Increased levels of DNA methyltransferases are associated with the tumorigenic capacity of prostate cancer cells
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Abstract. DNA methylation might be the earliest somatic genome changes in prostate cancer that also play an important role in the process of tumor invasion, growth and metastasis. In recent years, several inhibitors of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTis) have been developed and evaluated in pre-clinical models and in clinical trials. While these compounds are effective in the treatment of hematological conditions, clinical trials in solid tumors and in prostate cancer have shown limited or no efficacy. This may be attributed to inappropriate dose regimens leading to toxicity-related adverse events. As with other anti-target compounds, one of the obstacles encountered with DNMTis in prostate cancer could be the inability to select patients for the clinical studies as well as the inability to monitor the efficacy of the drug if not the conclusion of the study. Primary cultures derived from human prostate tissues harvested from patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate cancer (PCa) as well as neoplastic and non-neoplastic prostate cell lines were tested for DNMT expression/activity and to monitor azacitidine molecular efficacy. We observed that in primary cultures the levels of DNMT activity as well as the protein levels of DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b were higher in cultures derived from PCa compared to BPH tissue samples and significantly higher in cultures derived from PCa with Gleason scores ≥7 compared to those observed in cultures derived from Gleason scores <7. In addition, DNMT activity as well as DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b levels were higher in PCa cell lines compared to their non-neoplastic counterparts. Although DNMT activity was higher in high tumorigenic/aggressive PCa cell lines compared to low tumorigenic/aggressive cell lines, only the levels of DNMT3a and DNMT3b were significantly higher in the first group of cells, suggesting that DNMT1 activity is related to the transition to non-neoplastic versus neoplastic phenotype whereas the de novo methylation enzymes were mainly related to progression. Nevertheless, the comparison in the more aggressive PC3 cell derivatives (PC3-LN4 cells) also possessed higher levels of DNMT1 compared to PC3 and PC3M from which these cells were derived. Collectively, our results confirm previous data on the increased methylation in more aggressive tumors supporting the use of DNMTis in advanced prostate cancer. In addition, since glutathione S-transferase-π (GSTP1) was re-expressed or its protein levels were increased after treatment with non-toxic azacitidine doses and since GSTP1 can easily be measured in patient sera, the monitoring of this protein may aid in the evaluation of therapy in future clinical trials.

Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is one of the most commonly diagnosed male cancers in Western countries and is a leading cause of cancer mortality (1). Radical prostatectomy and/or local radiotherapy are the standard treatment for patients with organ-confined PCa. However, in 15-30% of these patients, PCa progresses as metastatic disease (2). Epigenetic inactivation of genes in cancer cells is largely based on transcriptional silencing by aberrant CpG methylation of CpG-rich promoter regions. Disruption in the DNA methylation machinery resulting in global hypomethylation and regional hypermethylation is well documented in most types of cancer affecting gene activity. Genes with unmethylated CpG islands are competent for regulated transcription, responding to signaling cues by recruiting trans-activating factors that modify nucleosome and chromatin structure,
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using histone acetyltransferases and histone methyltransferases to promote transcript synthesis by RNA polymerases. By contrast, genes with methylated CpG islands tend to be incompetent for expression, tightly wound around nucleosomes in a repressive chromatin structure maintained by histone deacetylases (HDACs) and other enzymes. Thus, somatic increases in CpG island methylation in cancer cells have been associated with gene silencing and heterochromatinization. Bedford and van Helden in 1987 (3) observed that DNA methylation levels were significantly lower in prostates with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and metastatic tumors. By contrast, non-metastatic prostate tumor DNA had a 5-methylcytosine content essentially the same as in normal tissue.

Prostatic carcinogenesis has been associated with the loss of glutathione S-transferase-π (GSTP1) by methylation evident in some 5-10% of proliferative inflammatory atrophy (PIA) lesions, the earliest prostate cancer precursors, and in <70% of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions (4,5). Human prostate cancer cells devoid of GSTP1 better activate heterocyclic amine carcinogens, such as those found in overcooked meats, to mutagenic species than cells capable of GSTP1 expression (6). GSTP1 silencing early during the pathogenesis of prostate cancer, with the resultant loss of enzymatic protection against reactive chemical species, may offer an explanation for the well-known sensitivity of human prostatic carcinogenesis to dietary and lifestyle habits (7-9).

Three major enzymes are responsible for DNA methylation in eukaryotes: DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b (10-12). DNMT1 has been implicated primarily in the maintenance of methylation patterns that occurs during cellular replication and it preferentially methylates hemi-methylated DNA. It has been the most extensively studied maintenance methyltransferase and is abundant in tumor cells and tissues. DNMT3a and DNMT3b are known to be de novo methylators of CpG sites, which have higher methyltransferase activity for unmethylated DNA than DNMT1 and can contribute to de novo methylation during embryogenesis and tumorigenesis. In the transgenic mouse model of PCs (TRAMP), DNMT expression increases during the progressive stages of PCa (13,14). The DNMT inhibitor 5-azacytidine (5-aza-CR) as well as its deoxy-derivative, 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-aza-CdR), prevents prostatic disease progression and the development of lymph node metastases in this model (15). Demethylating agents have been shown to be effective in the treatment of myelodysplastic syndromes (16). While in vitro experiments and animal models have shown that 5-aza-CR has antitumor activities in several types of cancer, including prostate cancer (17-21), clinical trials with 5-aza-CR (Vidaza) or its deoxy derivative 5-aza-CdR (decitabine) for the treatment of solid tumors have shown no significant effects due to the high side-effects (22,23). The majority of genes activated by demethylating agents, such as Rb, p16, E-cadherin, APC, VHL, retinoic acid receptor β, BRCA1 and DLC-1, are, however, tumor-suppressor genes and are related to chemo-resistance (21,24) in different types of cancer cells. We previously reported that prostate tumor cells may acquire androgen independence upon hormone therapy through epigenetic mechanisms involving modifications of DNMT expression and activity both in patients treated with bicalutamide as neoadjuvant hormone therapy (NHT) and in vitro systems (25-27). In addition, it has been shown that upon castration, the TRAMP mouse develops ‘castration-resistant’ prostate tumors similar to those seen with the recurrence of human prostate tumor growth after androgen-deprivation therapy. Treatment with 5-aza-CdR was found to increase survival of TRAMP mice and delayed prostate cancer progression, including the recurrence of prostate tumor growth after castration (12,13). We also demonstrated that azacitidine was able to amplify or restore bicalutamide treatment (26,27) or chemotherapy (21). In the present study, we analyzed the expression of DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b in primary culture from BPH and PCs human tissue samples as well as in neoplastic and non-neoplastic prostate epithelial cells.

Materials and methods

Reagents. All the materials for tissue culture were purchased from Hyclone (Cramlington, NE, USA). Plasticware was obtained from Nunc (Roskilde, Denmark). Azacitidine (Vidaza®) was obtained in collaboration with Celgene Corp. (Summit, NJ, USA). Antibodies against cytokeratin 8 (K8), 5 (K5) and 14 (K14) and PSA, vimentin (VMNT) and AMACR were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b antibodies were purchased from BioCarta (Hamburg, Germany). GSPT1 monoclonal antibody (ALX-804-510) was purchased from Enzo Life Sciences (Vinci-Biochem Srl Florence, Italy).

Cell lines. We used non-tumor prostate epithelial cell lines [BPH-1, RWPE-1 and EPN (28)] and 9 PCs cell lines [CWR22 (29), 22Rv1 (29), LAPC-4 (30), LNCaP, VCaP (31), DuCaP (31), C4-2B (32), DU145 and PC3]. PC3 and LNCaP cell derivatives (PCM, PC3-Pre4, PC3-LN4, LNCaP-Pre5 and LNCaP-Ln5) were kindly provided by Dr I.J. Fidler and Dr C.A. Pettaway (33). Cells were cultured as previously described.

Primary tumor cultures. The primary tumor cultures of PCs were established from specimens of consenting patients undergoing radical prostatectomy. A wedge-shaped specimen of the fresh prostate was removed within 1 h of surgery. Frozen sections of a part of this tissue were used to confirm the prostatic origin and for diagnosis. We analysed 10 tissues harvested from BPH and 40 tissues derived from patients with clinically localized tumors surgically treated with prostatectomy as previously described (34-36). Tissue samples were minced and cultured in DMEM.

Preparation of cell lysates and western blot analysis. Cells were washed with cold PBS and immediately lysed with 1 ml lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 30 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 μg/ml aprotonin and 10 μg/ml leupeptin). The nuclear extracts were collected as described: cells were scraped in 1 ml PBS-EDTA and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm. Pellets were resuspended in 1 ml harvest buffer containing 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 M sucrose, 0.1 mM EDTA and 0.5% Triton X-100 and incubated on ice for 5 min. Cells were pelleted at 1,000 rpm with a table top swinging microfuge, washed and resuspended in 1 ml of 10 mM HEPES,
pH 7.9 containing 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA and 0.1 mM EGTA. Lysates were electrophoresed in 7% SDS-PAGE and separated proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with the appropriate antibodies using the conditions recommended by the suppliers.

**DNA methyltransferase activity assay.** DNMT activity was evaluated by a colorimetric EpiQuik DNMT Activity Assay kit (BioVision, Mountain View, CA, USA) in nuclear extracts of cells treated with 5-Aza-CR according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical analysis.** Continuous variables were summarized as mean and standard deviation (SD) or as median and 95% CI for the median. For continuous variables not normally distributed, statistical comparisons between control and treated groups were established using the Kruskal-Wallis tests. For continuous variables normally distributed, statistical comparisons between control and treated groups were established by the ANOVA test or by the Student’s t-test for unpaired data (for two comparisons).

**Results**

Characterization of primary epithelial cancer cell cultures was performed as previously described (43-45). Organoids and small cell aggregates similar to acini attached within 2-5 days of primary culture and small outgrowths appeared with cells forming polygonal colonies of various grades of architecture. A morphological aspect of three prostate epithelial cultures with small polygonal cells containing dark granules and large polygonal cells are shown in Fig. 1. Some cells formed small compact isles and acquired a wavy pattern. Moreover, we observed that some cells formed irregular colonies showing senescent signs such as multinuclear aspect and flattened epithelial sheets with a final tendency to intersect. Cells were positive for K18, which are typical of prostatic lumenal cells, and for K14, typical of basal cells with variable levels of K5, typical for intermediated/amplifying cells. Low expression of AR and PSA characterized our primary cell cultures whereas AMACR was present in ~70% of primary cultures. Only AMACR positive cultures were used in our study. In Fig. 1D we show the expression of the above-mentioned markers in AMACR positive cultures. A densitometric analysis of the GSTP1 expression (Fig. 1E) allowed us to observe that 10/10 (100%) of BPH cultures were GSTP1 positive whereas 62.5% (25/40) of PCa cultures showed GSTP1 protein. Following randomization in two groups based on the Gleason score, 5/25 (20%) cultures containing low/absent levels of GSTP1 were grouped in the Gleason score <7 whereas 10/15 (66.7%) with low/absent levels of GSTP1 were in the Gleason score ≥7 group. In addition, we showed that 5-aza-CR was able to re-express GSTP1 in human primary cell cultures (Fig. 2), suggesting that GSTP1 may be considered a potentially useful biomarker for the methylation status of prostate cancer cells, which is in accordance with previous reports (11-14).

**DNMT activity and expression in primary cultures derived from BPH and PCa tissue samples.** We also demonstrated that
DNMT activity was higher in primary cultures derived from PCa compared to BPH tissue samples. In Fig. 3A we show single values of DNMT activity in representative BPH (10/10), PCa Gleason <7 (10/25) and PCa Gleason ≥7 (10/15). The differences between the values of DNMT activity observed in BPH-derived cultures (0.68±0.30 mU/mg of protein) were significantly lower compared with those observed in PCa-derived cultures (1.90±0.81 mU/mg of protein, P<0.001). DNMT activity was significantly higher in cultures derived from Gleason score ≥7 (2.31±0.70 mU/mg of protein) compared to those observed in Gleason score <7 derived cultures (1.49±0.72 mU/mg of protein, P<0.005). The evaluation of the levels of DNMT1 also shows that PCa-derived cultures resulted in higher levels of protein compared to BPH cultures. In Fig. 3B we showed single values of DNMT1 protein expression in representative BPH (10/10), PCa Gleason <7 (10/25) and PCa Gleason ≥7 (10/15). The differences between the values of arbitrary densitometric units for DNMT1 protein observed in BPH-derived cultures (0.56±0.27 ADU) were significantly lower compared with those observed in PCa-derived cultures (1.09±0.33 ADU, P<0.001). The DNMT1 protein levels were significantly higher in cultures derived from Gleason score ≥7 (1.31±0.32 ADU) compared to those observed in Gleason score <7 derived cultures (0.86±0.13 ADU, P<0.001). Evaluation of...
The levels of DNMT3a also shows that PCa-derived cultures resulted in higher levels of protein compared to BPH cultures. In Fig. 3C we show single values of DNMT1 protein expression in representative BPH (10/10), PCa Gleason <7 (10/25) and PCa Gleason ≥7 (10/15). The differences between the values of arbitrary densitometric units for DNMT3a protein observed in BPH-derived cultures (0.25±0.14 ADU) were significantly lower compared with those observed in PCa-derived cultures (0.83±0.30 ADU, P<0.001). The DNMT3a protein levels were similar in cultures derived from Gleason score ≥7 (0.82±0.25 ADU) compared to those observed in Gleason score <7-derived cultures (0.84±0.36 ADU, NS). Evaluation of the levels of DNMT3b also show that PCa-derived cultures produced higher levels of protein compared to BPH cultures. In Fig. 3D we show single values of DNMT3b protein expression in representative BPH (10/10), PCa Gleason <7 (10/25) and PCa Gleason ≥7 (10/15). The differences between the values of arbitrary densitometric units for DNMT3b protein observed in BPH-derived cultures (0.06±0.02 ADU) were significantly lower compared with those observed in PCa-derived cultures (0.43±0.17 ADU, P<0.001). The DNMT3a protein levels were statistically lower in cultures derived from Gleason score ≥7 (0.34±0.14 ADU) compared to those observed in Gleason score <7-derived cultures (0.52±0.16 ADU, NS).

**Higher DNMT activity and expression are observed in more aggressive PCa cell lines.** Next, we analyzed DNMT activity and DNMT expression in different prostate cancer cell lines with different tumorigenic and metastatic capacities. We demonstrated that DNMT activity (Fig. 4A), as well as the expression of DNMT1 (Fig. 4B), DNMT3a (Fig. 4C) and DNMT3b (Fig. 4D) were higher in neoplastic vs. non-neoplastic prostate epithelial cell lines and this was in agreement with previous findings (41). Conversely, only DNMT1 expression was higher in aggressive/androgen-independent (PC3, DU145 and 22Rv1) compared to low tumorigenic/androgen-dependent (CWR22, LAPC4, LNCaP, DuCaP and VCaP) prostate cancer cells. The levels of DNMT3a and DNMT3b were higher in more tumorigenic cells compared to low tumorigenic cells but the differences were not significant. The comparison in PC3 and LNCaP cell derivatives possessing higher metastatic potential shows that DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3b were significantly higher (Fig. 5A) in more metastatic PC3-LN4 and LNCaP-Ln5 cell derivatives compared to parental cells.

**GSTP1 may be re-expressed or up-modulated by azacitidine.** GSTP1 was expressed at high levels only in RWPE-1 and BPH1 cells, EPN cells expressed low levels of this protein whereas all prostatic cancer cell lines analyzed were negative. However, azacitidine was able to increase GSTP1 expression in EPN cells and to restore protein expression in PCa cell lines. In Fig. 5B we show the effects ofaza-CR on 3 representative cell lines (EPN, LAPC-4 and PC3).
and DNMT3b in prostate tissues obtained from biopsy of radical prostatectomy, and evaluating the levels of GSTP1 before and during the treatment, taking into consideration that GSTP1 (a molecular hallmark of human prostate cancer, the expression of which epigenetic silencing reduces during prostate carcinogenesis and progression) may be re-expressed after 5-aza-CR treatment and is easily measured in a patient’s serum and urine (40-42) or circulating tumor cells. In this study, we demonstrated that DNMT activity and the levels of DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b were significantly higher in primary cultures derived from PCa compared to BPH. Greater differences in the protein levels were, however, observed for DNMT3a and DNMT3b. Next, we demonstrated that DNMT activity and DNMT1 enzyme expression were not statistically higher in cultures derived from Gleason score ≥7 compared to those observed in cultures derived from lower Gleason scores, whereas the levels of DNMT3a and DNMT3b were statistically higher in Gleason scores >7. This is in agreement with findings previously observed in human PCa tissue in which we demonstrated that DNMT expression, particularly for DNMT3a and DNMT3b levels, varied with tumor differentiation (34). We then demonstrated that DNMT activity was higher in aggressive androgen-independent compared to low tumorigenic androgen-dependent prostate cancer cells and this was in agreement with previous results (43). In addition, we demonstrated that GSTP1 may be a target for azacitidine treatment since exposure of human prostate cancer cells to 1 mM aza-CR for 1-7 days caused a concentration- and time-dependent re-expression of GSTP1, which was correlated with DNMT inhibition. Taken together, our results support that GSTP1 may be considered a useful marker of DNMTi treatment efficacy which will facilitate its use as a biomarker in future clinical trials. The ability to track efficacy of the drug using tissue biopsies or circulating tumor cells at earlier time-points could greatly assist future clinical trials. In addition, GSTP1 has the potential to improve the assessment of drug efficacy, thus reducing both the duration and cost of a clinical trial, and, secondly, it may improve the welfare of patients in clinical trials by minimizing unnecessary exposure.

**References**