Ubenimex inhibits cell proliferation, migration and invasion in renal cell carcinoma: The effect is autophagy-associated
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Abstract. Ubenimex is a low-molecular-weight dipeptide with the ability to inhibit aminopeptidase N (APN) activity, enhance the function of immunocompetent cells and confer antitumor effects. We sought to characterize the effects of ubenimex on renal cell carcinoma (RCC). The 786-O and OS-RC-2 human RCC cell lines were positive for APN expression and ubenimex decreased APN activity without affecting the expression. Ubenimex suppressed the proliferation of both cell lines in a concentration-dependent manner, as assessed by curve growth analysis and WST-8 proliferation assay. Wound healing and Matrigel invasion assays demonstrated that the migration and invasion of the RCC cells were also markedly suppressed by ubenimex. Furthermore, ubenimex increased the mortality of both RCC cell lines as determined by the LDH cytotoxicity assay. This effect was accompanied by increased levels of LC3B with no apparent effect on Caspase3; and we observed that autophagy increased significantly after ubenimex treatment in both RCC cell lines by electron microscopy. Moreover, rapamycin enhanced the cytotoxic effect of ubenimex, while 3-methyladenine reversed the effect, indicating that ubenimex cytotoxicity occurred through an autophagy-related mechanism. To further assess the potential applicability of ubenimex in the treatment of RCC, we performed immunohistochemistry using tissue microarrays representing 76 RCC patients that underwent radical nephrectomy. The results showed that APN was expressed in most, but not all of the RCC tissues and that the expression was reduced in RCC as compared to the normal kidney tissues, suggesting a potential role for APN in RCC development. Collectively, these results indicated that ubenimex inhibits proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells. Ubenimex may induce autophagy, which may be associated with its effect on the growth arrest and the cell death of RCC cells.

Introduction

The treatment of metastatic, locally unresectable renal cell carcinoma (RCC) remains a challenge for urologists; one reason is the poor response rate of the disease to many therapeutic approaches, such as chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Although targeted molecular approaches including vascular endothelial growth factor monoclonal antibodies, tyrosine kinase inhibitors and mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors that have shown promising results, the overall response rates remain low (1). Thus, drugs targeting new signal transduction pathways are desired.

Ubenimex (Bestatin) is a low-molecular-weight dipeptide molecule that enhances the function of immunocompetent cells and has diverse effects on the production of cytokines. It is also known as an inhibitor of aminopeptidase N (APN), which is identical to the cell surface molecule CD13 (2). APN is involved in various cellular processes, including cell cycle control, cell differentiation and motility, angiogenesis, cellular attachment and invasion/metastasis of various malignancies (3). Previous studies have shown that ubenimex has antitumor activity. It inhibits the invasion of human metastatic tumor cells and induces apoptosis in lung cancer and leukemic cell lines (4-6). In tumor-bearing mice, ubenimex inhibits metastasis or tumor growth and prolongs survival (7,8). In clinical studies, the drug has shown beneficial effects in the treatment of leukemia, non-small cell lung cancer, gastric cancer and cervical cancer (9-12). A potential therapeutic effect of ubenimex in RCC is suggested by the 5-year remission in a case of residual lymph node metastasis of RCC, following postoperative single administration of ubenimex (13). However, there is little data concerning the mechanism of ubenimex in suppressing tumor cells in RCC. The purpose of the present study was to determine the effects of ubenimex on the proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells and the possible mechanism.
Materials and methods

Cell culture. The 786-O and OS-RC-2 RCC cell lines were purchased from the cell bank of the Chinese Academy of Sciences. Cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 (HyClone Biotechnology, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with penicillin, streptomycin and 10% FBS. The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Western blotting. To determine the expression of APN, proteins were extracted from the cells or tissues by suspension in RIPA buffer. Samples were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min and the supernatants were recovered for analysis. The protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford protein method and the BCA protein assay kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Protein (40 µg) was electrophoresed on a pre-cast Bis-Tris polyacrylamide gel (8%) and then transferred to a PVDF membrane. The membranes were blotted with rabbit anti-APN (1:1,000; Epitomics Biotechnology, Burlingame, CA, USA), rabbit anti-LC3B (1:1,000; Sigma) rabbit anti-Caspase3 (1:1,000, Abgent; San Diego, CA, USA) or mouse anti-GAPDH (1:3,000; TA08; ZsBio), followed by horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000; ZB2306 and ZB2301; both from ZsBio, Beijing, China). Immunoblots were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (LAS4000).

Enzyme activity assay. The APN activity in the RCC cells was detected spectrophotometrically using L-leucine-p-nitroanilide (Peptide Institute, Inc., Osaka, Japan) as an APN substrate. Cells (5x10⁴) were incubated in a 96 well microtiter plate with 0.1, 0.25 or 0.5 mg/ml ubenimex at 37°C for 24 h. After culture, the medium was aspirated, the cells were washed with PBS and then 200 µl of 1 mM alanine-p-nitroanilide was added per well. Each well was then incubated at 37°C for 60 min. The APN enzyme activity was estimated by measuring the absorbance at 405 nm using a microplate reader (Labsystems, Multiskan Bichromatic, Helsinki, Finland).

Growth curve analysis. Cells were trypsinized and 1.0x10⁴ cells were plated in individual wells of a 24-well plate containing RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS. Cells were treated with 0.1, 0.25 or 0.5 mg/ml ubenimex. Every 24 h, the medium was removed, adherent cells were trypsinized and the total number of adherent cells in each well was quantified using a hemacytometer. The cell counts for 3 wells/time-point were averaged for each group and the data were used to draw growth curves.

WST-8 cell proliferation assay. Cells in an exponential phase of growth were harvested and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 3,000 cells/well in RPMI-1640 supplemented with different concentrations of ubenimex. After a 24 or 48 h culture, a 10 µl WST-8 solution (WST-8 cell proliferation and cytotoxicity assay kit; Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) was added into each well. Plates were then incubated for an additional 1 h at 37°C and the absorbance was determined using a microplate reader (EL340 BioTek Instruments, Hopkinton, MA, USA) at 450 nm.

Wound healing migration assays. The RCC cells were plated in 6-well culture plates and grown to ~100% confluency before scratching with a sterile P200 pipette tip across the monolayer. The cell debris were removed by being washed with PBS and the wells were treated with PBS and then cultured in RPMI-1640 and 2% FBS supplemented with different concentrations of ubenimex. The area of the scratch was measured at 0, 12 and 18 h and quantification was performed by measuring the area of cell migration at different time points compared to the scratch area at 0 h. Each experiment was repeated 3 times.

Matrigel invasion assay. Invasion assays were performed using Transwell chambers that were pre-coated with 40 µl of 1 mg/ml Matrigel matrix (BD Bioscience, Bedford, MA, USA). Control untreated cells or cells treated with ubenimex (0.25 mg/ml for 24 h) were trypsinized and 1.0x10⁵ cells were plated in the upper wells in a serum-free medium, while medium with 10% FBS was added to the lower well as a stimuli. After 12 h of incubation, the cells on the Matrigel side of the chambers were removed with a cotton swab. The inserts were fixed in methanol and stained with H&E staining. The number of invading cells attached to the other side of the inserts was counted under a light microscope using 8 random fields at x200 magnification. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

LDH cytotoxicity assay. The levels of LDH release were assessed as a method for determining the extent of cell death irrespectively of the type of death. A 200 µl volume of cell suspension in complete medium (5x10⁵ cells/well) was dispensed in each well of a 96-well plate. Ubenimex (0.25 or 0.5 mg/ml), 3-MA (2 mM) and/or rapamycin (0.1 µM) was added for 24 h. The 96-well plates were centrifuged for 5 min at 400 x g and then 120 µl of the supernatant from each well was transferred to a new plate. The plates were incubated at room temperature for 30 min in the dark and then the absorbance was spectrophotometrically measured at a wavelength of 560 nm.

Electron microscopy. The RCC cells were treated with 0.25 mg/ml ubenimex for 12 h. They were then fixed with 3% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS buffer for 30 min, postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide for 1.5 h, washed and stained in 3% aqueous uranyl acetate for 1 h, then dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol and acetoned and embedded in Araldite. Ultrathin sections were cut on a Reichert ultramicrotome, double stained with 0.3% lead citrate and examined on a JEOL-1200EX electron microscope (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan).

Human tissues. RCC tissues were obtained from 76 patients diagnosed with RCC (median age, 63.8 years; range, 19-85) who were treated by radical nephrectomy at Shandong Provincial Hospital (Jinan, China) between July 2009 and January 2011. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded specimens were used in our analysis. Ten specimens of non-neoplastic renal tissues were also obtained from these patients. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Shandong Provincial Hospital.

Construction of tissue microarray blocks and immunohistochemistry. Tissue microarrays were constructed using a manual tissue arrayer (Beecher, Silver Spring, MD, USA). Three cylindrical core biopsies (0.6-mm in diameter) were taken
from different sites of each tumor and precisely arrayed using a recipient paraffin tissue microarray block. Ten specimens of the non-neoplastic renal tissues were also resected from adjacent regions of the RCCs and analyzed for comparison. To assess APN expression, 4-µm tissue microarray sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and subsequently incubated with monoclonal rabbit antibodies targeting human APN (1:500; Epitomics Biotechnology). Hematoxylin served as a counter-stain. Incubation without the primary antibody was used as a negative control. The expression of APN was evaluated by two independent assessors at x200 magnification and scored as follows: -, negative; +, weak; ++, moderate and +++, strong.

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed statistically by the Student's t-test, \( \chi^2 \) test or Fisher's exact test and analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS for Windows package release 10.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Ubenimex inhibits APN activity in RCC cells without reducing expression. Ubenimex is known as an inhibitor of APN, which is identical to the cell surface molecule CD13 (2). To confirm the expression of APN in two RCC cell lines, 786-O and OS-RC-2, and to evaluate the effects of ubenimex on APN expression and activity, we performed western blotting and enzymatic activity assays. Both cell lines expressed APN, although expression appeared to be consistently higher in the 786-O cells. Furthermore, the expression remained high after a 24-h treatment with ubenimex (Fig. 1A). Conversely, APN activity was reduced by ubenimex in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1B). These results verify that APN is expressed in the RCC cells and suggest that ubenimex targets the activity, but not the expression of APN.

Ubenimex inhibits proliferation, migration and invasion of the RCC cell lines. To examine the effects of ubenimex on the proliferation of RCC cells, the 786-O and OS-RC cells were treated with a range of concentrations of ubenimex and the cell growth was assessed over a 6-day time course. The cell growth was significantly decreased for both the cell lines in a concentration-dependent manner, although the effect was more obvious in the 786-O cells (Fig. 2A). These results were verified by the WST-8 assay after a 24- and 48-h exposure to ubenimex (Fig. 2B).

To determine whether ubenimex affects the migratory ability of RCC cells, we performed scratch wound-healing migration assays. The migratory abilities of the 786-O and OS-RC-2 cells were significantly suppressed by ubenimex in a concentration-dependent manner after a 12- or 18-h exposure (Fig. 3). We further examined the effect of ubenimex on the invasion activity of RCC cells using Matrigel invasion assays. Pretreatment of ubenimex markedly inhibited the invasive abilities of the 786-O and OS-RC-2 cells, although once again, the effects appeared more dramatic in the 786-O cells (Fig. 4). Collectively, these results suggest that ubenimex inhibits the tumorigenic properties of RCC cells, including proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells, although the extent of the effect may vary according to the RCC cell line.

Ubenimex induces autophagic death of RCC cells. To determine whether ubenimex induces the cell death of RCC cells, we performed LDH cytotoxicity assays following ubenimex
treatment. The LDH assay determines the extent of cell death irrespectively of the type of cell death. The mortality of both the RCC cells was significantly increased after treatment with 0.5 mg/ml ubenimex for 18 or 24 h; the mortality of the RCC cells was significantly increased after treatment with 0.5 mg/ml ubenimex for 18 or 24 h; the mortality of the
786-O cells was also significantly increased after treatment with 0.25 mg/ml ubenimex for 18 or 24 h (Fig. 5).

To investigate the mechanism of this effect, we assessed the levels of an apoptosis marker (Caspase3) and an autophagy marker (LC-3B). LC-3B expression increased after ubenimex treatment, while the expression of Caspase3 remained unchanged (Fig. 6A). These results indicated that autophagic cell death, rather than apoptotic cell death may be the predominate mode of ubenimex-induced RCC cell cytotoxicity. To verify this hypothesis, an LDH cytotoxicity assay was performed after pretreating the RCC cells with rapamycin (an inducer of autophagy) or 3-methyladenine (an inhibitor of autophagy). Rapamycin enhanced the levels of ubenimex-induced cell death while 3-methyladenine reversed the effect in both cell lines (Fig. 6B). Western blotting confirmed the relationship between ubenimex and autophagy in 786-O cells (Fig. 6C). These results indicate that ubenimex promotes significant levels of cell death in RCC cells and that the death occurs via an autophagic mechanism.

In order to confirm this theory, electron microscopy was used to visualize the cell morphology after both cell lines were treated with ubenimex compared to the control cells. Ubenimex treatment increased the presence of autophagosomes filled with debris in both the cell lines; only a few vacuoles were observed in the control cells (Fig. 7A). Quantification of the autophagosomes indicated higher autophagy levels after ubenimex treatment (Fig. 7B).

APN is expressed in patient RCC tissues at reduced levels. Immunohistochemical analysis showed strong expression of APN in the epithelial cells of the renal proximal tubules of the normal kidney tissue. Most of the RCC tumor tissues (59/76, 77.6%) also showed positive staining, although the staining was comparably weak (Fig. 8A and B). Reduced expression of APN in the RCC tissue was verified by western blotting of 4 representative normal adjacent kidney tissues/RCC tissue pairs (Fig. 8C). The intensity of APN was not significantly different between the clear cells and
the papillary RCC and was not associated with tumor size grade or stage (Table I). These results suggest that APN was expressed in the RCC, but the levels of expression was reduced as compared to the normal tissues. The differential expression of APN may be suggestive of a function of this protein in RCC. Furthermore, the expression of APN in some RCC tumors provides a rationale for considering ubenimex for the treatment of those tumors that express APN, although the extent of expression may limit the efficacy.

The intensity of APN was not significantly different between clear cell and papillary RCC and was not associated with tumor size, grade or stage. APN, aminopeptidase N; RCC, renal cell carcinoma.

Table I. Immunohistochemistry of APN in RCC tissue microarray blocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>-</th>
<th>+</th>
<th>++</th>
<th>+++</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histological type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor diameter (cm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;4 and ≤7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previous studies indicate that APN plays an important role in the control of the growth and differentiation of cancer cells (3). Inhibition of APN expression or activity reduces the proliferation of various types of cells (2). Here, we gained insight into the effect of ubenimex treatment and the role of APN activity in the growth and development of RCC cells.

Our data demonstrated that treatment of the 786-O and OS-RC-2 cells with ubenimex had no effect on the APN expression level, but decreased the APN enzyme activity. We also demonstrated that ubenimex treatment significantly inhibited the RCC cell growth, proliferation, migration and invasion, which may explain its antitumorigenic properties. The effect of ubenimex was more pronounced in the 786-O cells, which express higher amounts of APN. These results suggest that the inhibitory effects of ubenimex may be associated with its ability to block APN enzyme activity.

The role of APN in the cell motility and metastasis of cancer cells is suggested to involve the degradation of neuropeptides, cytokines and immunomodulatory peptides, as well as angiotensins (6). Inhibition of APN suppresses the progressive potential in many malignant solid tumor cells (7,14-16). The present study demonstrated that ubenimex inhibited RCC cell migration and invasion, which is consistent with the role of APN in these processes. The invasion activity of the RCC cell line SKRC-1, which expresses moderate amounts of the APN, may also be suppressed by ubenimex (17). Thus, these results suggest that the APN may play a role in the metastasis of RCC.

We also showed that ubenimex induced a concentration-dependent cytotoxicity of the RCC cells. Several studies have demonstrated a function of ubenimex in inducing...
cancer cell apoptosis through activating Caspase3 (11,18,19); however, in the present study, ubenimex had no significant effect on the Caspase3 expression. Instead, LC-3B, which is a key protein marker of autophagy-dependent cell death (20), was upregulated after ubenimex treatment; the cytotoxic effect of ubenimex was attenuated after blocking autophagy with 3-MA, indicating that ubenimex induces the autophagic cell death of RCC cells; furthermore, the autophagy occurred after ubenimex treatment in both RCC lines, as evidenced by electron microscopy. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that ubenimex induces the autophagy in RCC cells. Autophagy plays a significant role in tumorigenesis and it is the basis of alternative trials evaluating the effectiveness of other drug regimes (20-23). In many cases, the expression of autophagy-related genes in cancer cells can inhibit cell proliferation (24). Reduced levels of autophagy liberate cancer cells from suppression and may further accelerate their proliferation rate (25,26). Therefore, our data are consistent with the possibility that growth inhibition by ubenimex may partly be caused by autophagy.
To assess the feasibility of using ubenimex to treat primary human RCC, we also assessed the APN levels in the RCC tissues from patients undergoing nephrectomy. Immunohistochemistry and western blotting showed that APN was expressed in most RCC tumors, but at lower levels than in the surrounding tissues. Although we did not observe any correlations between APN expression and the clinicopathological parameters, downregulation of APN in the tumor tissues compared with normal kidney tissues may suggest a potential role for APN in RCC development. Studies concerning several other cancer types indicate that APN functions in a highly cell type- and context-specific manner: high expression is an adverse prognostic factor for non-small cell lung cancer, pancreatic and colon cancer (27-29), but low APN expression is associated with aggressive disease in meningioma, gastric cancer and prostate cancer (17,30,31). Further studies are...
needed to determine the physiological effects of APN down-regulation in RCC and the potential efficacy of the ubenimex in treating RCC.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that ubenimex inhibits proliferation, migration and invasion of RCC cells. Ubenimex may induce autophagy, which may partly explain its effect on growth arrest and cell death. Further studies on APN function and the effect of ubenimex treatment in RCC will provide insight into the mode of action of ubenimex in RCC.
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