Caudatin induces cell apoptosis in gastric cancer cells through modulation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling
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Abstract. Caudatin has been reported to trigger apoptosis in several types of cancer cell lines. In the present study, we investigated whether caudatin has therapeutic value in gastric cancer and examined the effects of caudatin on the expression of β-catenin in human gastric carcinoma cell lines. Here, we showed that caudatin treatment resulted in a dose- and time-dependent inhibition of proliferation of the gastric carcinoma cell lines. Cell cycle analysis demonstrated that caudatin induced G0/G1 arrest and downregulated CDK2 levels. In contrast, the expression of the p21 protein was increased. AGS cells treated with caudatin exhibited typical characteristics of apoptosis, which were accompanied by activation of caspase-3, -8, -9 and PARP. Western blot analysis and immunocytochemical staining showed that caudatin induced a reduction in β-catenin expression and this reduction was due to proteosome-mediated degradation. This reduction in β-catenin activation was due to the downregulation of its downstream targets cyclinD1 and c-MYC in all gastric carcinoma cell lines. Furthermore, we demonstrated that gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and AGS cells exhibited abnormal expression of miR-372. Additionally, caudatin downregulated the expression of oncomir miR-372 and miR-21, and upregulated tumor suppressor let-7a miRNA expression. These data revealed that inhibition of Wnt/β-catenin signaling is a novel mechanism of action for caudatin during therapeutic intervention in gastric cancers.

Introduction

Gastric cancer is one of the most common malignancies and the second leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide.

Surgery and systemic treatment regimens in combination with radiotherapy and chemotherapy are able to cure this malignancy in the majority of cases. Unfortunately, the young age of typical gastric cancer patients further enhances the time at risk for the side effects of these therapies. Delayed toxicity and the development of secondary malignancies are a serious concern for the current anti-neoplastic armamentarium (1,2). Therefore, it is urgent to further characterize the entity of gastric tumors to better understand and predict their biological behaviors and to identify novel high efficient and low toxic therapeutic agents for the treatment of gastric cancer in clinical practice (3).

Modern pharmacologic study proves that C-21 steroidal glycosides are important biological active compounds, which are widely found in the plants of the Asclepiadaceae family and exhibit extensive pharmacological effects such as inhibition of proliferation, induction of apoptosis and inhibition of the invasion of tumor cells (4,5). Caudatin, a C-21 steroidal glycoside, is mainly isolated from the root of Cynanchum bungei Decne in China. Recent studies demonstrated that caudatin induced the apoptosis of HepG2 cells or the SMMC772 cell line (6,7). Wang et al (8) found that caudatin had an inhibitory activity on the secretion of HBsAg and HBV DNA replication. Furthermore, caudatin as a prospective anti-HCC drug with the mechanism of inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing cell apoptosis has been reported (9). Yet, there is no report concerning the effects of caudatin on gastric adenocarcinoma, and the underlying mechanisms are not well documented.

The Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway is involved in multiple developmental events during embryogenesis and is also implicated in tumorigenesis, cell-fate decisions, proliferation and apoptosis (10,11). Wnt ligands trigger at least three different intracellular signaling cascades: the canonical Wnt pathway, which results in transcriptional regulation of target genes via β-catenin; the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway; and the Wnt-dependent calcium/protein kinase C (PKC) pathway. The protein β-catenin is the central player in one major arm of the canonical Wnt pathway (12,13). Hyperactivation of β-catenin signaling has been implicated as a driver of various types of cancers, including human gastric cancer (14-16). Thus, there is a great interest in identifying inhibitors of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.
miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs consisting of ~17-24 nucleotides, each of which is predicted to regulate hundreds of genes (both coding and non-coding) by post-transcriptional silencing (17). Many have been shown to function in cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration and development (18,19). Certain miRNAs have been reported to be associated with the initiation and progression of gastric cancer. For example, aberrant expression of miR-106a was detected in gastric carcinoma tissue and was found to promote gastric carcinogenesis (20). miR-21 has been found to be correlated with H. pylori infection and gastric cancer progression (21).

Recently, Belair et al (22) studied the miRNA expression profile of AGS cells and found that miR-372 had the highest expression of the total miRNAs with the percentage of miR-372 reaching 11%. We previously reported that miR-372 maintains oncogene characteristics by targeting TNFAIP1-regulated AGS cell growth (23). Moreover, recent studies have demonstrated a connection between miRNAs and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Zhou et al (24) reported that β-catenin transactivates the microRNA-371-373 cluster in colorectal cancer (CRC) cell lines. In contrast, the let-7 microRNA family was found to be downregulated in human gastric cancer (25,26). These findings demonstrate that miRNAs are involved in gastric carcinogenesis via varied patterns and pathways.

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of caudatin on gastric cancer cells and elucidated the mechanism mediated through the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway.

Materials and methods

Reagents. Caudatin was purchased from the Shenzhen Medherb Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shenzhen, China) and dissolved with 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (concentration of the stock solution, 10 mM).

Human tissue samples. All human gastric adenocarcinoma tissue samples and adjacent normal tissues were obtained from the Department of Pathology, Xiangya Hospital, Changsha, China. The samples were classified according to World Health Organization criteria published in 2000. All patients signed consent forms and the study protocol was approved by the Committee on Human Rights in Research of the Ethics Committee of the College of Life Science, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, China.

Cells and cell culture. The human gastric carcinoma cell lines (AGS, HGC-27,GES-1) were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (China). Cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in F12 or Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; both from Gibco-BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco-BRL), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin.

MTT assay. Briefly, cells were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates in a final volume of 500 µl. After attachment for 24 h, cells were treated with 25-100 µM caudatin or DMSO as a blank. After drug exposure, 50 µl of MTT [5 mg/ml in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)] solution was added to each well for an additional 4 h. The reaction was stopped by removal of MTT, and the formazan crystals were solubilized in 100 µl DMSO (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) in each well. Absorbance at 570 nm was recorded using a microplate spectrophotometer. Three wells were assigned to each caudatin dose.

FACS assays. Cells were harvested at 600 x g for 3 min, washed twice in PBS at room temperature and resuspended in appropriate PBS. Then, cells were resuspended in 1X FACS binding buffer containing Annexin V and propidium iodide and analyzed using a FACS flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). A total of 20,000 cells was counted for each sample.

Hoechst 33258 staining. Apoptotic morphological changes in the nuclear chromatin of cells were detected by Hoechst 33258 (Sigma) staining. AGS or HGC-27 cells were seeded on sterile cover glasses and washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, and then incubated with 50 µl Hoechst 33258 staining solution for 10 min. After three washes with PBS, the cells were viewed under a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axioskop; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Western blot analysis. Cell lysates were subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and blotted onto Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Caspase-3, caspase-8, caspase-9, β-catenin, cyclinD1 and C-myc antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). β-actin antibody was purchased from GenScript, Inc. (Piscataway, NJ, USA), and the protein was detected using a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody and a Chemilucent ECL Detection System (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The experiments were repeated at least twice with separately collected protein extracts.

miRNA analysis. Total RNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A.® FFPE RNA Isolation kit (Omega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. For miRNA detection, 2 µg of small RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the miRNA First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Invitrogen, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis for miR-372, miR-21 and Let-7a was performed in triplicate using the SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions. U6 RNA was used to normalize expression. Primers used were: U6 forward, 5'-CTCGCTTCCGGA GCACA-3'; Let-7a forward, 5'-GAGGTAAGTGGT GTATGTTTAGAA-3'; miR-21 forward, 5'-GCTATCAGAC TGATGTTGACTG-3'; miR-372 forward, 5'-GCCCGCAA TGATGTTGACTG-3'. All reverse primers were Uni-miR qPCR Primers obtained from the First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit. Data analysis was performed using the 2⁻ΔΔCt method (27).

Immunofluorescence staining. The cells were plated overnight on coverslips on 12-well plates. AGS cells were pre-incubated with the proteosome inhibitor MG132 (100 µM) for 5 h or the lysosome inhibitor NH₄Cl (100 µM) for 5 h, prior to caudatin (50 µM) treatment for 24 h. Cells were then fixed with paraformaldehyde for 15 min, rinsed with PBS and incubated with 2% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 30 min. The cells were then incubated overnight with the anti-β-catenin antibody. After washing with PBS, the cells were incubated with the Alexa Red-conjugated anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (Molecular
Probes). Hoechst 33258 was used to stain the cell nuclei. Cell images were observed under a fluorescence microscope.

**Statistical analysis.** All data are presented as means ± standard deviation from at least three separate experiments. The differences among groups were analyzed using the double-sided Student’s t-test, and statistical significance was determined at a P-value of <0.05.

**Results**

**Caudatin inhibits gastric cancer cell growth.** We determined the effect of caudatin on gastric cancer cell proliferation in the AGS and HGC-27 cells. Caudatin significantly suppressed the proliferation of the gastric cancer cell lines in a dose- and time-dependent manner. This anti-proliferative effect on tumor cells was observed for every 12-h period following 5 treatments (DMSO or caudatin at 25, 50, 75, 100 µM) (Fig. 1A and B). The IC_{50} values for caudatin in AGS cells at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h were 180.31, 109.97, 86.45 and 54.92 µmol/l, respectively. The IC_{50} values for caudatin in HGC-27 cells at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h were 200.06, 129.24, 102.88 and 65.98 µmol/l, respectively. Of note, the MTT assay that is used to determine cell proliferation does not directly distinguish between induction of cell death or prevention of cell division; however, the result is clear that caudatin significantly suppressed the proliferation of the gastric cancer cell lines. Cell proliferation is also controlled by the progression of the cell cycle. Our results showed the treatment with caudatin distinctly inhibited the cell cycle of AGS and HGC-27 cells at the G1/S-phase transition (Fig. 1C and D). CDK2 is one of the cell cycle regulatory protein that regulates the G1 to S-phase transition of the cell cycle and functions as a cofactor for several transcription factors in numerous cell lines (28). Contrarily, P21 can suppress CDK2 and block the G1 to S-phase transition. In agreement with this theory, western blotting demonstrated that caudatin significantly downregulated CDK2 protein levels in a dose-dependent manner in the AGS and HGC-27 cells. In contrast, the expression of the P21 protein was upregulated distinctly after caudatin treatment (Fig. 1E and F). These results demonstrated that caudatin inhibited the growth of gastric cancer cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner.

**Caudatin induces gastric cancer cell death by apoptosis.** Flow cytometric analysis indicated the induction of apoptosis by caudatin occurred in AGS and HGC-27 cells. Caudatin treat-
ment resulted in a substantial increase in Annexin V-positive cells (Fig. 2A), indicating induction of cell death via an apoptotic pathway. Notably, the AGS cells presented a higher apoptosis rate than the HGC-27 cells. Hoechst 33258 staining indicated that typical apoptotic cell morphology, such as the formation of apoptotic bodies, appeared after the AGS cells were treated for 24 h with different concentrations (25-100 µM) of caudatin, while the control cells did not obviously show evident apoptotic morphological changes (Fig. 2B). We confirmed this observation by evaluating the expression of PARP and caspase-3, -8, and -9 in response to various concentrations of caudatin. Western blot analysis revealed the cleavage of caspase-3, -8, -9 and PARP in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 2C). These data suggest that caudatin is a potent inducer of apoptosis in gastric cancer cells, and the apoptosis involves the caspase-related pathway.

Caudatin reduces β-catenin expression in a dose-dependent manner. Deregulation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway has been shown in gastric cancer. As a modulator of the Wnt signaling pathway, β-catenin functions as a transcription factor that is translocated into the nucleus where it binds with the TCF
transcription factor. To assess whether caudatin affects the expression of β-catenin, AGS and HGC-27 cells were treated with various concentrations of caudatin for 24 h. Western blotting results indicated that caudatin significantly down-regulated the expression of β-catenin in the AGS and HGC-27 cells. Inhibition of the level of cyclinD1, a downstream protein of β-catenin (29), was also observed following treatment with caudatin. This result supports the above conclusion that caudatin suppresses G1 to S-phase transition of the cell cycle in AGS and HGC-27 cells. In addition, the protein level of c-MYC (30), which is an important downstream regulatory gene of β-catenin, also was decreased (Fig. 3). These results suggest that caudatin blocks the Wnt/β-catenin pathway by reducing the β-catenin protein level.

Caudatin induces proteasome-mediated degradation of β-catenin. The proteasome and lysosome pathways are two main degradation mechanisms of protein (31). To verify which pattern drives β-catenin into degradation, we pre-incubated AGS cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (100 µM) for 5 h or the lysosome inhibitor NH₄Cl (100 µM) for 5 h, prior to caudatin (50 µM) treatment for 24 h. As shown in Fig. 4B, the protein level of β-catenin was blocked in the presence of MG132. However, when AGS cells were pre-treated with NH₄Cl, there was no change in β-catenin protein level (data not shown). This result was confirmed using immunocytochemical staining in AGS cells (Fig. 4A). Notably, AGS cells treated with DMSO revealed nuclear location of β-catenin. Following treatment with 50 µM of caudatin, β-catenin was mainly located under the plasma membrane. These data suggest that caudatin treatment attenuates nuclear β-catenin signaling, which is known to play a significant role in cancer cell proliferation.
Figure 5. Caudatin treatment inhibits oncomir miRNA in AGS cells. (A) Real-time PCR analysis of miR-372 in gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and glioma tissues (Bars 1-5, grade I; 6-10, grade II; 11-15, grade III). N, normal tissue; Ga, gastric adenocarcinoma tissue. (B) Real-time PCR analysis of miR-372 in 3 gastric cancer cell lines (AGS, HGC-27, GES-1). RNA input was normalized by human U6 snRNA. (C) Real-time PCR analysis of total miRNA from AGS cells following treatment with various concentrations of caudatin for 24 h. Caudatin treatment significantly inhibited oncomir miRNA expression, and upregulated tumor suppressor let-7a miRNA expression in AGS cells. The data represent the average of three independent runs; bars, mean ± standard error (SEM). *P<0.05; **P<0.01.

Caudatin inhibits oncomir miRNA and increases tumor suppressor miRNA in gastric cancer cells. Recently, we demonstrated that miRNA-372 maintains oncogenic characteristics in AGS cells and may become a biomarker for gastric cancer progression, while Zhou et al (24) found that β-catenin transactivates the microRNA-371-373 cluster and modulates the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. To confirm the expression level of miR-372 in gastric adenocarcinoma tissue, total RNA was extracted from 15 gastric adenocarcinoma samples, consisting of 5 grade I, 5 grade II and 5 grade III gastric adenocarcinoma tissues, 15 matched normal tissues and 3 human gastric carcinoma cell lines. qRT-PCR was performed to analyze the expression profile. As shown in Fig. 5A, we found that miR-372 had higher expression levels in the gastric adenocarcinoma tissue when compared with the matched normal tissue. Moreover, miR-372 also showed abnormal upregulation in the adenocarcinoma cancer cells (AGS cells) (Fig. 5B). We next determined the effects of caudatin on oncomir miRNA and tumor suppressor miRNA in the gastric cancer cells. Caudatin treatment significantly downregulated miR-372 and miR-21 expression while upregulating let-7a miRNA expression in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5C). Therefore, these findings reveal that the expression of miRNAs were altered after caudatin treated.

Discussion

Gastric cancer is increasing in incidence in many countries, and is among the top two causes of cancer-related mortality worldwide. It is estimated that 360,000 individuals succumb to gastric cancer each year in China. In the present study, we used gastric cancer cells as experimental material to confirm the antitumor effect of caudatin and to illustrate the underlying mechanisms of its antitumor activity. MTT assay was performed to quantify the effects of caudatin on AGS and HGC-27 cell growth inhibition. The data presented here showed that caudatin treatment resulted in a dose- and time-dependent inhibition of proliferation in gastric carcinoma cell lines. The IC_{50} values for caudatin in AGS cells at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h were 180.31, 109.97, 86.45 and 54.92 µmol/l, respectively. The IC_{50} values for caudatin in HGC-27 cells at 12, 24, 36 and 48 h were 200.06, 129.24, 102.88 and 65.98 µmol/l, respectively. The cell growth inhibition induced by many cancer chemotherapeutic agents is correlated with perturbations in the cell cycle progression. Thus, we determined the effect of caudatin on cell cycle distribution to gain insights into the mechanism of its cell growth suppression. Exposure of AGS and HGC-27 cells to caudatin resulted in the accumulation of the G0/G1 cell fraction in a concentration-dependent manner, which was accompanied by a decrease in the percentage of S-phase cells. CDK2 is one of the cell cycle regulatory proteins that regulates the G1 to S-phase transition of the cell cycle and functions as a cofactor for several transcription factors in numerous cell lines. Contrarily, P21 can suppress CDK2 and block G1 to S-phase transition. In agreement with this theory (32), western blot analyses demonstrated that caudatin significantly downregulated CDK2 protein levels in a dose-dependent manner in the AGS and HGC-27 cells. In addition, p21, which plays a crucial role in the regulation of G1 to S-phase transition by a p53-independent pathway, was also triggered by caudatin in gastric cancer cells. The expression of P21 protein was distinctly upregulated after caudatin treatment in the gastric carcinoma cell lines. Similar caudatin-induced G0/G1 arrest has also been shown to occur in other cancer cell types, including hepatic and lung cancers.

In addition to inappropriate growth signals, many cancer cells lose their ability to undergo apoptosis. Disturbances in apoptosis are important for the development of cancer. Therefore, the killing of tumors through induction of apoptosis has been recognized as a novel strategy for the identification of anticancer drugs. In order to distinguish that the cell death caused by caudatin is due to apoptosis or necrosis, Annexin V/PI double-labeling analysis was performed which enables further distinction of necrotic/late apoptotic (Annexin V^+/PI^+) and early apoptotic (Annexin V^+/PI^) cells. Our results showed that treatment of AGS and HGC-27 cells with caudatin resulted in a time-dependent increase in the numbers of both early apoptotic and late apoptotic/necrotic cells. Hoechst staining showed that the typical morphological changes attributed to apoptosis, such as formation of apoptotic...
miR-372 can also act as an oncogene and promote the growth of testicular germ cells or AGS cells by targeting the LATS2 or TNFAIP1 gene (34,35). Zhou et al (24) demonstrated that β-catenin transactivates the microRNA-371-373 cluster. In the present study, this finding was further confirmed by detecting the miR-372 expression in 15 pairs of gastric adenocarcinoma tissues. The results showed that expression of miR-372 was upregulated in both gastric cancer tissues and AGS cells when compared to normal gastric tissues. Previous studies identified the altered expression of miR-21 in gastric cancer cells. Interestingly, miR-21 was previously shown to additionally target the tumor suppressor PTEN, RECK and PDCD4 and induce tumorigenesis (36), suggesting a general role for miR-21 in tumor progression. miR-21 can also inhibit apoptosis in breast cancer cells by regulating Bcl-2 expression (37). Let-7a is a tumor suppressor miRNA and is downregulated in many cancers. Let-7a decreased cell proliferation and migration of glioblastoma and reduced tumor size in a xenograft model. A recent study demonstrated that the let-7 family inhibits the proliferation of human gastric cancer cells by targeting HMG2A (38). It would be interesting to determine whether caudatin affects the expression of these genes in gastric cancer cells. Caudatin inhibits oncormi RNA and increases tumor suppressor miRNA in gastric cancer cells. Our result showed that caudatin treatment significantly reduced miR-372 and miR-21 expression while inducing let-7a in AGS cells. There is also an important link between caudatin, microRNAs and β-catenin regulation.

Taken together, an in vitro model was successfully established to evaluate the possible mechanism of caudatin in the therapy of gastric cancer. Our preliminary observations indicate that caudatin arrests proliferation of human gastric carcinoma cell lines and induces cell apoptosis, at least partially, via the caspase-dependent apoptotic pathway and the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Thus, targeting β-catenin may be an effective strategy to control tissue destruction in gastric cancer patients. Caudatin seems to have multiple molecular targets and shows enhanced potency in various cancer cell lines. Thus, caudatin may be a strong candidate for therapeutic applications for gastric cancer as well as other types of cancer.
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