133+/CD44+ cells using magnetic microbeads, the integrin α2β1 isolation was not achieved, as the triple CD133-, CD44- and integrin-α2β1 positive DU145 cells always eventually died. In our prereasearch, we also attempted, but failed to isolate prostate cancer cells from primary cell cultures of human prostate tumor tissues. These failures may be attributed to our limited cancer stem cell isolation and culture technology, as well as the imperfect framework for accessing tumor tissue samples. Thus, we only obtained the CD133+/CD44+ subpopulation from the DU145 cells in our study. Apart from isolation, we also identified the cancer stem cell properties of the CD133+/CD44+ cells obtained in our study. The isolated CD133+/CD44+ cells were able to generate prostaspheres, differentiated into cells with a morphology similar to unsorted DU145 cells and, most importantly, formed tumors on transplantation with a small number of cells. Since cancer stem cells have the capacity for self-renewal, multilineage differentiation and maintaining proliferation, our data indicate that CD133+/CD44+ cells derived from DU145 cells have cancer stem cell properties and are responsible for the development of prostate cancer. In this study, we transiently transfected CD133+/CD44+ cells using Chk1 shRNA in order to explore the potential radiosensitizing effect of Chk1 knockdown on prostate cancer stem cells. We found that Chk1 knockdown decreased RAD51 expression, abrogated the G2/M checkpoint and increased γ-H2AX expression and apoptosis in CD133+/CD44+ cells following radiation. These data indicate that Chk1 knockdown potentiates the cytotoxic effects of radiation by abrogating the G2/M checkpoint, inhibiting DNA damage repair and promoting premature mitosis, which in turn results in increased apoptosis. Moreover, the abrogation of radiation-induced G2/M arrest and the promotion of radiation-induced apoptosis by Chk1 knockdown was associated with the inactivation of phosphorylated Cdc25C and Cdc2, as well as the activation of caspase-2. These results are consistent with those from previous studies supporting the key role of Chk1 inhibition in radiosensitizing a variety of tumor cell lines (5,17).

Our study had certain inherent limitations. Our data only provided in vitro evidence of the radiosensitizing effect of Chk1 knockdown on the isolated CD133+/CD44+ prostate cancer stem cells, lacking direct evidence in vivo, as the in vivo study is currently ongoing in our laboratory. Nevertheless, the present study suggests for the first time, that Chk1 knockdown radiosensitizes prostate cancer stem cells. The specific molecular mechanism behind the radiosensitizing effects of Chk1 knockdown on prostate cancer stem cells may be linked to the abrogation of the G2/M checkpoint, the inhibition of DNA damage repair and the promotion of apoptosis. Since the safety of tumor-directed gene therapy has been supported by various clinical trials and cancer stem cells have a potent tumor-initiating capacity, as well as intrinsic radioresistance (9,37-40), enhancing the radiation sensitivity of cancer stem cells via Chk1 knockdown may prove to be a novel therapeutic approach to improve the poor prognosis of radioresistant prostate cancer patients. Further research is warranted to define the optimal clinical settings where such a therapeutic strategy can be applied.
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