Synergistic effect of nanosecond pulsed electric field combined with low-dose of pingyangmycin on salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma
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Abstract. Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) is one of the most common malignant neoplasms in salivary glands. To evaluate the therapeutic effects of nanosecond pulsed electric field (nsPEF) combined with pingyangmycin (PYM) on salivary gland adenoid cystic carcinoma (SACC), ACC high metastatic cell line (SACC-LM) and low metastatic cell line (SACC-83) were tested by CCK-8 assay, cell clonogenic assay, flow cytometry and Transwell assay. Extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer (EMMPRIN) expression was tested by western blotting to verify the synergistic mechanism of nsPEF and PYM. The results showed that nsPEF inhibited the cell proliferation of both cell lines, and the inhibitory effect was strongly associated with time and electrical field strength. Moreover, PYM combined with nsPEF may enhance the suppression effect significantly, even at a very low dose (0.01 µg/ml). The synergistic effects may contribute to the downregulation of EMMPRIN expression resulting from the application of nsPEF. For SACC, nsPEF combined with chemotherapy agents may be a valuable strategy not only to improve the treatment effect and prognosis, but also to reduce the side-effects of chemotherapy.

Introduction

Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) is one of the most common malignant neoplasms in salivary glands, which accounts for nearly 18% of all salivary gland malignancies (1). Generally, ACC has the following clinical and pathological characteristics: (i) progressive local growth, contributing to the difficulties in radical local resection and a high rate of local recurrence; (ii) aggressive histological features. For example, perineural invasion can lead to facial paralysis and difficulties in swallowing and pronunciation; (iii) a high incidence of distant metastasis, especially pulmonary originating from vascular involvement, which is the primary cause of patient mortality (2).

Conventionally, the treatment strategies for salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma (SACC) include local resection combined with postoperative radiation therapy, as the response rates of SACC to chemotherapy or molecular therapies are generally poor (3). In general, chemotherapy is delivered in cases of relapsed and/or metastatic disease almost exclusively with a palliative aim. Although many chemotherapy regimens have been attempted, to date, no randomized studies have been conducted to identify the best therapeutic option in this setting. Thus, combined treatments with several chemotherapy regimens, even chemopreventive agents, are often used (3). Nonetheless, due to the cytotoxicity and resistance of the chemotherapeutic drugs, patients always suffer from the drug side-effects; significant improvement is expected in local control or in overall survival.

Recently, clinicians realized that as an important transmembrane protein belonging to the immunoglobulin super family, extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer (EMMPRIN) plays a vital role in tumor proliferation, invasiveness and patient prognosis (4-6). Silencing of EMMPRIN expression can both restrain the proliferation, invasion and MMP-2/MMP-9 secretion in SACC cell lines in vitro, and suppress the tumor growth and perineural invasion in nude mice (7). Recent immunohistochemical studies showed that EMMPRIN expression was a significant prognosis factor and correlated with tumor size, perineural invasion, distant metastasis and clinical stage in patients with SACC. In addition, patients with positive-EMMPRIN expression had much poorer prognosis than those with negative-EMMPRIN expression (6).

As a promising physical therapy approach, nanosecond pulsed electric field (nsPEF) exhibits many notable non-thermal features, of which the duration of the electric pulses were maintained in nanoseconds level. Although not widely
accepted, a few reports suggested that nsPEF may compromise the plasma membrane barrier function by disruption of the membrane potential (8,9), followed by multiple physiological consequences including intracellular calcium bursts (10,11), translocation of phosphatidylserine residues in plasma membrane (12,13) and apoptotic cell death (10,14). In vitro studies have confirmed that nsPEF inhibits cell proliferation in several human malignancies including melanoma, colon carcinoma, pancreatic cancer and squamous cell carcinoma (15-18). Furthermore, nsPEF could create synergistic effects in squamous cell carcinoma when combined with low-dose of gemcitabine (19). nsPEF was also found to suppress tumor growth in xenograft nude mice models of melanoma and squamous cell carcinoma (15,20). However, the mechanisms underlying the suppression of cancer growth by nsPEF are complicated, and the effect of nsPEF on EMMPRIN expression has not previously been discussed.

In the present study, we demonstrated the synergistic inhibition effect of nsPEF combined with low-dose of PYM, which is a conventional chemotherapeutic agent widely used in China, in proliferation, apoptosis and invasion of two human SACC cell lines (SACC-83 and SACC-LM). Furthermore, EMMPRIN expression was investigated to clarify the synergistic effect of the nsPEF combined with PYM.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture.** Human low and high metastasis cell line of ACC (SACC-83 and SACC-LM), provided by the central laboratory of Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology, were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 U/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

**Application of nsPEF.** In the present study, an nsPEF generator was used with a duration of 100 ns, and the electric fields may be adjusted from 10 to 30 kV/cm. A digital phosphor oscilloscope (DPO4054; Tektronix, USA) equipped with a high voltage probe (P6015A; Tektronix) was applied to monitor the waveforms. The pulse power device is shown in Fig. 1. The SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells were harvested and resuspended in cell culture media with a concentration of 2.0x10⁶ cells/ml. Cell suspension (1x10⁶ cells) with 500 µl was placed in 0.2 cm gap cuvette (Fig. 1B) (Biosmith, aluminum plate electrodes) and exposed to nsPEF. PYM with appropriate concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 100 µg/ml was applied to test the chemosensitivity of PYM to the SACC cells. To explore possible synergistic effects of nsPEF combined with low concentrations of PYM on SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells *in vitro*, PYM at a concentration of 0.01 µg/ml was applied alone or in combination after exposure to PYM. According to the different treatment, cells were divided into four groups. Group A was the control group in which neither nsPEF nor PYM was used. Group B was treated only by PYM. Group C was exposed to 40 pulses nsPEF with a field strength of 10, 20 and 30 kV/cm. Group D was treated with the combination of nsPEF and PYM. To explore the synergistic effect of nsPEF and PYM, the concentration of PYM in group D was as low as 0.01 µg/ml (Table I).

**Cell clonogenic assay.** After exposure to different intensities of nsPEF of 0, 10, 20 and 30 kV/cm, SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells in control and treatment groups were seeded into 6-well plates in triplicates in 2 ml medium containing 10% FBS at 37°C for 6 h to allow attachment to the plastic bottom. The density of cells in group A, B, C and D with a field strength of 0, 10, 20 and 30 kV/cm, SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Logan, UT, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 U/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂.
of 10 kV/cm was 1,000 cells/well, others were 3,000 cells/well. The medium was then replaced by fresh culture medium with 0.01 µg/ml PYM concentration and cells were allowed to grow for another 7 days. The cell clones were fixed and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. Colonies ≥30 cells were counted for computing growth inhibition rate.

Cell apoptosis analysis by flow cytometry. Apoptotic and necrotic cell death were analyzed by double staining with FITC-conjugated Annexin V and PI, which was based on the binding of Annexin V to apoptotic cells with exposed phosphatidylserine and PI labeling of late apoptotic/necrotic cells with membrane damage. In the present study, cells were suspended at 2x10^6 cells/ml in RPMI-1640 containing 10% FBS and cells in group band group D (10 and 30 kV/cm) were incubated with 0.01 µg/ml PYM after resuspension. After exposure to nsPEF, cells were incubated at 37˚C for 2 h. All the cells were collected and stained with FITC-conjugated Annexin V in a dark room for 3 min, and then stained with PI on ice for 10 min. Analysis of samples was carried out by FACScanto flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, USA).

Cell invasion assay. Cell invasion was assessed by Boyden modified assay using Transwell chambers (Costar) with 8-µm pore polycarbonate filters that were coated with 50 µg/ml of Matrigel™ (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA) diluted in serum-free medium. SACC-LM and SACC-83 cells in group C and D were treated with nsPEF (10 k, 20 and 30 kV/cm) alone or plus PYM, respectively. Then, cells were seeded into the upper chambers with serum-free medium (1.0x10^5 cells/chamber). Upper chambers were deposited in 24-well plates filled with RPMI-1640 10% FBS and incubated for 24 h at 37˚C in 5% CO₂. Non-migrating cells were removed from the upper surface of the chamber by scrubbing with a cotton swab. Migrated cells on the lower membrane were fixed in 100% methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Invitrogen) for 20 min. Invasion cells were counted under the microscope.

Western blot analysis. In a preliminary western blot assay, we detected the expression of EMMPRIN to the control group was notably detected 2 h following treatment. Therefore, after exposure to nsPEF, the two cell lines in each group were incubated at 37°C for 2 h. Then, cells were collected and lysed in RIPA buffer [150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40), 0.5% deoxycholic acid, 0.1% SDS, 5 mM EDTA] containing 0.7% phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 0.2% aprotinin, 0.2% leupeptin, and sodium metavanadate. Samples (30 µg protein) were separated on 12% (w/v) SDS-PAGE gels, and electrophoretically transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad). Non-specific sites were blocked with solution containing 5% non-fat milk powder in PBS/Tween-20 (PBS/T) for 1 h at room temperature. The membrane was probed with antibodies against β-actin and EMMPRIN in PBS/T containing 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) overnight at 4°C, and then incubated with goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody at a dilution of 1:3,000.

Statistical analysis. Every group of experiments was carried out three times repeatedly and experimental data are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation. The difference between each group was tested for significance using one-way ANOVA, post hoc, Bonferroni and Dunnett’s test for analyses of multiple group comparisons. The synergism quotient (SQ) was evaluated by removing baseline values from all treatments and then dividing the effects of combined treatments by the sum of individual treatments. Synergism quotient >1.0 indicates that a synergism exists for a given measured response.
a lower electric field, nsPEF played a mild role in inducing cell apoptosis in the two cell lines. When the voltage was increased to 30 kV/cm, a great number of SACC-83 cells underwent necrosis whereas numerous SACC-LM cells underwent apoptosis (Fig. 5). When combined with PYM, a synergistic effect on apoptosis and necrosis was detected in both cell lines although no significant effect on promoting apoptosis or necrosis was detected when PYM was used alone.
Figure 4. Effect of the combination of nsPEF and PYM in the colony formation of SACC-LM and SACC-83 cells. Data are expressed as the percentage of growth inhibition in reference to the growth of untreated control cells (*p<0.05). nsPEF, nanosecond pulsed electric field; PYM, pingyangmycin; SACC, salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma.

Figure 5. nsPEF combined with PYM significantly induces SACC-83 and SACC-LM cell necrosis and apoptosis. (A and D) The characterization of necrosis and apoptosis after PI and Annexin V-FITC staining. (B, C, E and F) A great number of SACC-LM cells underwent apoptosis when exposed to nsPEF with a field strength of 30 kV/cm whereas more SACC-83 cells underwent necrosis in the same conditions (*p<0.05). Experimental data are expressed as mean values ± standard deviation. nsPEF, nanosecond pulsed electric field; PYM, pingyangmycin; SACC, salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma.
nsPEF with PYM inhibits the invasion of SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells. As shown in Fig. 6, SACC-LM cells exhibited more aggressive behavior than SACC-83 cells, which is consistent with the characteristics of the two cell lines. Both nsPEF and PYM inhibit the invasion potential of SACC cells. In addition, the inhibition of nsPEF alone or in combination with PYM for migration in both cell lines was electrical field strength-related, and when the field strength increased to 30 kV/cm, the cells that transmit the polycarbonate filters were hardly detected. As is shown in Fig. 6, PYM statistically enhanced the effect of nsPEF although only a weak synergism was detected in SACC-83 cells with a lower electric field (10 kV/cm).
nsPEF and PYM inhibit the expression of EMMPRIN in SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells. The difference in EMMPRIN expression of SACC-83 and SACC-LM was investigated 2 h after exposure to nsPEF, as shown in Fig. 7. The results suggested that EMMPRIN expression suppression of nsPEF on SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells is electric field-correlated. In addition, it was found that PYM does not affect EMMPRIN expression when used alone with a low concentration (0.01 µg/ml), even under the administration of nsPEF.

Discussion

Adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC) is characterized by extensive local invasion and a tendency for distant metastasis (21-23). The mainstay of treatment is comprehensive therapy which includes local resection combined with postoperative radiation. However, both may lead to aesthetic or functional complications, especially for young people. The present study found that nsPEF combined with low-dose PYM may act synergistically and develop an effective inhibitory effect in the proliferation, apoptosis and invasion of SACC cells in vitro. As the side-effects of nsPEF and chemotherapy in a low dose are minimal, it provides a potential strategy for SACC treatment.

nsPEF has emerged as an anticancer strategy by inducing cell death in many tumors (16,19,24,25). nsPEF may interact with plasma membranes and intracellular organelles, resulting in the DNA damage, cellular calcium bursts, apoptosis or other forms of cell death (10,26). It has been confirmed that nsPEF may inhibit proliferation of several types of neoplasm in vitro and in vivo, and the death induced by nsPEF is dependent on cell type (17). Our results showed that nsPEF induced apoptosis of the two cell lines in a relatively low field strength, whereas when the field strength increased to 30 kV/cm, the proportion of SACC-83 cells that underwent necrosis was more than that of apoptosis. Moreover, we observed that nsPEF inhibited proliferation of SACC-83 and SACC-LM cells significantly and the suppression was electric field-dependent. Although ignorable when applied with 10 kV/cm alone to SACC-LM cells, the inhibitory effect of the applied nsPEF may be improved significantly when combined with low-dose of PYM.

PYM has been applied in clinical chemotherapy in China since 1979 (27,28), and it has been fairly extensively used in chemotherapy for treatment of squamous cell carcinoma, malignant lymphoma, Hodgkin's disease, breast cancer and ACC (3,29-31). Principally, PYM exerts its chemotherapeutic effects through damage to cellular DNA (32). Tai et al found that the cytotoxic effect of PYM on KB cells was concentration-dependent (33). Morphological changes in KB cells treated with a lower dose of PYM showed features of necrosis 24 h after exposure, whereas the number of apoptotic cells increased significantly when the concentration was increased 100-fold. Tai et al proposed that the mechanism of cell death resulted from PYM depended on the number of PYM molecules present inside the cell and PYM may cross the cell by passive diffusion. However, PYM overdose often leads to several complications involving multiple organs and systems, especially pulmonary fibrosis (34-36). Thus, the comprehensive treatment was widely considered to minimize the side-effects and improve the therapeutic efficacy.

The synergism of nsPEF with very low doses of PYM found in our study demonstrates a possibility for further clinical use. First, the results showed that PYM inhibited proliferation of SACC cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Meanwhile, we found the significant synergistic activity with combination treatment of nsPEF and low dose of PYM to inhibit proliferation, induce apoptosis and necrosis in the two cell lines as detected by flow cytometry. However, not synergism but an enhancement effect was exhibited in the high field strength group in CCK-8 assay, clonogenic assay and Transwell assay, which contributed to the high efficiency of nsPEF. The PYM crosses the cell by passive diffusion and the nano-pores caused by nsPEF were small and short lived (37); thus, we speculated that the sites or pathways that nsPEF and PYM acted on were different, rather than enhancement of PYM passive diffusion.

EMMPRIN is widely expressed in various cancer cell lines and is associated with an invasive phenotype of various types of tumors, including SACC (4,38,39). In the present study, we observed that the suppression effect of nsPEF on EMMPRIN expression was field strength-dependent. When combined with a low dose of PYM, EMMPRIN expression was not decreased significantly, which suggests that EMMPRIN was not a common target for the two treatments. Grass et al proved that EMMPRIN may induce breast epithelial cell invasiveness by promoting the EGFR-Ras-ERK signaling pathway (5). Furthermore, PYM could inhibit esophageal squamous cell carcinoma proliferation by downregulating EGFR expression (30). It has been proved that EGFR is highly expressed in ACC (40,41) and is involved in cell proliferation, motility, adhesion, invasion, angiogenesis and survival (42,43). In other words, PYM may not inhibit SACC cell proliferation and invasion through EMMPRIN directly, but may suppress EGFR expression to play a synergistic effect combined with nsPEF.

Multi-drug resistance (MDR) of tumor cells towards chemotherapeutic drugs is the main reason for failure of chemotherapy. EMMPRIN played a vital role in MDR (44), and mediated chemoresistance of various types of cancer in several pathways, such as increasing the expression of P-glycoprotein (MDR1/ABCB1), stimulating phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT cell survival signaling pathway (an anti-apoptosis pathway), and upregulating MMP expression (45,46). However, the relationship between PYM resistance and the pathways referred above remain unknown. Zheng et al (47) found that carbonic anhydrase 9 (CA9) served as a contributor to PYM resistance in human tongue cancer and silencing CA9 may improve PYM chemosensitivity. Recent studies have shown that EMMPRIN is associated with CA9 expression and indicates an adverse prognosis in breast cancer (48). Hence, we proposed that nsPEF enhanced the chemosensitivity of SACC cell lines to PYM indirectly through suppression of EMMPRIN expression and led to the synergism.

It was of vital clinical significance that regardless the pathways or sites that PYM impacted, nsPEF was able to inhibit the EMMPRIN expression that played a central role in MDR. In other words, nsPEF may improve the chemosensitivity of other chemotherapeutic drugs which shared the mechanism of chemoresistance referred. Furthermore, as shown in the present study, nsPEF with appropriate electric field may also serve
as an effective treatment for SACC. The proposed approach which combines nsPEF with PYM may be a promising way to inactivate SACC tumor cells, and it may also markedly reduce the side-effects due to the extremely low dose of chemotherapy used.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that there is a synergistic effect in SACC cell lines when nsPEF is combined with a low dose of PYM, a commonly used chemotherapy agent, and it may provide a valuable tool to treat SACC. Western blot results suggested that the mechanism for this synergism is probably due to the suppression of EMMPRIN expression induced by nsPEF. Further studies will be carried out in EMMPRIN-targeted cancer therapy induced by nsPEF.
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