Livin enhances chemoresistance in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma
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Abstract. The responsiveness of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) to chemotherapy widely affects prognosis. Overcoming chemoresistance is necessary to improve prognoses in patients with advanced HNSCC. Evasion of apoptosis by cancer cells is a major cause of chemoresistance. Livin, a member of the human inhibitors of apoptosis protein family, is highly expressed in various human cancer tissues and is associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis in human cancers. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of Livin in the susceptibility to popularly used chemotherapeutic drugs such as cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil (FU) and docetaxel in human HNSCC cell lines (SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells). Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction and western blotting were performed to determine mRNA and protein expression levels. Cell viability and apoptosis assays were used to assess the functional effects of small-interfering RNA-mediated knockdown of Livin. Each HNSCC cell line had different sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs. Livin knockdown significantly enhanced cytotoxicity to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel in human HNSCC cells. Livin knockdown induced apoptosis and enhanced chemotherapy-induced apoptosis to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel. Consistent with this, Livin-knockdown cells showed greater expression of cleaved caspases-3 and -7 and poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase compared with that in control cells after cisplatin, 5-FU, or docetaxel treatment. In conclusion, our results suggest that siRNA-mediated Livin knockdown enhanced the chemosensitivity of the three HNSCC cell lines to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel. Although further investigations are required to support these findings, our results demonstrated that novel therapeutic strategies with combined use of siRNA targeting Livin and chemotherapeutic agents may have applications in the treatment of advanced HNSCC.

Introduction

Head and neck cancer is the sixth most common carcinoma worldwide (1). Head and neck cancer accounts for approximately 3.6% of new cancer cases in the United States of America, and an estimated 59,340 new diagnoses and 12,290 deaths occurred in 2015 (1). More than 90% of head and neck cancers are squamous cell carcinomas (2). Current standard treatments for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) are multimodal, including surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Despite advances in multimodality therapies, long-term survival rates remain poor, between 40-50%, and further improvements in therapeutic strategies are needed, particularly in individuals with advanced-stage cancer (1-5). Thus, new strategies, such as molecular targeted therapies, are needed. Moreover, the discovery of molecular targets having synergistic effects with conventional chemotherapy is necessary because various oncogenes and underlying signaling pathways may be involved in cancer progression and treatment resistance of cancer cells.

Inhibition of apoptosis is a crucial mechanism involved in tumorigenesis and confers cancer cells with chemoresistance (6). Inhibitor of apoptosis proteins (IAPs) comprise a group of structurally related proteins with anti-apoptotic potential (7,8). Livin is an important member of the human IAP family (9). Several studies have suggested that overexpression of Livin in neoplasms correlates with more aggressive behavior such as shorter disease-free survival, shorter overall survival and chemoresistance (10,11). Livin is an important member of the human IAP family (9). Several studies have suggested that overexpression of Livin in neoplasms correlates with more aggressive behavior such as shorter disease-free survival, shorter overall survival and chemoresistance (10,11). Furthermore, Livin has been shown to be highly expressed in various human cancer tissues, including melanoma, breast, colon, prostate cancer and hepatoma (10-12). Therefore, Livin has become the focus of increased research in recent years; however, little is known about the role of Livin in human HNSCC. In our previous studies, we reported that Livin is also associated with invasive and oncogenic phenotypes in human HNSCC (13-15).

The responsiveness of HNSCC to chemotherapy affects prognosis. Cisplatin-based concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT) has become a popular treatment that enables organ
preservation in locally advanced HNSCC (16). A regimen of cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil (FU) and docetaxel has been established as the standard induction chemotherapy regimen for locally advanced HNSCC on the basis of large randomized phase III trials (17-19). Failure of chemotherapy resulting from drug resistance remains a challenging problem for treatment in patients with HNSCC. The role and mechanisms of Livin in chemoresistance in HNSCC have not been elucidated. Therefore, in the present study, we investigated the role of Livin in determining susceptibility to commonly used chemotherapeutic drugs, such as docetaxel, cisplatin and 5-FU, in human HNSCC cell lines. Although several studies have described that Livin contribute to the resistance of various chemotherapeutic drugs including cisplatin in various cancers (20-34), the present study is the first to demonstrate the correlation between Livin and chemoresistance in human HNSCC.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and transfection. The human HNSCC cell lines SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 were kindly provided by M.W. Sung (Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea). Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone Laboratories, Inc., Logan, UT, USA), 50 U/ml penicillin and 50 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Small-interfering RNA (siRNA) was used to knockdown endogenous Livin expression in cells. Cells were transfected for 48 h with Livin-specific siRNA (Bioneer Corp., Daejeon, Korea) or negative control siRNA (Qiagen Sciences, Germantown, MD, USA) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

RNA isolation and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), reverse transcribed and amplified using specific primers for Livin and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Primer sequences were as follows: 5'-CACACAGGCCATCGAGCAAG-3' and 5'-AGC GCACAAAGACGATGGAC-3' (Livin α and Livin β); and 5'-ACCACAGCTCATGACTCAC-3', 5'-TCCACACCCTG TTGCTGTA-3' (GAPDH). PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide. The signals were quantified by densitometric analysis using LabWorks Image Acquisition software (UVP, LLC, Upland, CA, USA).

Protein isolation and western blot analysis. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer. Resolved proteins were electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. Specific proteins were sequentially blotted with primary antibodies against Livin, cleaved caspase-3, cleaved caspase-7, cleaved poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase (PARP), cleaved PARP, and the X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP), purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA) and against GAPDH (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) at 4°C overnight. The primary antibody against Livin detected Livin α (36 kDa) and Livin β (34 kDa). Immunoreactive proteins were visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system with a horseradish peroxidase (HRP) substrate (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and were analyzed using a LAS-4000 luminescent image analyzer (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

Chemotherapeutic drug treatment. Cell were treated with different concentrations of cisplatin (Dong-A ST, Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea), 5-FU (JW Pharmaceutical, Seoul, Korea), or docetaxel (Boryung Pharmaceutical, Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea) for 24 h at 37°C.

Cell viability assay. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (5x10³ cells/well) and were then transfected the next day with Livin siRNA or negative control siRNA. After incubation for 48 h, cell proliferation and viability were measured using an EZ-Cytox (tetrazolium salts, WST-1) cell viability assay kit (Daeil Lab Inc., Seoul, Korea). Following addition of WST-1 reagent for 1-2 h at 37°C, absorbance at 460 nm was determined using a microplate reader (Infinite M200; Tecan, Austria GmbH, Grödig, Austria) with Magellan V6 data analysis software (Tecan). Pretreated cells served as the indicator of 100% cell viability.

Cell apoptosis assay. Apoptosis was determined using Annexin V-FITC assays. Cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice and resuspended in binding buffer (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). Annexin V-FITC and 7-amino-actinomycin D (7-AAD; BD Biosciences) were added to the cells, and the cells were then incubated in the dark for 15 min and resuspended in 400 µl of binding buffer. Cells were analyzed using a FACSDiCalibur flow cytometer (Becton-Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). Data analysis was performed using standard CellQuest software (Becton-Dickinson).

Statistical analysis. All experiments were performed independently at least three times. Experimental differences between the Livin knockdown group and control group were tested using Student’s t-tests. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 (Microcal Software Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses. Differences with P<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations. Local research ethics committee approval was obtained from the Chonnam National University Hwasun Hospital Institutional Review Board.

Results

Response of HNSCC cell lines to chemotherapeutic drugs. To test the effects of cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel, we treated three HNSCC cell lines, SNU1041, PCI1 and PC150, with different concentrations of cisplatin (0.1-100 µg/ml), 5-FU (2.5-100 µg/ml), or docetaxel (0.1-10 ng/ml) for 24 h. Viable cells were determined by measurement of absorbance, and pretreated cells served as an indicator of 100% cell viability. Cisplatin treatment of SNU1041, PCI1 and PC150 cells resulted in a significant reduction in cell viability in a concentration-dependent manner. PC150 cells were more sensitive to cisplatin than SNU1041 and PCI1 cells (Fig. 1). 5-FU and docetaxel treatment of PCI1 and PC150 cells also resulted in
significantly reduced cell viability in a concentration-dependent manner. However, SNU1041 cells maintained >60% cell viability at high concentrations of 5-FU or docetaxel. Thus, our results showed that SNU1041 cells were resistant to 5-FU or docetaxel treatment (Fig. 1).

Livin knockdown enhances the chemosensitivity of human HNSCC cells to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel. To explore the role of Livin in the chemotherapy response in HNSCC cells, we used siRNA to inhibit endogenous Livin expression in human HNSCC cell lines, including SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells. Expression of Livin mRNA and protein was reduced by Livin siRNA in SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells as compared with that in cells transfected with negative control siRNA (Fig. 2).

Livin knockdown enhances the cytotoxicity of cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel in human HNSCC cells. To determine whether Livin knockdown affected the cytotoxicity of cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel in HNSCC cells, cells were transfected with Livin siRNA or negative control siRNA and then treated with cisplatin, 5-FU, or docetaxel for 24 h. Because each HNSCC cell line had different sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs, SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells were treated with different concentrations of drugs. Viable cells were determined by measurement of absorbance, and pretreated cells were used as a control, indicating 100% cell viability. Cells with Livin knockdown showed reduced cell survival in response to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel treatment as compared with that in negative control cells. Additionally, the viability of Livin-knockdown cells was significantly lower than that of negative control cells in response to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel treatment in SNU1041 cells and 5-FU or docetaxel treatment in PCI50 cells (P<0.05; Fig. 3). These results showed that Livin knockdown enhanced the cytotoxicity of cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel in human HNSCC cells.

Livin knockdown enhances chemotherapy-induced apoptosis in response to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel treatment in human HNSCC cells. We addressed whether Livin knockdown enhanced chemosensitivity by measuring induction of apoptosis in SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells. After transfection with Livin siRNA or negative control siRNA, cells were treated with cisplatin, 5-FU or docetaxel for 24 h. The combination treatment with Livin siRNA and chemotherapeutic drugs resulted in a marked increase in apoptosis as compared
with drug treatment alone (Fig. 4). The proportions of early and late apoptotic cells induced by Livin siRNA transfection plus cisplatin were greater than those induced by negative control siRNA transfection plus cisplatin (32.2 vs. 49.9, 53.5 vs. 84.6 and 45.7 vs. 58.1%, respectively) in SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells (Fig. 4A). The proportions of early and late apoptotic cells induced by Livin siRNA transfection plus 5-FU were greater than that induced by negative control siRNA transfection plus 5-FU (32.4 vs. 55.5, 65.0 vs. 74.4 and 58.1 vs. 78.0%, respectively) in SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells (Fig. 4A). The proportions of early and late apoptotic cells induced by Livin siRNA and docetaxel were greater than that induced by negative control siRNA transfection plus docetaxel (40.8 vs. 75.8, 33.3 vs. 44.7 vs. 62.7%, respectively) in SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells (Fig. 4A). These findings suggested that combination therapy with Livin knockdown plus chemotherapeutic drugs may have synergistic apoptosis-inducing effects in human HNSCC cells.

### Discussion

HNSCC is potentially curable at an early stage using single modality therapy of either surgery or radiotherapy. However, most patients with HNSCC present with locally or locoregionally advanced disease. Surgery followed by combined chemoradiotherapy or primary concurrent chemoradiotherapy with/without induction chemotherapy is the treatment of choice in locally advanced HNSCC (35). Chemotherapy benefits patients by improving locoregional control and reducing distant metastasis (36). Thus, overcoming chemoresistance is necessary to improve prognosis in patients with advanced HNSCC.

Chemoresistance results from a variety of complicated factors, including mutations in specific drug targets, impaired drug transporters, DNA repair activation, increased drug efflux and evasion of apoptosis by cancer cells (37,38). Among these mechanisms, evasion of apoptosis is considered a major cause of drug resistance since many chemotherapeutic agents act through the induction of apoptosis (6). Thus, the IAP family has become the focus of increased research related to chemoresistance in various human malignancies.
Figure 4. Effects of Livin knockdown on chemosensitivity in human head and neck squamous cell carcinoma cells. (A) Combination treatment with Livin siRNA and cisplatin, 5-FU, or docetaxel resulted in significantly increased apoptosis in SNU1041, PCI1 and PCI50 cells compared with that in control cells treated with cisplatin, 5-FU, or docetaxel alone (*P<0.05). (B) Livin-knockdown cells showed greater expression of cleaved caspase-3 and -7 and cleaved poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase (PARP) than did control cells after cisplatin, 5-FU, or docetaxel treatment (*P<0.05). C, cells transfected with negative control siRNA; L, cells transfected with Livin-specific siRNA; Cis, cisplatin treatment; FU, 5-FU treatment; DC, docetaxel treatment.
Livin knockdown increased cisplatin chemosensitivity involving Bcl-2 and Akt pathway in renal cell carcinoma (21). Further studies are needed to support the regulation of Livin in HNSCC.

In summary, our results demonstrated that Livin knockdown increased apoptosis and enhanced the chemosensitivity of three HNSCC cells to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel. Although further studies are needed to confirm these findings, our results suggested that the novel therapeutic strategies with combined use of siRNA targeting Livin and chemotherapeutic agents may have applications in the treatment of advanced HNSCC.
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In the present study, we showed that siRNA-mediated Livin knockdown enhanced the cytotoxicity of cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel in human HNSCC cells. Additionally, we found that Livin knockdown increased chemotheraphy-induced apoptosis in response to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel. These findings were further supported by significantly elevated levels of cleaved caspase-3 and -7 and PARP, which are key enzymes involved in apoptosis, in Livin knockdown HNSCC cells after chemotherapy. This study provides highly valuable information because our findings were consistently observed in all three HNSCC cell lines examined and we evaluated three popular chemotherapeutic agents, which is the standard induction chemotherapy regimen in HNSCC. Our findings suggested that Livin knockdown may promote tumor cell regression, having synergistic effects when applied with cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel chemotherapy in human HNSCC.

There are several studies on upstream and downstream regulation of Livin involving chemoresistance in cancers. Zhu et al (22) demonstrated that miRNA-20a induces cisplatin resistance via targeting cyclinamotasis (CYLD), leading to activation of nuclear factor (NF)-κB and downstream target Livin in gastric cancer. Activation of NF-κB pathway and downstream target Livin by SHANK-associated RH domain interacting protein (SHARPIN) contributed to docetaxel resistance in prostate cancer (34). In addition, Livin silencing increased cisplatin chemosensitivity involving Bcl-2 and Akt pathway in renal cell carcinoma (21). Further studies are needed to support the regulation of Livin in HNSCC.

In summary, our results demonstrated that Livin knockdown increased apoptosis and enhanced the chemosensitivity of three HNSCC cells to cisplatin, 5-FU and docetaxel. Although further studies are needed to confirm these findings, our results suggested that the novel therapeutic strategies with combined use of siRNA targeting Livin and chemotherapeutic agents may have applications in the treatment of advanced HNSCC.


