Abstract. Investigations into extracellular vesicles (EVs) have significantly increased since their role in physiological and pathological processes has become more clearly understood. Furthermore, it has become increasingly clear that several subpopulations of EVs exist, such as exosomes (EXOs) and microvesicles (MVs). Various methods and techniques used to identify and isolate the specific EVs subpopulations exist. However, these methods should be further elucidated. A deep understanding of the different factors that affect the EVs release may therefore be useful for the standardization of protocols and to establish guidelines for a more adequate analysis and correct inter-laboratory comparison. In the present study, we investigated whether composition and molecular features of EVs altered over time following a trigger stimulus. Starved CABA I cells were stimulated with FBS and conditioned medium was collected after different time intervals (30 min and 4, 8 and 18 h). The dynamic of EVs release was time-dependent, as shown by the results of scanning electron microscopy. Additionally, the time elapsed from the stimulus affected the size distribution (as highlighted by transmission electron microscopy and NanoSight assay), amount (in terms of the number of particles and protein amount) and molecular composition (CD63, HLA, Ago-2, gelatinases, and plasminogen activators) suggesting that, different EVs subpopulations were released at different time intervals following cell stimulation. Collectively, the results suggested that, parameters useful to standardize procedures for EVs isolation, including stimulation time should be considered.

Introduction

In the last two decades it has become clear that, besides the direct cell-to-cell contact and transfer of secreted molecules, there is an additional mechanism in intercellular communication involving extracellular vesicles (EVs) (1). It is well known that a variety of cells is able to secrete several types of EVs. When initially identified EVs were considered a form of waste elimination, whereas it has become clear that these membrane-enclosed structures are signaling packages able to interact with the extracellular matrix, by modifying it, and the surrounding cells, by stimulating or inhibiting these structures (2,3). EVs can transport several bioactive molecules, such as proteins, lipids and RNAs, and can propagate their content in recipient cells through horizontal transfer, thus having a significant impact on the surrounding extracellular matrix and cells (4).

Previous studies defined the importance of this novel mechanism of intercellular communication in various physiological and pathological events, such as the immune response, cell differentiation and vascular, neurological and cancer diseases (2,5).

EVs are divided into three major groups represented by apoptotic bodies, exosomes (EXOs) and shed microvesicles (MVs). EXOs and MVs, which are released from viable cells, are considered to be primarily involved in the exchange of messages between cells (6). Additionally, they have a rounded shape and differ mainly in cell origin and size range. EXOs size ranges between 30 and 100 nm whereas that of MVs ranges between 100 and 1,000 nm (10). EXOs are released following fusion with the plasma membrane of multivesicular bodies (MVB), which belong to the endosomal compartment and form through a process of inward budding that gives origin to intraluminal vesicles (ILVs); once the ILVs are released from MVB into extracellular milieu they are called EXOs (7,8). The origin of MVs is completely different since they are formed through the outward budding of plasma membrane (2,7,8).

Exosomes have been mainly studied for their involvement in immune system functions but also for their role in cancer progression (4,7,9). In the same manner, MVs have been widely studied in several normal cell types, including platelets, fibroblasts, neuronal, epithelial, endothelial and red
blood cells (10-13), and even more widely analyzed in cancer cells (6,14-18) for their role in tumor progression, evasion from apoptosis, drug resistance, immune-escape, and angiogenesis (2,3,19).

The non-accidental production of EVs, in pathological and physiological events, is emphasized by the fact that they are not merely miniature parental cells, showing both similarities and differences compared to the molecular composition of the cells of origin (6,20).

Due to their role in pathological processes, EVs have been widely studied in the past few years (21-24). Moreover, there is an increasing expectation in their potential use as clinical targets and as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers since vesicles occur in bloodstream and other biological fluids (e.g., urine, semen, amniotic fluid, saliva, synovial and bronchoalveolar fluid, breast milk, spinal fluid, ascites, malignant and pleural effusion), particularly the ones that are exposed to primary tumors (2,19,25-28).

Isolation of EVs from conditioned medium. CABA I cells were used in all the experiments. The CABA I cell line was established from the ascitic fluid of an ovarian carcinoma patient not undergoing drug treatment (29).

The cells were grown as monolayers in RPMI-1640 containing 5% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1X penicillin/streptomycin and 2 mM L-glutamine (all purchased from EuroClone SpA, Milan, Italy). The cells were maintained at 37˚C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. All the experiments were carried out when the cells were sub-confluent and mycoplasma-negative.

Electron microscopy. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was carried out on subconfluent cells grown on coverslips and fixed with 2% glutaraldehyde (Electro Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA, USA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 30 min. After being critical point-dried, the samples were glued onto stubs, coated with gold in a SCD040 Balzer Spatterer, and detected via a Philips 505 SEM at 20 kV.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on isolated vesicles, resuspended in PBS, to analyze their ultrastructural morphology. According to the proper dilutions, the samples were adsorbed onto 300 mesh carbon-coated copper grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 5 min in a humidified chamber at room temperature. Vesicles on grids were then fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde (Electro Microscopy Sciences) in PBS for 10 min and then briefly rinsed in Milli-Q water. Grids with adhered vesicles were examined with a Philips CM 100 transmission electron microscope operating at 80 kV, after negative staining with 2% phosphotungstic acid, brought to pH 7.0 with NaOH. Images were captured with a Kodak digital camera.

NanoSight. The number and dimension of EVs were assessed by the nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). Using a NanoSight LM10-HS system (NanoSight Ltd., Amesbury, UK), EVs were visualized by laser light scattering. Briefly, EV-enriched pellets were resuspended in 300 µl of 0.1 µm triple-filtered sterile PBS and five recordings of 30 sec were performed for each sample. EVs pellets were derived from an equal volume of conditioned medium, that was collected from cells originally seeded in the same number.

Collected data were analyzed with NTA software, which provided high-resolution particle size distribution profiles and concentration measurements of the vesicles in solution.

Western blot analysis. Vesicles (8 µg for CD63, 40 µg for HLA and Ago-2) were resolved by 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) under non-reducing conditions without heating and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman-GE Healthcare Life Sciences, UK). Non-specific binding sites were blocked for 1 h in 10% non-fat dry milk in TBS containing 0.5% Tween-20 (TBS-T) at room temperature. The blots were then incubated at 4˚C overnight with primary antibodies against CD63 (mouse monoclonal, 1:500 dilution; sc-59286), against the W6/32 antigenic determinant of HLA (mouse monoclonal, 1:500 dilution; sc-32235) (both from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and against Ago-2 (mouse monoclonal, 1:200 dilution; 011-22033, Wako, Japan). Normalization was carried out using the GAPDH antibody (mouse monoclonal, 1:5,000 dilution; MA5-11114, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL, USA).
After several washes in TBS-T, the membranes were incubated in a peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (goat anti-mouse IgG-HRP, 1:10,000 dilution; sc-2005; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) for 1 h. All the antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer (TBS-T containing 1% non-fat dry milk).

After washing in TBS-T, the reactive bands were visualized with a chemiluminescence detection kit (SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate; Thermo Scientific) and images were recorded with the gel documentation system Alliance LD2 (UVitec, Cambridge, UK).

Figure 1. SEM analysis. SEM images of CABA I at 30 min and 4-, 8-, and 18-h post-FBS stimulation. SEM, scanning electron microscopy.
The optical density of the target bands was determined using the Alliance LD2 gel documentation system or the ImageJ public domain software.

Zymography assays. Gelatin zymography was performed using SDS-PAGE (7.5%) copolymerized with 2 mg/ml gelatin type B (Sigma-Aldrich). Vesicles (10 µg) were diluted in SDS-PAGE sample buffer under non-reducing conditions without heating. After electrophoresis, the gels were washed three times, 15 min each, with 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) containing 2.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) under agitation at room temperature and then incubated overnight in collagenase buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) containing 120 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl$_2$] at 37°C. The gels were stained with 0.25% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (Bio-Rad) in a mixture of methanol-acetic acid-water (4:1:5) for 30 min and were destained in the same solution without dye. The gelatinase activities were visualized as distinct white bands on a blue background, indicating proteolysis of the substrate.

Casein-plasminogen zymography was performed on vesicles (8 µg) diluted in SDS-PAGE sample buffer under non-reducing conditions without heating, using SDS-PAGE (10%) gels...
copolymerized with 0.2% casein plus 10 µg/ml plasminogen (both from Sigma-Aldrich). After electrophoresis, the gels were washed twice for 30 min in Tris 50 mM pH 7.4 + Triton X 2.5% at room temperature and incubated overnight in the same buffer without Triton X at 37˚C. Gel staining and destaining was performed as for the gelatin zymography.

**Statistical analysis.** Data shown are from at least three independent experiments and are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was determined using the Student's t-test. Calculations were performed using GraphPad Prism 4 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical significance was set at P<0.05.

**Results**

**Time-dependent release of EV subpopulations.** In the preliminary experiments the EVs release from starved cells after FBS stimulation was evaluated by means of SEM and TEM. The SEM assay revealed a time-dependent release of EVs from the cell surface (Fig. 1). After 30 min, the cell surface completely lacked any membrane movement and vesicle budding, whereas after 4 h membrane movements were evident and vesicle release commenced. The latter phenomenon was particularly evident after 8 h, and even more, after 18 h. The excretion of EVs involved mainly the central portion of the cell body.

TEM analysis of EVs isolated at different time intervals after cell stimulation confirmed their release in the conditioned medium (Fig. 2A-D) and showed that after 30 min mainly small EVs were released (mean size, <100 nm), while the release of larger EVs (mean size, >100 nm) occurred later (Fig. 2E). Moreover, the amount of smaller EVs decreased as the stimulation time increased, whereas the opposite occurred for the larger EVs, whose presence was recorded after 4 h and whose amount increased proportionally to the stimulation time (Fig. 2E).

In the subsequent experiments only the shorter and longer stimulation time were taken into account. EVs released in medium after 30 min and 18 h from starved cells after FBS stimulation were isolated and their number and size distribution were analyzed by means of NanoSight. Fig. 3A shows the number of particles in the conditioned media after deduction of the contribution of particles from non-conditioned ones. Fig. 3B
also shows the same graph reporting only a size of >200 nm. After 30 min the cells released mainly particles whose mean size was ~100 nm (peak mean in five replicates at 100-127 nm) whereas after 18 h an additional peak at higher dimensions was evident (lower and higher peak mean in five replicates at 126-135 and 371-456 nm, respectively) (Fig. 3A and B).

The NanoSight assay also showed that the number of particles (i.e., of EVs) was higher in the medium conditioned for 30 min than that in the medium conditioned for 18 h (24,712x10^6/ml and 7,857x10^6/ml particles, respectively) (Fig. 3C). A further quantification performed by the analysis of EVs protein content confirmed the previous data: the amount of EVs was higher in the medium conditioned for 30 min than that in the medium conditioned for 18 h (0.97 and 0.42 pg/cell, respectively) (Fig. 3D).

Molecular characterization. CD63, HLA and Ago-2 expression was assessed using western blotting. The presence of gelatinases and plasminogen activators was also assessed by zymography techniques (Fig. 4).

The anti-CD63 antibody revealed a protein with a size ranging 39-77 kDa, suggesting that the CD63 contained in vesicles was in a glycosylated form. In EVs released after 18 h stimulation the amount of protein was ~2-fold higher (1.92 ± 0.12) than that in EVs released after 30 min.

The anti-HLA antibody revealed a protein with a size of 50 kDa. In EVs released after 18 h stimulation the amount of protein was ~3-fold higher (2.84 ± 0.28) than that in EVs released after 30 min.

Anti-Ago2 revealed a protein with a size of 78-93 kDa that was slightly more expressed in EVs released after 30 min (expression ~1.2 higher in 30 min, 1.25±0.13).

Gelatin zymography allowed for the identification of the gelatinases MMP-2 and -9 both in the pro-enzyme and active form in the two EVs samples (calculated molecular weights: proMMP-9, 97 kDa; MMP-9, 84 kDa; proMMP-2, 72 kDa; and MMP-2, 64 kDa). MMPs complexes and/or dimers were also present at a high molecular weight. The amount of enzymes was substantially equal in the two samples, with the exception of a slight increase of proMMP-9 and MMP-9 in the EVs released after 18 h stimulation.

Casein-plasminogen zymography showed the presence of plasminogen activators in EVs. The assay emphasized that PA-PAI complexes (84-102 kDa), tissue type-PA (67 kDa) and urokinase type-PA (both uPA precursor, 55 kDa; and active uPA, 33 kDa-forms) were associated with EVs. Additionally, the amount of enzymes was substantially equal in the two samples, with the exception of the LMW-uPA, which was only present in EVs released after 18 h stimulation.

Discussion

At present, an ongoing challenge in the study of EVs is to identify and standardize a method that enables a careful separation of EVs subpopulations released by cells in conditioned medium (in vitro) and in biological fluids (in vivo) (1). In fact, even if EXOs and MVs clearly differ in their size ranges and cell origin, their specific features as well as specific functions are not completely clear since the available isolation techniques are not able to separate the subpopulations in a precise manner (1). This is probably due to the size overlap of subpopulations and the lack of specific markers. Two main strategies are used for the separation of EVs subpopulations, based on their physical properties or biochemical features that, however, seem insufficient for a clear separation (1).

In the former approach, size and density are used as reference parameters and this strategy involves the use of serial centrifugations and flotation in sucrose gradients (4).

These methods, being based on physical characteristics, have the advantage of not requiring previous knowledge of chemical signatures of EVs and the disadvantage of not allowing the complete discrimination between EXOs and MVs (since the size distribution of MVs overlaps with that of EXOs at its lower limit, thus it is possible that these conditions pellet mixed vesicle populations). For this reason, it may be useful to combine differential centrifugation with sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation to isolate EXOs subpopulation or to proceed by immunosolation which requires, however, a
preliminary knowledge of the EVs marker profiles (1). In the latter approach, magnetophoretic sorting or immunoaffinity chromatography are used (4). However, no ‘optimal’ method has been identified thus far (30).

With regard to EVs quantification cytometry is the most widely used method as it uses size and affinity measurements through conjugation with specific fluorescent antibodies. However, that vesicles <200 nm cannot be distinguished from instrumental noise must be taken into account. Thus, EXOs and smaller MVs cannot be detected using this technique (8,31). However, a fluorescence-based, high-resolution flow cytometric method has been previously developed for quantitative and qualitative analysis of nanosized membrane vesicles (32). A novel strategy based on the differential light scattering of different size particles solved in a fluid medium (NanoSight) has also been previously employed to count and evaluate the size distribution of nanoparticles (4).

A clear separation of the two subpopulations may allow us to understand specific features of each subgroup. In order to obtain this aim, a deeper knowledge of mechanisms involved in EVs release may be useful to understand their biology, an absolute requisite to decode their specific role in both physiological and pathological conditions. Additionally, standardization of procedures is required to allow a proper comparison of data obtained from different laboratories, as previously established (30).

Thus we examined whether different EV subpopulations were released in a time-dependent manner using CABA I cells that were first starved in order to synchronize cells and to block EVs release. FBS deprivation is known to inhibit MVs shedding (33) and we confirmed that it also inhibits EXOs release, as identified by the fact that no EVs were recovered from the conditioned medium of starved CABA I (data not shown).

After starvation, the cells were stimulated with FBS and conditioned medium was collected after different time intervals. The shorter one was set at 30 min since it is known that 90% of EXOs are externalized within a short time period from stimulation (34). The subsequent intervals were set at 4, 8 and 18 h. The result from the SEM assay suggested that EVs release is a gradual process that increases over time as shown by the fact that no vesicles or membrane movement were visible after 30 min although their presence increased over time and reached the maximum after 18 h. The TEM analysis of isolated vesicles revealed that in 30 min the population of EVs was represented almost exclusively by EVs <100 nm (most likely EXOs) while the presence of larger EVs (most likely MVs) was very sporadic. The number of MVs increased over time, with a low number of MVs after 4 and 8 h and a larger quantity after 18 h. At the latter time, however, EXOs were evident although more infrequently when compared to 30 min. Thus, when the cells were stimulated EXOs were rapidly externalized, as they were already stored inside the cell in multivesicular bodies, while the cell membrane movements leading to MVs release required a longer time period.

Thus, we focused on EVs released after 30 min and 18 h after stimulation, corresponding to the population with the higher amount of EXOs and the population with a higher amount of MVs combined with the lower EXOs contribution, respectively.

This finding was further evidenced with the NanoSight assay, suggesting the presence of mainly EXOs in the medium conditioned for 30 min and the subpopulations of EXOs and MVs in the medium conditioned for 18 h, although in the latter the amount of smaller EVs was lower (as underlined by the minor peak height). It is known that NanoSight is unable to distinguish EVs from non-membranous particles of similar size (30). Thus, non-conditioned media were treated simultaneously with and in exactly the same manner as conditioned media and were used as a blank. Moreover, EVs were resuspended in 0.1 µm triple-filtered PBS to remove any possible interfering elements, PBS was used as the blank.

NanoSight and the quantification performed by analysis of EVs protein content suggested that the amount of total EVs was higher after 30 min than after 18 h. We cannot explain the reason for the amount of EVs decreasing over time, but we hypothesize that some of them break or fuse with target cells.

We assessed the presence of several proteins in our preparations to determine whether any molecules were differentially expressed. For a generic characterization of EVs, the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) suggests the investigation of several proteins from various categories including extracellular, intracellular, transmembrane and cytosolic (30). We therefore analyzed two transmembranes (CD63 and HLA), one intracellular (Ago-2) and two extracellular (gelatinases and plasminogen activators) proteins. CD63, particularly, was long considered a specific EXOs marker, but not more nowadays (35-37).

CD63 and HLA expression is more evident in EVs obtained after 18 h of stimulation as compared to Ago-2, which seems to be slightly more expressed in EVs obtained after 30 min. By contrast, gelatinases and plasminogen activators activity of EVs was similar in the two samples, even if after 18 h the active form of MMP-9 and uPA was markedly expressed. It should be noted, moreover, that CD63 is contained in vesicles in a glycosylated form. It is known that glycosylation levels of CD63 strongly influence its cell surface expression and it has been shown that the glycosylation-mediated localization of CD63 is associated with several features of cancer progression, such as drug resistance and invasiveness (38).

Results of the present study suggest that different times lead to release of different subpopulations of EVs, in terms of size, amount and molecular composition. Additionally, the stimulation time should be considered an important factor when searching among parameters that are useful in the standardization of procedures for EVs isolation and analysis. Thus, the time between the stimulation of cells and collection of conditioned media in vitro, and to some extent in vivo, affects the heterogeneity and variability of the results. It is therefore imperative to consider and select the appropriate time point to collect samples following any treatment in clinical studies.
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