Abstract. Epigenetics have been demonstrated to play a pivotal role in the progression of multiple cancers. Our previous study has demonstrated that microvesicles (MVs) derived from K562 cells could malignantly transform normal hematopoietic cells. The aim of this section was to elucidate the epigenetic effects of RNA in K562-MVs. We altered some epigenetic RNAs (miR-106a-5p, miR-106b-5p and lincPOU3F3) in K562-MVs and followed the process of transformation. Global DNA methylation and DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) levels were observed respectively. Our findings revealed that increased miR-106a/b in K562-MVs accelerated the transformation process (8.33±0.94 vs. 13.29±1.28 days; P<0.01) whereas decreased lincPOU3F3 delayed the transformation (17.83±0.29 days; P<0.05). The targets of miR-106a/b and lincPOU3F3 in the recipient cells were DNMT3a and DNMT3b. We found that lincPOU3F3 directly increased the DNMT3a/b while miR-106a/b only in part by targeting RB. However, global DNA methylation and special gene methylation was altered due to the concurrent regulation of DNMT3a and DNMT3b. Consequently, we demonstrated that tumor-derived MVs represent a notable intercellular epigenetic communication between cancer cells and recipient cells.

Introduction

Microvesicles (MVs) are extracellular vesicles released by most cells that act as mediators of intercellular communication (1). As a package of extracellular multi-molecular messages, MVs carry the proteins, lipids and nucleic acids of their parental cells, providing a potential source of biomarkers in the surveillance of disease progression and/or relapse (2). Our previous study demonstrated that MVs derived from K562 chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) cells could transform mononuclear cells (MNCs) from normal hematopoietic transplants to acute leukemia-like cancer cells, which provided multiple implications for CML transformation. During transformation, an increase in global DNA methylation (GDM) in MNCs that peaked on day 3 as compared to the control, accompanied by DNA methyltransferase (DNMT)3a and DNMT3b mRNA and protein were consistently increased (3). DNA methylation is one of the most frequent forms of epigenetic modification (4, 5). It is widely accepted that epigenetic and genetic alterations collaborate in the development and maintenance of cancer. Recently, epigenetic alterations have also been recognized as a major driving force in the development of several cancers, including leukemia (6,7). Although DNA hypermethylation of multiple genes (DLX4, SHP-1 and HOXs) characterizes advanced stages of CML and/or the disease when resistant to imatinib (8-10), the underlying epigenetic changes of DNA methylation in CML, particularly during transformation, are not fully implicated. In our previous transformation system, MVs lost their transforming abilities and the mRNA and protein of these methyltransferases decreased following
RNase treatment, indicating that RNAs in MVs were responsible for the transformation (3). The aim of the present study was to build the connection between the miRNA inside the MVs and the methylation of recipient cells.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and MV isolation. The human CML blast crisis cell line K562 was purchased from the China Center for Type Culture Collection (Wuhan, China) and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C in 5% CO₂. MVs isolation was performed using a previous protocol: cells were centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 10 min. The supernatant was centrifuged at 5,000 x g for 20 min to remove cellular debris, and the remaining supernatant was centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 60 min to obtain MVs. MNCs were extracted from the peripheral blood mobilization using lymphocyte separation medium (TBD Science, Tianjin, China), and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium containing 15% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

Transformation of MNCs from normal hematopoietic transplants by MVs. The retained MVs were suspended in serum-free RPMI-1640 medium (to avoid MVs derived from the FBS). To generate intact MVs for the cell-based assays and other experiments, the MV-containing RPMI-1640 medium was filtered using a Millipore Steriflip polyvinylidene difluoride filter with pore sizes of 1.2 µm (to filter cells) (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). MVs were quantified according to their total RNA content and copies of BCR-ABL1 mRNA. The MNCs were adjusted to 4x10⁵ cells/well in 6-well plates, and 400 ng of MVs (containing RNA) was added to the cells 3 times a day for 14-21 days. The morphology of the transformed cells was observed using Wright-Giemsa stain. To confirm the effect of miR-106a/b, K562 cells were transfected with miR-106a/b mimics and inhibitors: K562 cells were seeded onto 6-well plates (2x10⁶ cells/well) the day before transfection. The cells were transfected with 10 µl of 20 µM miR-106a/b inhibitors, 5 µl of 20 µM miR-106a/b mimics, 10 µl of 20 µM inhibitor negative control and 5 µl of 20 µM mimic negative control using riboFECT™TM CP reagent (both from RiboBio, Guangzhou, China), respectively. Real-time PCR was performed to assess the level of miR-106a/b in the cells and their MVs. The supernatant of transfected cells was collected 72 h after transfection to isolate MVs.

Global DNA methylation. DNA was extracted using TIANamp Genomic DNA kit (DP304; Tiangen, Beijing, China). Total DNA methylation was performed using MethylFlash Methylated DNA Quantification kit (P-1035-48; Epigentek, Farmingdale, NY, USA). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the instructions of the kits.

Real-time PCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcription of 500 ng of total RNA with PrimeScript™ RT Reagent kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.; Takara Bio USA, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA). Real-time PCR was performed with 5 µl of SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.; Takara Bio USA, Inc.), 0.8 µl of primers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 0.2 µl of ROX reference dye, 3 µl of RNase-free H₂O and 1 µl of cDNA as a template in a final reaction volume of 10 µl. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: initial melting at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 30 sec. The fluorescence intensity was assessed using the ABI StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR System. Analysis of the melting curve for the primers was conducted to confirm the specificity of the PCR product, and the Ct value for triplicate reactions was averaged. The fold changes in mRNA were calculated through relative quantification (2^ΔΔCt).

Western blotting. Cells were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and lysed in RIPA buffer [1% Nonidet™ P-40, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 0.25% Na-deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. The cell lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were collected. The protein concentrations were determined using a BCA protein assay kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc., Rockford, IL, USA). The proteins were treated with SDS sample buffer and heated at 95°C for 10 min. The protein samples (40 µg) for each well were separated by sodium dodecylsulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk and incubated overnight with a monoclonal antibody (1:500) at 4°C. The blots were developed using a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-human secondary antibody (1:500) and a chemiluminescent detection kit (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA).

Real-time quantitative methylation-specific PCR. Genomic DNA was isolated with the TIANamp Genomic DNA kit (DP304; Tiangen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The methylation status of p53, c-Myc and the DLX4 promoter was evaluated by methylation-specific PCR (MSP). Briefly, genomic DNA was treated with bisulite using the EpiTect Bisulfite kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). MSP primers designed to amplify the methylated-MSP and unmethylated-MSP alleles were previously described (11-13). PCR products were resolved on 4% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized under ultraviolet illumination.

Statistical analysis. SPSS 10.0 was used for statistical analysis (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Non-parametric and unpaired t-test comparisons were used to compare the groups; the rates between the groups were compared by the Chi-square test. Two-sided P<0.05 was defined as being statistically significant.

Results

Relative expression of miR-106a-5p, miR-106b-5p and lincPOU3F3 in K562 and K562-MV after transfection. PCR analysis was used to identify the relative expression of miRNA and lincRNA after transfection with miRNA mimics, inhibitors, siPOU3F3 and the scrambled negative control (NC) RNA, respectively. As expected, the expression levels of miR-106a/b were markedly increased in the K562 and K562-MVs transfected with the mimics, and reached
a peak at 72 h (P<0.01 or P<0.05; Fig. 1A, B, D and E). Whereas, the expression levels of miR-106a/b were relatively decreased in cells and MVs transfected with the inhibitors. However, compared with the control, these decreases were not significant (P>0.05; Fig. 1A, B, D and E). The conceivable reason for this is that inhibitors suppress downstream pathways by binding miRNA, but do not affect its expression. No significant differences were observed between the mimics NC or the inhibitors NC and blank groups (P>0.05; Fig. 1A, B, D and E). As for lincPOU3F3, the expression in the K562 and K562-MVs was the lowest at 24 h after transfection (P<0.05; Fig. 1C and F). However, when detected at 48 and 72 h, there was no statistical significance between the siNC and siPOU3F3 (both P>0.05; Fig. C and F). According to the aforementioned results, we selected K562-MVs transfected with miRNA at 72 h and siPOU3F3 at 24 h for follow-up experiments.

MV-delivered miR-106a-5p, miR-106b-5p and lincPOU3F3 accelerates the transformation process. To determine whether MV-associated miR-106a/b were functional for the transformation, we added K562-MVs at different levels of miR-106a/b (normal K562, miR-106a/b mimics and miR-106a/b inhibitors) into the recipient cells, using K562-MVs as a control. We found that a high level of miR-106a/b in K562-MVs accelerated the transformation process (8.33±0.94 vs. 13.29±1.28 days; P<0.001; Table I). Whereas, a decreased level of miR-106a/b did not stop the transformation, but resulted in a 3-day delay, leading to a transformation spanning 16 days (16±0.82 vs. 13.29±1.28 days; P<0.01; Table I). Similarly, we also found that K562-MVs with siPOU3F3 delayed the transformation span to day 17 (17.83±0.29 vs. 13.29±1.28 days; P<0.01; Table I).

Table I. Roles of miR-106a/b and lincPOU3F3 in the transformation process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Recipient cells</th>
<th>No. of cells</th>
<th>Time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K562-MVs with increased miR-106a/b</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>4x10⁶</td>
<td>13.29±1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K562-MVs with decreased miR-106a/b</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>4x10⁶</td>
<td>8.33±0.94¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K562-MVs with siPOU3F3</td>
<td>Mobilization</td>
<td>4x10⁶</td>
<td>16±0.82²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transformation time is the first time aberrant cells were observed based on morphology. *P<0.001, **P<0.001. MVs, microvesicles.

GDM is involved in transformation. We confirmed again an increase in GDM in K562-MV-treated cells that peaked on day 3. Using K562-MV-treated cells as a control, the GDM of the K562-MV group with increased miR-106a/b expression was increased, particularly at days 3 and 14 (P<0.05); in contrast, decreased miR-106a/b expression in K562-MVs decreased the GDM of recipient cells, particularly at days 3 and 14 (P<0.05), and the peak time was delayed to day 7. The difference of GDM between the K562-MV groups with increased/decreased miRNA and the control was not significant at day 7 (P>0.05; Fig. 2A). In comparison with
the K562-MVs, the K562-MVs with siPOU3F3 decreased the GDM at days 7 and 14 (P<0.05), although it made no difference at the peak time (day 3; P>0.05; Fig. 2B). To analyze whether there is a synergistic effect between inhibitors and siPOU3F3, we also detected the GDM of recipient cells treated with K562-MVs co-transfected with inhibitors and siPOU3F3; *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 when comparing the treatment group to the control. GDM, global DNA methylation; MVs, microvesicles.

Mechanisms of global DNA methylation change induced by RNA within K562-MVs. We next investigated the changes in the levels of DNMTs by assessing the mRNA and protein levels of DNMT3a and DNMT3b. The mRNA and protein levels of DNMT3a and DNMT3b were generally increased...
during transformation induced by K562-MVs. The mRNA of DNMT3a was consistently increased on days 3 and 7 in the increased miR-106a/b group compared with the K562-MV group; in contrast, the protein expression in DNMT3a in the group with decreased miR-106a/b was suppressed (Figs. 3A, and 4A and C). miR-106a/b also increased the expression of DNMT3b mRNA and protein (Figs. 3B, and Fig. 4A and D). Mature miRNAs lead to translational suppression or mRNA degradation of the target protein-coding genes (14). According to the aforementioned data, miRNA may indirectly regulate the expression of DNMTs. Tang et al (15) found that RB suppressed DNMT3A promoter activity and mRNA/protein expression in lung cancer; in contrast, we determined that RB was the target of miR-106a/b using bioinformatics analyses (http://mirdb.org/miRDB/). Therefore, we detected the expression of RB in recipient cells. Increased/decreased miR-106a/b in K562-MVs led to a significant decrease/increase of Rb mRNA and protein observed on days 3, 7 and 14 (P<0.05 or P<0.01; Figs. 3C and 4A and E). K562-MVs with siPOU3F3 significantly decreased the expression of the mRNA of DNMT3a at day 3 and protein at day 7 (Figs. 3D and 4B and F). In terms of DNMT3b, its mRNA and protein were both lowest at day 14 (P<0.05 or P<0.01, Figs. 3E and 4B and G). The mismatch between the mRNA and protein may be explained by the fact that MVs are packages of bioactive molecules that contain not only contributing factors but also detractors. Collectively, we proposed a new signaling pathway underlying the epigenetic effects of miR-106a-5p, miR-106b-5p and lincPOU3F3 in the transformation process. The increased expression of DNMT3a and DNMT3b induced by lincPOU3F3 may facilitate malignant transformation; whereas miR-106a/b may indirectly regulate DNMT3a and DNMT3b through Rb, further affecting the transformation process (Fig. 4H).
The promoter methylation of p53, c-Myc and DLX4. MSP analyses were carried out on the promoter regions of recipient cells of p53, c-Myc and DLX4 genes at day 0, 3 and 14, respectively. Each sample was amplified by methylated primers (M) and unmethylated primers (U). The promoters we analyzed of the p53 and c-Myc genes were both hypomethylated in the transformation process (day 3 and 14; Fig. 5A and B), whereas, the promoter of the DLX4 gene was hypermethylated compared with normal peripheral blood mobilization (day 0; Fig. 5C), which was in line with previous research which revealed that DLX4 hypermethylation was associated with disease progression in CML (8).

Discussion

Emission of MVs represents an important emerging element in the complexity of the cellular secretome, and likely acts in concert with the release of ions, metabolites, hormones, growth factors, cytokines and extracellular matrix molecules, with which vesiculation and cell-to-cell contact likely form a functional continuum (16). MVs released by tumor cells are detectable in patients with cancer and their number in the circulation correlates with poor prognosis (17). Our previous study demonstrated that BCR-ABL1-positive MVs initiated malignant transformation of normal hematopoietic transplants, providing implications for CML blast crisis (BC) and donor cell leukemia (DCL) (3). In the present study, we confirmed that miRNA and lincRNA within the MVs could lead to an altered level of GDM of the recipient cells. Although demethylation therapy has been applied in CML in some centers, little is known concerning the impact of DNA methylation on the evolution/progression of CML (18). The findings of the present study helped to further our understanding of the epigenetic change during the process of transformation, particularly DNA methylation. Further study should focus on the epigenetic modification induced by MVs and the potential to translate this knowledge into innovative approaches for monitoring and personalized therapy.

We further identified the connection between GDM and carcinogenesis. It has been reported for years that DNA-level epigenetic regulation plays a causative role in cancer and can thus be targeted for treatment of the disorder (19). In CML, Heller et al observed downregulated expression of many of these genes in BC-CML compared with CP-CML samples, using RNA-sequencing (20). However, a single DNA methylation change may act as a precipitating event in CML progression (21) and may provide a useful basis for revealing new targets of therapy in advanced CML. The present study shed much-needed light on an unconventional and poorly understood mechanism of exogenous RNA and its impact on GDM. GDM contributed to carcinogenesis via epigenetic silencing of well-known tumor-suppressor genes (TSGs) or regulators of cell proliferation (22,23). In the present study, DLX4, a gene correlated with disease progression of CML (8), was hypermethylated during the process, which may be a pivotal regulator of the transformation.

One aspect merits further consideration involves the reason why miRNA and lincRNA in MVs induced global methylation of recipient cells. The complexity of extracellular vesicle-associated bioactive macromolecules supports a critical role in the modification of recipient cells (24). MVs can transfer specific proteins to target cells for the delivery of signaling pathways (25,26). RNA represents the main compound of cancer-derived MVs (27,28). In cancer, miRNAs and lincRNA may act either as potent oncogenes or TSGs and their deregulation has been associated with the etiology, progression and prognosis (29). Using bioinformatics analyses, we determined that lincPOU3F3 altered the expression of DNMTs. However, Tang et al (15) revealed that RB suppressed DNMT3A promoter activity and mRNA/protein expression in lung cancer, leading to the decrease of methylation level globally and TSGs specifically. RB is the target of miR-106a/b. As a result, we confirmed that miR-106a/b and lincPOU3F3 were involved in the transcriptional regulation of DNMT genes in our transformation model, which may induce global DNA methylation of the recipient cells. Thus, it could be speculated that miRNAs and lincRNAs in MVs provided important insight into leukemogenesis and development of CML.

Regulation of miR-106a/b and lincRNA in MVs could alter the endpoint of the transformation. We surmised that compounds in MVs could be regulated by two distinct pathways: via consequent overexpression of the content, such as miRNA mimics or inhibitors, in the parental cells; and via direct transfection of the content with MVs (30). Transfection into the parental cells was preferred since it could mimic the released thus far physiological process. Notably, we found that the expression of miRNA in K562-MVs and K562 after transfection with parental cells was inconsistent, indicating that MVs were shed following the selective incorporation of a host of molecular cargo, but not randomly released. The mechanism of how the MV content was loaded remained unclear. Several publications considered that the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), particularly the Ago2 protein, participated in the RNA transport from plasma into MVs (31,32). It could be speculated that modification of Ago2 and RISC could help to regulate designated compound in MVs.

In summary, we found that horizontal transmission of miRNA and lincRNA triggered the epigenetic modification and downstream effect of target cells. Determinants of the cancer cell phenotype hardwired in driver factors are superimposed with more exogenous regulators, such as MVs, dictated by the epigenetic and differentiation programmers. This creates several levels of functional heterogeneity and interactive potential relevance of therapy.
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