Abstract. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is a heterogeneous disease characterized by a tumor microenvironment (TME) that overexpresses vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR) and fibroblast growth factor receptor (FGFR), which can lead to neovascularization, tumor growth and metastasis. Therapeutic strategies inhibiting these signaling pathways might lead to innovative HNSCC treatments. Five HNSCC cell lines were characterized based on VEGFR1-3 and FGFR1-4 expression by sqRT-PCR and treated with three different tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) (nintedanib, dovitinib and pazopanib), all of which are effective against VEGFR and FGFR family members. Crystal violet assays were performed to analyze the effect of the treatments on cell growth (viability). Additionally, VEGFR1-3 and FGFR1-4 expression data were retrieved from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), and statistical analyses were performed to investigate the receptor expression level in the different cell lines and the efficacy of the single-agent treatments. A correlation analysis was performed to quantify the degree of relationship between receptor expression and drug efficacy. With the exception of VEGFR2, the targeted receptors were expressed at different levels in all of the cell lines. The cell lines exhibited concentration-dependent responses with cell line-specific differences toward two of the three TKIs (nintedanib and dovitinib). Notably, all of the cell lines were resistant to pazopanib. TKIs have potential as therapeutic agents for HNSCC. Further investigation is required to determine TKI efficacy in HNSCC.

Introduction

With approximately 13,800 new cases per year in Germany and 600,000 new cases worldwide according to data from the Robert Koch Institute, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is one of the most common tumors (1,2). Despite the new therapeutic and diagnostic procedures, a poor 5-year survival rate of 55-60% has remained nearly unchanged in recent decades (1,3). Infiltration of locoregional tissue and lymph node metastasis occur at a high frequency in 66% of HNSCC patients (4,5). The recently approved immune checkpoint inhibitors pembrolizumab and nivolumab, which have shown antitumor efficacy in non-small-cell lung cancer (6-8), represent the few innovations in the treatment of recurrent or metastatic HNSCC during the last decades (9). However, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) are being investigated in clinical trials, some of which have shown favorable survival data (10).

Complex relationships exist between the oncogenic stroma and its surroundings in this heterogeneous disease (11). Cells from the immune system, the tumor vasculature and lymphatic systems and cancer associated fibroblasts (CAF) can encourage tumor growth, invasion and metastasis (12,13). Molecules in this environment, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF), stimulate the neovascularization needed for cancer growth (13).

The amplification and overexpression of FGFR1-3 have been observed in HNSCC in several studies (14-16). Fibroblast growth factors (FGF) -A, -B, -C and -D, which play key roles in embryonic development, are crucial for angiogenesis and nerve and cartilage regeneration in adult tissue (17,18). Schultz-Hector and Haghayegh (19) demonstrated a correlation between FGF production in tumor cells and growth rate, making FGF a potential target in HNSCC (20).

Rapid tumor growth causes hypoxia in different areas of the malignant tissue, which is a feature of most solid tumors and is associated with reduced radiotherapy and chemotherapeutic efficacy (21). Oxygen deficiency releases signaling molecules, including VEGF, to induce vasculogenesis, which subsequently...
correlates with faster invasion and metastasis (22). As demonstrated by Mărgăritescu and colleagues (23) VEGF is expressed in 87% of HNSCC specimens, and increased expression levels are noted in neoplastic compared with dysplastic epithelium (24). Inhibiting the expression of either the VEGFR or the ligands decreases HNSCC cell proliferation (25).

As previously mentioned, we focused on investigating multi-targeted TKIs (pazopanib, dovitinib and nintedanib), which target VEGFR and FGFR family members. Thus, we conducted the present study to investigate the efficacy of nintedanib, dovitinib and pazopanib as HNSCC treatments in vitro. To the best of our knowledge, this study constitutes the first in vitro investigation of pazopanib and nintedanib in HNSCC cell lines.

Materials and methods

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) analysis. Data of VEGFR1-3 and FGFR1-4 mRNA expression in HNSCC were retrieved from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) via cBioPortal (26,27), and data from 530 cancer samples were analyzed to assess VEGFR1-3 and FGFR1-4 mutations, amplifications and gains. Cases with and without alterations were compared in terms of overall and median (months) survival.

Cell lines. The following cell lines were used: PCI-1, laryngeal carcinoma of the glottis from a male patient (pT2N0M0G2); PCI-9, primary carcinoma at the base from the tongue of a male patient (pT4N3M0G2); PCI-13, male patient who suffered from oral squamous cell carcinoma of the retro-molar triangle (pT4pN1M0G3); PCI-52, primary carcinoma of the aryepiglottic fold from a male patient (pT2N0M0G2); and PCI-68, Primary tongue carcinoma from a male patient (pT4N0M0G1).

The cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; low-glucose medium; Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany; 4.5 g/l D-glucose, 4 mM L-glutamine, 110 mg/l sodium pyruvate, 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 10,000 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin; Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) and the medium was changed up to twice a week as previously described (28).

Drugs. Nintedanib (Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany), dovitinib (Novartis Pharma GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany) and pazopanib (GlaxoSmithKline GmbH & Co. KG, München, Germany) were purchased from Selleckchem (Houston, TX, USA). The targets of the drugs are presented in Table I.

Crystal violet assay. After detachment with 0.25% trypsin and 0.53 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) from the culture flask, the cell numbers were measured using a Casy cell counter (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, Germany). A total of 10,000 cells/well were seeded on a 96-well plate, and after 24 h of incubation, the cells were exposed to various concentrations (log2 dilutions) of nintedanib (starting concentration of 100 µM), dovitinib (starting concentration of 200 µM) and pazopanib (starting concentration of 800 µM). After 72 h of incubation, medium was removed, and the cells were stained with crystal violet (0.1% crystal violet/20% MetOH) for 12 min. Afterwards, the cells were washed with distilled water four times, and the 96-well plates were dried for 24 h in air. For the photometric determination, 100 µl of MetOH was added to each well. After 10 min, the absorbance was measured with a plate reader at 595 nm (Rainbow Spectra; Tecan, Maennedorf, Switzerland). Each value was measured twice and every experiment was performed in triplicate. Representative extracts from the data were obtained for this publication.

Semi-quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (sqRT-PCR). RNA from cell pellets was isolated using the RNeasy® Mini kit (Qiagen®, Venlo, the Netherlands) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA concentration was determined photometrically using NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 260/280 nm. cDNA synthesis was performed with 1 µg of RNA/probe using the QuantiTect®
reverse transcription kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Semi-quantitative gene expression levels were assessed via real-time polymerase chain reaction (qSRT-PCR) with the CFX96 Real-Time PCR Detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH, München, Germany). The amplification was performed with QuantiTect® SYBR-Green PCR kit (Qiagen) in a total volume of 25 µl/probe following the manufacturer’s instruction, and 1.5 µl of gene-specific QuantiTect primers (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added to each probe. The thermal profile was as follows: 1x 95˚C for 15 min, 40x 15 sec at 95˚C, 30 sec at 55˚C and 30 sec at 72˚C). The primers used in the present study are listed in Table II. Each value was measured twice and every experiment was performed in duplicate.

The comparative ΔCT method was used for normalization of the PCR data (29). Using β-actin as a standard gene (assuming an expression level of 100%), we quantified the expression of each gene relative to that of β-actin. The relative expression levels were classified into four different groups: very strong expression (≥0.1%); strong expression (0.01-0.09%); intermediate expression (0.001-0.009%); and low expression (≤0.0009%).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) and Prism 6.05 (GraphPad, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Different experimental aspects were investigated [data derived from TCGA database (26,27)]. First, we examined the potency of nintedanib, dovitinib and pazopanib in single agent therapy against HNSCC in vitro. Afterwards, we compared single drug efficacy between the drugs. Following at least three experimental replicates, data were evaluated with a non-parametric Mann-Whitney test, and the significance level was set at P≤0.05. A correlation analysis was performed for the variables ‘receptor expression’ and ‘fraction of viable cells’ at a specific drug concentration (6 µM) (Tables I-III). The statistical analysis was based on several repeated and representative experiments.

Results

TCGA analysis. Data on VEGFR and FGFR mRNA expression in HNSCC were retrieved from TCGA. In 10% of the cases, a mutation, gain or amplification was detected for VEGFR1. VEGFR2 alterations were noted in 16% of the cases, whereas anomalies in VEGFR3 were detected in 9% of the cases. Thus, based on the collection of mutations, 30% overall among the cases showed alterations. VEGFR1+3 mutations were significantly (P=0.0166) decreased with increased overall survival (median survival, 57.88 vs. 30.45 months) (Fig. 1). Changes to FGFR family members were evident in 46% of the cases, whereas FGFR1 amplifications and gains were noted in 31% of patients. In addition, FGFR3 amplification, gain or mutation was noted in 12% of the cases. FGFR2 and FGFR4 mutations were detected in 9 and 8% of the cases, respectively (26,27). No significant (P=0.63) degree of correlation could be identified between FGFR alterations and overall survival (Fig. 2).
PCI-9, PCI-13, PCI-52 and PCI-68) was analyzed via RT-PCR. VEGFR1-4 exhibited low and intermediate expression levels in all of the cell lines, whereas VEGFR-2 was only detected at very low levels in PCI-68. FGFR1 was highly expressed in the PCI-52 and PCI-68 cell lines and exhibited low or intermediate expression in the remaining cell lines. Four of the five cell lines (PCI-1, PCI-9, PCI-52 and PCI-68) expressed high levels of FGFR-2, whereas, PCI-13 expressed an intermediate level (Fig. 3).

**Impact of dovitinib treatment on HNSCC cell lines.** Each cell line exhibited varying responsiveness toward dovitinib monotherapy. The untreated control was set to 100%. In the PCI-1 cell line, a high significant (P=0.0022) cell reduction was achieved with 6 µM dovitinib. The highest cell reduction of 19.45% (SD±3.39%) was achieved with 25 µM dovitinib. No additional cell reduction was achieved with subsequent concentration increases to 50 and 100 µM. In the PCI-13 cell line, we observed a similar effect to the applied drug. A viable fraction of 75.74% (SD±11.77%) was noted with 6 µM dovitinib, and a further significant (P=0.0022) cell reduction to 58.09% (SD±7.05%) was observed with the next concentration increase to 13 µM. As previously demonstrated in PCI-1, no significant further cell reductions were observed with higher drug concentrations. Similar results were observed for the PCI-13 cell line.

**Table IV.** The effects of different dovitinib concentrations on the cell lines PCI-1, PCI-9, PCI-13, PCI-52 and PCI-68 by Mann-Whitney test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Concentration (µM)</th>
<th>0 vs. 6</th>
<th>0 vs. 13</th>
<th>0 vs. 25</th>
<th>0 vs. 50</th>
<th>0 vs. 100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCI-1</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-9</td>
<td>0.0152a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-13</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-52</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-68</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td>0.0022a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values <0.05 are considered significant. (aP≤0.05; bP≤0.01).
We observed significant (P=0.0022) cell reduction for the first three increases in concentration (6, 13 and 25 µM) to viable fractions of 53.98 (SD±4.19%), 44.01 (SD±3.0%) and 10.08% (SD±1.68%), respectively. As previously noted with the PCI-1 and PCI-9 cell lines, further dovitinib concentration increases to 50 and 100 µM did not lead to additional cell count reductions. A viable fraction of 74.81% (SD±6.67%) was detected with 6 µM dovitinib in PCI-52. Viable fractions of 69.86 (SD±11.05%) and 32.9% (5.52%) were obtained with 13 and 25 µM dovitinib. As previously noted for PCI-1, further significant cell viability decreases were not observed with increased dovitinib concentrations of 50 and 100 µM in PCI-9 and PCI-13 cells. In PCI-68, varied responses were observed with the different dovitinib concentrations applied. A high significant (P=0.0022) cell reduction of 62.35% (SD±5.24%) was noted for 6 µM dovitinib, and a viable fraction of 48.89% (SD±7.83%) was detected with 13 µM dovitinib. With a concentration increase to 25 µM, a cell reduction to 23.02% (SD±7.68%) was observed. Further cell reduction to 14.82% (SD±6.35%) was achieved with 100 µM dovitinib, but this effect was not significant (Fig. 4 and Table IV).

Impact of pazopanib treatment on HNSCC cell lines. The cell lines exhibited a minimal response to varying concentrations of pazopanib monotherapy. In summary, we demonstrated...
significant differences in the lower and upper concentration ranges for each cell line. The control was set to 100%. In PCI-1, the viable fraction at 6 µM pazopanib was 77.05% (SD±10.63%), which was significantly different (P=0.0043) from the control. Similar results were noted in PCI-9, in which we detected viable fractions ranging from 90.63 (SD±5.74%) to 76.86% (SD±7.57%). A significant difference (P=0.0411) was noted incubating the cells with a concentration of 6 µM pazopanib. Comparing the concentrations 25 and 50 µM with the control no significant differences were noted. PCI-13 exhibited varied reactions toward different pazopanib concentrations. A viable fraction of 71.73% (SD±4.22%) was detected with 6 µM pazopanib, and this effect was highly significant (P=0.0022) compared with the control. Incubating PCI-52 cells with a concentration of 13 µM pazopanib we detected the first significant (P=0.0043) decrease of the cell number. No other significant differences could be detected in this cell line. In PCI-68, we detected viable fractions ranging from 81.2 (SD±3.58%) to 62.46% (SD±4.33%). Highly significant differences (P=0.0022) were noted for all concentrations applied by comparing with the control (Fig. 4 and Table V).

Correlation analysis. A correlation analysis was performed for the variables ‘receptor expression’ and ‘fraction of viable cells’ at a specific drug concentration (6 µM) to quantify the degree of relationship between receptor expression and TKI efficacy. No significant degree of association was observed between receptor expression and TKI efficacy (data not shown).

Discussion

Squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck is one of the most common tumor presentations worldwide (1). Therapy, which consists of surgery, radiation and systemic
chemotherapy, has changed minimally in recent years and provides a devastating 5-year survival rate of 55-60%. Locoregional recurrence and lymph nodal metastasis are the most common mortality patterns for HNSCC and impair function and quality of life as well as overall survival (1,3). The literature suggests that neoangiogenesis is one of the main reasons for this poor progress (30). Pentheroudakis et al (31) demonstrated high VEGFR1+3 transcriptional activity driven by pro-angiogenic factors at the time of relapse. Similarly, these mutations occur in relevant quantities in HNSCC and result in a significant (P=0.0166) decrease of overall survival (57.88 vs. 30.45 median months survival) (26,27). The role of the FGFR family remains unclear. Ipenburg et al (32) demonstrated the prognostic value of FGFR1 expression in CAFs and an association with poor survival, although the literature examining the role of other family members is both limited and conflicting. Although alterations in FGFR1-4 were detected in 46% of the cases, a TCGA analysis did not reveal a correlation between FGFR1-4 alterations and overall survival (26,27). Given that angiogenesis plays a critical role in tumor growth in solid tumors (33) and that VEGFR-targeted therapies, such as bevacizumab, do not generate the desired effect, multi-targeted TKI might enhance therapeutic strategies for HNSCC by inhibiting several downstream targets (34).

In view of the above facts, our cell line model exhibited receptor expression patterns comparable to those detailed in the literature, and TCGA appears to be a useful method for investigating the efficacies of nintedanib, dovitinib and pazopanib for HNSCC treatment in vitro. These agents are potent VEGFR and FGFR inhibitors that exhibited different effects on different HNSCC cell lines.

Nintedanib showed a concentration-dependent efficacy in all cell lines, and a significant reduction in the viable cell fraction was observed even at the lower concentration ranges. In contrast, Kutluğ Cenik et al (35) did not observe any anti-proliferative effects in their in vitro investigation of lung and pancreatic cancers. However, in their in vivo models, tumor growth was inhibited in all cases (35), indicating that the tumor microenvironment might have a large impact on drug efficacy. Kudo et al (36) suggested that VEGFR2 is a feasible pharmacodynamic biomarker for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in vivo, whereas the cell lines used in the present study did not show high VEGFR2 expression levels, even though significant cell count reductions were observed. A different pharmacodynamic effect might occur when treating different tumor types and cannot be excluded as a possibility, although the tumor microenvironment likely plays a crucial role during multi-targeted inhibitor therapy due to interactions with CAF. In contrast to VEGFR1+3, our TCGA data analysis did not reveal a significant correlation between VEGFR2 alteration and overall survival.

A significant decrease in the viable cell fraction was observed in response to treatment with dovitinib, even at lower concentration ranges. Because other receptors targeted by the drug are not expressed at appreciable levels, the effect is assumed to be mainly mediated through VEGFR and FGFR. Sweeny et al (11) demonstrated that dovitinib yielded significant cell count reductions in their HNSCC in vitro models. Konecny et al (37) demonstrated significant cell count reductions in endometrial cancer cell lines harboring activating FGFR2 mutations, and FGFR2 was also highly expressed in all of our cell lines. An in vivo investigation revealed significant tumor regression and growth inhibition after dovitinib treatment (38). Although our correlation analysis did not obtain a significant relationship between receptor expression and drug efficacy, the expression strength appears to play a decisive role. Furthermore, Ku (39) reported a strong interaction between dovitinib and VEGFR3, which is supported by our data.

In the tested concentration range, pazopanib showed a lack of efficacy. Although significant cell count reductions were noted for the lower concentration ranges, no further cytotoxic effects were observed. Hamberg et al (33) noted that the main target of pazopanib is VEGFR2, which was minimally expressed in our cell lines. Similar results were demonstrated by Canter et al (40) in their in vitro investigation of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) cell lines. By investigating the efficacy of two different TKIs, a cytostatic effect was noted exclusively with pazopanib. Kim et al (41) reported potent anti-tumoral activity in gastric cancer cell lines harboring FGFR2 amplification. Although FGFR2 mutations appear in only 9% of HNSCC cases, they have no significant impact on

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>Concentration (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 vs. 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-1</td>
<td>0.0043a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-9</td>
<td>0.0411a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-13</td>
<td>0.0022b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-52</td>
<td>0.0931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCI-68</td>
<td>0.0022b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values <0.05 are considered significant (aP≤0.05; bP≤0.01).
overall survival (26,27). However, this result does not exclude potential efficacy in a clinical situation by affecting the tumor microenvironment. Importantly, a phase I clinical trial investigating the efficacies of pazopanib and cetuximab for the treatment of incurable HNSCC patients is currently under way (NCT01716416) and aims to show additive/synergistic effects in the treatment of recurrent/metastatic HNSCC. A phase II study evaluating axitinib, a multi-targeted inhibitor that mainly targets VEGFR1-3, in patients with unresectable, recurrent or metastatic head and neck cancer revealed a favorable median overall survival compared with standard therapies (10).

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated a significant decrease in cell proliferation after the in vitro treatment of HNSCC with nintedanib and dovitinib. In contrast, the cell lines appeared to be resistant to pazopanib, which could be explained by their lack of VEGFR2 expression. The results should be critically considered due to the fact that the cell lines were treated outside their normal environment resulting in a lack of interaction with surrounding tissue, blood flow or a missing supply of nutrients. Further in vivo investigation is required to determine a specific role for TKIs in HNSCC treatment.
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