Advantages of the rapid double-staining method for intraoperative detection of micrometastasis in sentinel lymph nodes
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Abstract. For rapid intraoperative diagnosis of lymph node micrometastasis, we refined the rapid immunohistochemistry method by combining anti-cytokeratin antibody-labeled nanocrystal beads with rapid hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining on the same section, referred to as the rapid double staining (RDS) technique. Two frozen-section slices each were obtained from 372 lymph nodes of 100 breast cancer patients. We performed RDS for 1 slide and rapid H&E staining for the other. The results were compared with the corresponding final pathological data obtained from the permanent specimens. For specimens from patients with pN1(mi) as determined by final pathological examination, the false-negative rate was 33.3% for rapid H&E staining and 16.7% for RDS. For specimens from patients with pN0(i+) as determined by final pathological examination, the false-negative rate was 80% for rapid H&E staining and 0% for RDS. These results indicate that RDS is superior to conventional rapid H&E staining for intraoperative diagnosis of nodal micrometastasis and isolated tumor cells.

Introduction

The presence or absence of lymph node metastasis is an important prognostic factor in breast cancer (1). However, the significance of lymph node micro-involvement remains unclear (2,3). In the UICC TNM classification (7th edition), micrometastases are defined as metastases with a long diameter of 2 mm or less as observed in section samples; those with diameters of 0.2 mm or less are defined as isolated tumor cells (ITCs). While ITCs are not thought to affect outcomes, micrometastases are considered to be a prognostic factor (4).

In clinically node-negative breast cancer, the presence or absence of lymph node metastasis is primarily determined by sentinel node (SN) biopsy (5). When omitting axillary dissection based on SN biopsy results, detection of micrometastases using a rapid diagnostic method would be beneficial (4). However, the accuracy of conventional intraoperative diagnosis remains low. Although the false-negative rate could be reduced by preparing several 2-mm-thick sections, the diagnostic potential of frozen sections is limited (6).

One of the current commonly used techniques for intraoperative diagnosis of nodal metastasis is rapid immunohistochemistry (IHC). Rapid IHC aims to improve the accuracy of frozen-section diagnoses. However, this method has drawbacks that make it difficult to use for the diagnosis of micrometastases and ITCs (7). Qualitative diagnosis of cells is poor given the lack of cytoplasmic staining, and sections used for rapid IHC are prepared differently from those used for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining (8).

Therefore, we refined the rapid IHC technique using nanocrystal beads labeled with an anti-cytokeratin antibody for determining lymph node metastasis (9). This method uses a double-staining procedure that combines rapid fluorescent immunostaining and H&E staining on the same section. We refer to this method as ‘RDS’ (rapid double staining with H&E and immuno-nanocrystal bead staining) (9). Using lymph nodes extracted from breast cancer patients who had undergone SN biopsies in our unit, we compared the false-negative rates of the RDS and rapid H&E methods.

Materials and methods

Nanocrystal beads and antibody. We used quantum dots (QDs) Qdot®655 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as nanocrystal beads (9). The cytokeratin 8 antibody SC-8020 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was adopted as the anti-cytokeratin antibody (8).

RDS procedure. QD labeling with anti-cytokeratin antibodies was carried out according to the specified protocol (Fig. 1) (10).
Stock solutions of antibody-labeled QDs were stored at 4°C. The procedure for RDS was as follows. Frozen samples were first rapidly fixed for 30 sec in 100% acetone, followed by rinsing with running water. After rinsing in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 1 min, a few drops of the 10-fold diluted QD solution were applied to the samples, which were then incubated at 37°C for 20 min. After rinsing with PBS for 1 min, samples were then stained with hematoxylin for 10 sec. Samples were then stained with eosin for 3 sec. Finally, the samples were fixed in alcohol for 1 min and sealed with xylene (Table I). The entire staining procedure can be completed within 30 min (8).

**Observation of specimens.** Fixed samples were examined under a fluorescence microscope BX51-3 (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a U-MWU2 optical filter (Olympus) (11). Both the bright-field views and the fluorescence views of the same microscopic field are able to be observed with the BX51-3. The observation method for the RDS slide was as follows. We first looked for any fluorescent sites with the fluorescence view. If any such site was found, we switched to bright-field observation and examined whether it was a metastatic focus. Both bright-field and fluorescent images are able to be observed merely by switching filters.

**Patients and lymph nodes.** Samples used in the present study were from 372 lymph nodes from 100 patients who suffered from breast cancer. Patients underwent partial mastectomy and SN biopsy without neoadjuvant chemotherapy between October 2007 and March 2009. Our institute adopts combination mapping with radioisotope (RI) and blue dye to identify SN in breast cancer patients (12). For the RI tracer, we perform subcutaneous injection around the tumor; for the dye, Patent Blue is subcutaneously injected beneath the areola.

For SNs dissected during surgery, multiple 2-mm slices were cut parallel to the central slice containing the hilus. Two sets of sections for pathological diagnosis during surgery, one for conventional rapid H&E staining and the other for RDS, were prepared in the pathology department of our hospital. We compared the false-negative rates of the rapid H&E and RDS methods using the final pathology results from permanent preparations as a reference. The final pathology results were categorized as metastasis (pN1), micrometastasis [pN1(mi)], or isolated tumor cells [pN0(i+)], according to the UICC classification (7th edition). In our pathology department, the diagnostic procedure for final pathology results involves diagnosing metastases using H&E-stained, formalin-fixed permanent preparations; for lymph nodes diagnosed as n0, including ITCs, cytokeratin immunostaining is then carried out to confirm negative diagnoses of metastasis (12).

**Ethical guidelines of the study.** All the patients were fully informed in regards to the purpose and content of the study and provided informed consent for participation in the study, according to the Helsinki Declaration.

**Results**

**Detection of metastasis by RDS.** Fig. 2 shows the images of metastatic lymph nodes stained by RDS. In the bright-field observation, metastatic foci of lymph nodes were observed...
with H&E staining. For observation of fluorescence, the cytoplasm of cancer cells was clearly stained orange by the QDs, while normal lymphocytes appeared green due to eosin staining. This provides a clear contrast that facilitates the diagnosis of metastasis.

RDS images of micrometastasis in lymph nodes are shown in Fig. 3. As in pN1(mi) nodes, making a diagnosis of metastasis is easy. Fig. 4 shows ITC images detected by RDS. The diagnosis of ITCs are known to be somewhat difficult by bright-field observation; however, in our series ITCs were readily diagnosable with observation of epifluorescence given the clear contrast between cancerous and normal cells.

Fig. 5 shows plasma cells in lymph nodes. Since anti-cytokeratin antibodies react with plasma cells, they sometimes
appear orange under epifluorescence microscopy, as do cancer cells. However, H&E staining facilitates determination of the absence of cancer cells when viewed under bright-field observation.

Diagnosis of metastasis by examining SN in breast cancer. Of the 100 patients, 21 (21.0%) were diagnosed as pN1 in the final pathological examination. Of the 372 lymph nodes, metastasis was identified in 29 (7.8%). While all 21 cases were diagnosed as having metastatic disease by rapid H&E staining, 20 cases were diagnosed as having metastatic disease by RDS. Thus, the false-negative rate was 0% for rapid H&E staining and 4.8% for RDS. The reason for the false-negative case by RDS was lack of a metastatic focus in the RDS frozen section; the metastatic focus was likely lost from the section in the slicing step (Fig. 6A).

Of the 100 patients, 6 (6.0%) were diagnosed as pN1(mi) in the final pathological examination. Of the 372 lymph nodes, metastasis was identified in 6 (1.61%). We were able to detect metastatic foci in 4 of these 6 cases with rapid H&E staining and 2 cases were diagnosed as having metastatic disease by RDS. Thus, the false-negative rate was 0% for rapid H&E staining and 16.7% for RDS. The reason for the false-negative case by RDS was lack of a metastatic focus in the RDS frozen section; the metastatic focus was likely lost from the section in the slicing step (Fig. 6B).

Of the 100 patients, 5 (5.0%) were diagnosed as pN0(i+) in the final pathological examination. Of the 372 lymph nodes, metastasis was identified in 7 (1.88%). We were able to detect metastatic foci in 4 of these 5 cases with rapid H&E staining and 1 case was diagnosed as having metastatic disease by RDS. Thus, the false-negative rate was 0% for rapid H&E staining and 14.3% for RDS. The reason for the false-negative case by RDS was lack of a metastatic focus in the RDS frozen section; the metastatic focus was likely lost from the section in the slicing step (Fig. 6C).
staining; metastatic foci were detected in 5 of the cases with RDS. Thus, the false-negative rate was 33.3% for rapid H&E staining and 16.7% for RDS. With regard to the one case in which metastasis was not detected by RDS, the specimen was ultimately determined to be from a case with ITCs rather than micrometastasis (Fig. 6B).

Of the 100 patients, 5 (5.0%) were diagnosed as pN0(i+). In the final pathological examination. Of the 372 lymph nodes, 7 (1.88%) had ITCs. While rapid H&E staining detected ITCs in only 1 of the 5 cases, RDS detected ITCs in all 5 cases. Thus, the false-negative rate was 80.0% for rapid H&E staining and 0% for RDS. RDS failed to detect ITCs in 1 lymph node, probably due to a lack of cancer cells in the frozen section (Fig. 6C).

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrated that RDS was superior to conventional rapid H&E staining for the diagnosis of ITCs. This method, therefore, represents a promising improvement in the accuracy of frozen section diagnosis of sentinel-node biopsy.

Needless to say, in order to achieve more accurate diagnoses of metastases, it will be necessary to improve the intraoperative diagnostic effectiveness of the procedure (13,14).

In the present study, the accuracy of diagnosis of intraoperative rapid H&E was very high (91%). To improve the accuracy of intraoperative diagnosis of lymph node metastases, we cut lymph nodes into 2-mm slices and prepared multiple slices (6). However, for breast cancer, the accuracy of intraoperative frozen section diagnosis of metastatic lymph node lesions (metastatic lesions >0.2 mm) varies among studies (1). For example, Ali et al (1) reported the accuracy to be 76%, while Tanis et al (15) found it to be 74%. To increase the accuracy of detection of lymph node metastases, multiple intraoperative immunohistochemical evaluation methods using anti-cytokeratin antibodies have been developed (16,17). Many of these staining procedures, however, are complicated, time-consuming and therefore impractical.

In order to observe the immunostaining results more clearly, we used a fluorescence immunostaining method (9). Traditionally, fluorescence immunostaining has been available only as a two-step procedure in which a luminescence reagent is added after antibody molecules are bound to cells. Such a technique has multiple drawbacks: the procedure is time-consuming and the period of light emission is short (8).

To overcome these drawbacks, we developed RDS, which has the following characteristics: a convenient one-step procedure for immunostaining, very clear staining results, rapid H&E and immunofluorescence staining of the same slice of specimen, easy staining procedures, no requirement for special equipment except for a fluorescence microscope and low cost.

Using the RDS method, the limitations of the fluorescence immunostaining method were overcome by using nanocrystal beads, which allow for clearer visualization and a shorter procedure time. We used QDs as nanocrystal beads. The energy acceptor modules consisted of CdSe/ZnS semiconductor nanocrystals coated with a polymer shell containing 5-7 biotin molecules per dot on their surfaces (18). The beads also have stable, bright optical characteristics; furthermore, through a maleimide-thiol binding reaction, they can be conjugated with any antibody (18). Various QDs are available, each with a different emission spectrum (19). Herein, we used QD655, which produces maximal emission at 655 nm. The color of QD655 fluorescence is red, clearly contrasting with normal lymphocytes, which appear green upon eosin staining. Based on the procedure reported in a study by Ishii et al (3), we conjugated these QDs to anti-cytokeratin 8/18 antibodies (SC-8020).

As frozen-section diagnosis at our hospital is highly effective and accurate overall, the advantage of RDS in this study was limited to the diagnosis of ITCs (4). Nevertheless, we believe that RDS has sufficient value for clinical use. In many institutions, if the diagnosis of ITCs can be established by intraoperative rapid diagnostic methods applied to lymph nodes, axillary lymph node dissection is not routinely performed (1).

Galimberti et al (20) reported, however, that 15-19% of non-sentinel nodes were found to be metastatic in cases where ITCs were present in SNs. In a study by de Boer et al (4), the presence or absence of micrometastases and ITCs was also shown to be associated with survival in patients who did not receive postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. Additionally, a case in which RDS failed to find micrometastasis was diagnosed as having ITCs. We speculate that this false-negative case was attributable to issues related to preparation of the sections; a micrometastasis is very small and a section does not always represent the maximal cutting size. Therefore, the diagnosis should be made intraoperatively in any case, although whether lymph node dissection is needed for patients with ITCs is a separate issue that needs to be addressed (21,22).

For rapid diagnosis of lymph node metastasis, one-step nucleic acid amplification (OSNA) assay was recently developed (23). With this method, the expression level of cytokeratin 19 (CK19) mRNA is assessed with specific primers, and amplification and detection of CK19 mRNA can be achieved in ~30 min. Tamaki et al (24) reported the sensitivity and specificity of the OSNA assay for detection of metastases to be 95 and 97.1%, respectively.

Some metastatic lymph nodes of breast cancer patients, however, are CK19-negative. The OSNA assay may provide false-negative results in such cases (24). Parikh et al (25) reported lack of CK19 expression in 20.5% of 158 breast carcinomas in a tissue microarray. Moreover, they found a statistically significant association between lack of CK19 expression and the triple-negative (TN) phenotype (30% of TN breast cancers were CK19-negative). Since the RDS method also detects the expression level of cytokeratin 8/18 (CK8/18), CK8/18-negative metastatic lymph nodes would display no fluorescence signals. While no such cases were observed in this study, our RDS method would avoid such false-negative results by switching filters for bright-field observation, allowing morphological detection of cancerous structures by H&E staining of the same section.

Moreover, in the OSNA assay, sample lymph nodes must be homogenized in lysis buffer. Thus, generally, when performing a rapid intraoperative diagnosis, half of the lymph node sample is used for the OSNA assay and the other half for imprint cytology (24). For the samples used for OSNA, morphological information regarding lymph node metastases is completely lost during the lysis step and consequently cannot be used later
to confirm the diagnosis. Unlike the OSNA assay, the RDS method allows morphological examination for the detection of metastases, because the same frozen sections are used for fluorescence immunostaining and H&E staining.

RDS would also be useful for SN biopsy in gastrointestinal cancer cases. Since these patients cannot be re-operated, the presence or absence of SN micrometastases must be diagnosed intraoperatively.

As long as tumor cells are present in the sections, the RDS method facilitates the diagnosis of ITCs even when involvement is minimal. Our RDS method is clinically useful for examination of the SN biopsy of breast cancers and can contribute to the intraoperative diagnosis of lymph node metastases and standardization of cancer treatments.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr Koichi Miwa of Kanazawa University for making the present study possible.

References