HSPB8 promotes cancer cell growth by activating the ERK-CREB pathway and is indicative of a poor prognosis in gastric cancer patients
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Abstract. Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most commonly diagnosed malignancies worldwide, especially in East Asia. Discovery of new biomarker and the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms involved in GC development and progression continue to be important issues for both researchers and clinicians. In the present study, we report that siRNA knockdown of heat shock protein family B (small) member 8 (HSPB8) inhibited the proliferation of GC cells and promoted their apoptosis. Analysis of TCGA dataset indicated that the HSPB8 expression level was strongly positively correlated with the KEGG MAPK signaling pathway (P<0.001, FDR=0.006) and BIOCARTA CREB pathway (P=0.006, FDR=0.043). The association between HSPB8 and the ERK-CREB pathway was confirmed by western blot analysis and we found that pERK and pCREB were significantly decreased following HSPB8 knockdown. Downstream genes of the ERK-CREB pathway were all significantly decreased following HSPB8 knockdown. By evaluating the survival of TCGA GC patients, we found that patients with a high HSPB8 level exhibited significantly worse prognosis than those with low HSPB8 in both overall survival (OS) (log-rank χ²=10.60, P=0.001) and disease-free survival (DFS) (log-rank χ²=11.31, P<0.001). The methylation level of HSPB8 DNA was significantly negatively associated with its expression (R=-0.1368, P=0.041), and positively associated with OS (log-rank χ²=10.60, P=0.001). In conclusion, we provide evidence that HSPB8 promotes cancer cell growth by activating the ERK-CREB pathway and may serve as a potential prognostic factor in GC patients.

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most aggressive cancer worldwide, with approximately 951,600 new cases and 723,100 new deaths in 2012 (1). Even though the global GC incidence is decreasing, the overall disease burden still ranks 5th in incidence and 3rd in mortality (1). In particular, 40% of all GC cases occur in China and other East Asian countries, which may be associated with various unhealthy living habits (2). Recently, endoscopy examination is the main approach for GC screening, but the high medical cost of endoscopy and the shortage of endoscopic professionals in primary medical centers largely hampers the early diagnosis of GC (3,4). Advances in the identification of biomarkers for detecting precancerous and cancerous gastric lesions have offered alternative strategies for GC screening (5). For example, serum levels of pepsinogen I and II have been used in the identification of high GC risk individuals before endoscopic examination in numerous studies (5,6).

GC is considered as a disease with high heterogeneity, yet the majority of GC patients are treated with similar chemotherapeutic drugs and surgical techniques, resulting in unfavorable combined sequelae and side effects (7,8). Biomarkers also hold high expectation in the preoperative classification of GC. Actually, protein expression levels, non-coding RNAs, gene copy variations, and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are all potential biomarkers of GC (9-11). For example, high expression of serpin A1 was found to be an indicator of a poor prognosis (12), and rs629367 was identified as correlated with poor survival in GC patients (13). However, apart from the well-known HER2 amplification, there are few biomarkers that can be utilized in GC patient classification (14). It is still urgent to discover new biomarkers to facilitate clinical decision-making and avoid unnecessary over-treatment.
Heat shock protein family B (small) member 8 (HSPB8) is a member of the small heat shock protein superfamily, which contains a conservative α-crystallin domain at the C-terminal (15-17). The most well-known function of HSPB8 is acting as a chaperone in association with Bag3 in the regulation of macroautophagy (15,18-20). HSPB8 was found to be associated with many diseases, such as cardiomyopathy (21), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (22) and Alzheimer's disease (23). HSPB8 was also found to be associated with estrogen-related cancers. Piccolcella et al. found that HSPB8 modulates the proliferation and migration of breast cancer cells (24). Suzuki et al. found that HSPB8 regulates TGF-α-induced ovarian cancer cell migration (25). However, there are few reports concerning the role of HSPB8 in gastrointestinal cancers. Based on our previous study of the molecular signature of GC subtypes, HSPB8 was found to be involved in both diffuse and intestinal GCs (26). In the present study, we conducted a series of further assays in vitro and in silico to reveal the biological role and potential prognostic value of HSPB8 in GC.

Materials and methods

Cell line culture and siRNA transfection. Human gastric cancer cell lines (AGS, BGC-803, BGC-823, SGC-7901 and N87) and normal gastric cell line GES-1 were provided by the Cancer Institute and Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, China) and maintained by our laboratory. All cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (purchased from Gibco; Grand Island, NY, USA) in an incubator containing 5% CO₂ at 37°C. A total of 4x10⁵ cells were seeded in each well of 6-well plates. After culturing for 24 h, cells were transfected with the siRNAs using Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. All siRNAs were de novo synthesized by Gene Pharma Co. (Shanghai, China) and all the sequences are listed in Table I.

Western blot analysis and antibodies. Rabbit antibodies against HSPB8 (1:500 diluted; cat. no. ab96837), CREB (1:1,000 diluted; cat. no. ab31387) and pCREB (Ser113, 1:1,000 diluted; cat. no. ab32096) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Rabbit antibodies against GAPDH (1:10,000 diluted; cat. no. D13.14.4E), Erk (1:2,000 diluted; cat. no. 14C10), and p-Erk1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204; 1:1,000 diluted; cat. no. 4370) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Berkley, MA, USA). Proteins were extracted using lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 10 mM EDTA; 0.5% NP-40; 1% Triton X-100) with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) added. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was performed and then proteins were transferred from gels to PVDF membranes. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, washed with TBST for 5 times, 5 min each time, and then incubated for 45 min with HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG antibodies (1:2,000 diluted; cat. no. ZDR-5306; ZSGB-Bio Co., Beijing, China) at room temperature, washed with TBST for 3 times, 5 min each time. All proteins were detected with an ECL Plus system (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Jiangsu, China).

Cell proliferation and apoptosis assays. Cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 2x10⁴ cells per well. The viability of cells was determined using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc., Kumamoto, Japan). The optical density at 490 nm was measured by SmartSpec Model 450 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) after 0, 24, 48 and 72 h, in triplicate. The apoptosis rate of the cells was measured by flow cytometry. Cells were obtained and washed with pre-cooling PBS, and re-suspended in binding buffer. Annexin V-FITC (5 µl) and 7AAD (5 µl) reagents were added to each sample and incubated at 25°C for 15 min away from light. An additional 400 µl binding buffer was added and then the cells were analyzed by a flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). All assays were repeated three times independently.

Reverse transcription and real-time quantitative PCR. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagents and reverse transcribed using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (all from Life Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was conducted in triplicates using Applied Biosystems 7500 using the SYBR-Green PCR Master Mix (Roche). Relative mRNA levels of each gene were normalized to GAPDH. All primer sequences used in this study are listed in Table II.

Online patient data acquisition and statistical analysis. Phenotype data with genetic information of the patients were all downloaded from TCGA (http://cancergenome.nih.gov/) (27,28). For Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) (29), a Pearson correlation based method (1000 permutations of phenotype were run as recommended) was applied to evaluate the correlation between HSPB8 expression and gene sets of Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (30) and BIOCARTA (31). A FDR q-value <0.25 was considered significant. For survival analysis, the median level of mRNA/methylation of HSPB8 was chosen as the cut-off to separate two subgroups and the Log-rank test was applied and corresponding Kaplan-Meier plots were drawn to show the results intuitonally. Correlation between mRNA and methylation of HSPB8 was calculated by Pearson Chi-square analysis. For cell proliferation, apoptosis and gene expression analysis, unpaired Student's t-tests were used to compare two groups when the means follow the normal distribution, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Knockdown of HSPB8 inhibits gastric cancer cell proliferation. To evaluate the expression of HSPB8 in GC cells and normal gastric epithelial cells, we detected HSPB8 in five GC cell lines (AGS, BGC-803, BGC-823, SGC-7901 and N87) and one gastric epithelial cell line (GES-1). The results demonstrated that HSPB8 was relatively higher in the GC cells than that noted in the normal epithelial cells (Fig. 1A). To examine the proliferation-promoting potential of HSPB8, two siRNAs targeting HSPB8 were designed (sequences are shown in Table I) and transfected into AGS and BGC-803 cells. The HSPB8 protein levels were decreased by both siRNAs
Figure 1. Efficiency of HSPB8 siRNA knockdown and its effect on gastric cancer cell proliferation. (A) HSPB8 expression was higher in five GC cell lines (AGS, BGC-803, BGC-823, SGC-7901 and N87) than the level in a gastric epithelial cell line (GES-1). (B) Efficiency of siRNA knockdown at the HSPB8 protein level in AGS cells. (C) Efficiency of siRNA knockdown at the HSPB8 protein level in BGC-803 cells. (D) Proliferation curves of AGS cells with siRNA knockdown of HSPB8 as determined by CCK-8 assay. (E) Proliferation curves of BGC-803 cells with siRNA knockdown of HSPB8 as determined by CCK-8 assays. (F) OD490 levels of AGS cells transfected with HSPB8-siRNA1 and -siRNA2 and control AGS cells at 48 h. (G) OD490 levels of BGC-803 cells transfected with HSPB8-siRNA1 and -siRNA2 and control BGC803 cells at 48 h. Mean ± SD of three independent experiments were displayed with the Student's t-test to calculate the statistical significance. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. HSPB8, heat shock protein family B (small) member 8.

Table I. Sequences of the siRNAs used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Sense (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Antisense (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSPB8 siRNA1</td>
<td>GCATTGTTTCTAAGAACTTCATT</td>
<td>TGAAGTTCTTAGAACAATGCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSPB8 siRNA2</td>
<td>GGTCCCTCCTTTACTCAACATT</td>
<td>TGTTGAGTAAAGGAGGACCTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC siRNA</td>
<td>UUCUCCGAACGUUCAGAATT</td>
<td>ACGUGACACGUGUCGGAGAATT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Effects of HSPB8 knockdown on gastric cancer cell apoptosis. Apoptosis levels were determined by flow cytometric analysis with Annexin V and 7AAD staining, and all experiments were triplicated. Representative flow cytometric charts of (A) control AGS cells and (B) HSPB8-knockdown AGS cells. (C) Percentages of early and late apoptotic cells in the control and HSPB8-knockdown AGS cells. Representative flow cytometric charts of (D) control BGC-803 cells and (E) HSPB8-knockdown BGC-803 cells. (F) Percentages of early and late apoptotic cells in control and HSPB8-knockdown BGC-803 cells. "P<0.01, ""P<0.001; NS, not significant at P>0.05. HSPB8, heat shock protein family B (small) member 8.

Knockdown of HSPB8 promotes gastric cancer cell apoptosis. Suppression of cancer cell growth is usually associated with activation of cellular apoptosis. To examine the influence on apoptosis of HSPB8 knockdown, AGS and BGC-803 cells transfected with HSPB8-siRNA1 and NC were subjected to Annexin V-FITC/7AAD staining and flow cytometry. The results indicated that in both AGS (Fig. 2A-C) and BGC-803 (Fig. 2D-F) cell lines, HSPB8 knockdown had no significant influence on early apoptosis but strongly increased the percentage of late apoptotic cells.

**HSPB8 is positively correlated with the ERK-CREB pathway.** To further reveal the potential mechanism attributed to the oncogenic role of HSPB8 in gastric cancer, the TCGA dataset of gastric cancer expression data was downloaded and analyzed. The GSEA analysis revealed that the HSPB8 expression level was strongly positively correlated with the KEGG MAPK signaling pathway (NES=2.042, P<0.001, FDR=0.006, Fig. 3A) and the BIOCARTA CREB pathway (NES=1.776, Fig. 3B).
P=0.006, FDR=0.043, Fig. 3B). Activation of the ERK-CREB pathway would be a possible key mechanism of the oncogenic activity of HSPB8.

Knockdown of HSPB8 decreases the activity of the ERK-CREB pathway. To verify our hypothesis of HSPB8's association with the ERK-CREB pathway, we detected levels of phosphorylated and total ERK and CREB proteins under siRNA interference of HSPB8 by western blot analysis. Our results indicated that the total CREB level was stable, while pErk and pCREB were significantly decreased under HSPB8 knockdown (Fig. 4A and B). To reveal whether the changes in pErk and pCREB were time-dependent, we also detected the protein levels of these proteins at 48, 72 and 96 h. The level of HSPB8 returned to a normal level at 72 h after siRNA knockdown, suggesting that HSPB8 is crucial for cell survival and maintains a high synthesis rate in these cells. pErk and pCREB remained suppressed at 96 h in AGS cells, while in BGC-803 cells the levels of pErk and pCREB were restored at 72 h (Fig. 4C and D). Downstream genes of the ERK-CREB pathway were also detected by RT-qPCR (primers are shown in Table II), and we found that all the targeted genes we detected were significantly decreased under HSPB8 knockdown (Fig. 4E).

Expression and methylation levels of HSPB8 are potential prognostic factors. To explore whether HSPB8 could be used as a biomarker in gastric cancer, we further evaluated the survival of TCGA gastric cancer patients. When divided by the median expression level, the patients with a high HSPB8 level exhibited a significantly worse prognosis than those with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>TCGA gastric cancer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phenotype</td>
<td>HSPB8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneset</td>
<td>KEGG MAPK signaling pathway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrichment score (ES)</td>
<td>0.5946994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized ES (NES)</td>
<td>2.0420904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal p-value</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDR q-value</td>
<td>0.09581055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II. Primers for real-time PCR used in the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Primer sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSPB8</td>
<td>F: CTCCTGCACTACCAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>F: GGCCAAAGGGCTGTCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMP9</td>
<td>F: GGACCTGACCTGCCGTGCTAGAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR1</td>
<td>F: GGTCACTGGCCATAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNA1</td>
<td>F: GAGGTCCCGATGCTTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCND1</td>
<td>F: CGGCAAGTCCTCCAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCN1</td>
<td>F: GGTAGGATGTTTGTAGTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL2</td>
<td>F: CCTCTCTGACACATTG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, forward; R, reverse.
low HSPB8 in both overall survival (OS) (log-rank $\chi^2=10.60$, $P=0.001$, Fig. 5A) and disease-free survival (DFS) (log-rank $\chi^2=11.31$, $P<0.001$, Fig. 5B).

DNA methylation data were also co-analyzed with expression data, and indicated that the methylation level of HSPB8 DNA was significantly negatively associated with its expression ($R=-0.1368$, $P=0.041$, Fig. 5C). Patients with high HSPB8 methylation level exhibited a significantly better prognosis than those with low methylation in regards to OS (log-rank $\chi^2=10.60$, $P=0.001$, Fig. 5D).

**Discussion**

The small heat shock protein (sHsp) superfamily consists of a series of 15-30 kDa proteins with a common $\alpha$-crystallin domain at the C-terminal. The most well-studied role of sHsp is acting as molecular chaperones (32,33), i.e. preventing the aggregation of enzymes under heat shock conditions and stabilizing the proteins. There are also numerous studies revealing that sHsp promote the functional refolding of proteins after urea denaturation in an ATP-independent manner (34). There are many members of the sHsp superfamily involved in GC, such as HSP70 (35), HSP110 (36) and HSP27 (37). However, HSPB8 has drawn little attention in this field.

In our previous study, we conducted a pilot investigation of expression-based GC biomarker screening and found that HSPB8 is a potential biomarker in both diffuse and intestinal GC (26). In the present study, our results indicated that HSPB8 is a proliferation-promoting protein in GC cell lines, which could also aid in the evasion of apoptosis by GC cells. The oncogenic role of HSPB8 is in accordance with most other sHsp members, such as HSP70 (35), HSP110 (36) and HSP60 (38).

To reveal the possible mechanism of HSPB8 in GC, we identified molecular pathways associated with HSPB8 expression by analyzing the TCGA GC dataset. From all KEGG and BIOCARTEA gene sets, we found that the pathways of MAPK and CREB were positively correlated with HSPB8, which was also validated by western blot analysis in two GC cell lines. Furthermore, we found that the targeted genes of the ERK-CREB pathway (MMP9, EGR1, CCNA1, CCND1, CCN1 and BCL2) were significantly decreased under HSPB8 knockdown. The positive correlation between CCN1 and HSPB8 (39) and the association between BCL2 and HSPB8 (40), were both reported in other cell lines, which may partially verify our finding of HSPB8's role in the regulation of the ERK-CREB pathway.

It is worth noting that HSPB8 was restored to a normal level at 72 h after siRNA knockdown, suggested that HSPB8
is crucial for cell survival and maintains a high synthesis rate in these cells. However, pErk and pCREB levels were restored along with HSPB8 at 72 h in BGC-803 cells, but maintained a suppressed level in AGS cells until 96 h. Thus, we suggest that HSPB8 knockdown may have a phenotypic effect on pErk and pCREB, but this appears to be cell line-specific (i.e. this consistent change of HSPB8 and pERK/pCREB was observed for the BGC-803 cell line but not for the AGS cell line at different time-points). It is also possible that HSPB8 knockdown resulted in a more far-reaching effect on AGS cells (i.e. even when HSPB8 was restored quickly after siRNA expired at 72 and 96 h, pErk and pCREB remained suppressed). In all, any phenotypic effects observed after transfection at 72 h should be interpreted with caution, and further investigation of how HSPB8 promotes pErk and pCREB in a cell line-specific manner is warranted.

Additionally, we also explored the potential of HSPB8 as a prognostic biomarker in GC. Based on our results, both the expression level and the methylation level of HSPB8 could be used as unfavorable prognostic factors. Although the expression level and the methylation level of HSPB8 were significantly correlated, the expression factor still achieved a higher discrimination than the methylation factor in GC patients stratified based on survival data. However, further studies with a larger population are needed before developing reagents for clinical use. In our opinion, the effect of HSPB8 in GC is only partially dependent on its methylation level. Transcriptional factor level and activity, histone modification (methylation and acetylation) and chromatin folding are all possible regulators of HSPB8 expression. For TCGA data analysis, most GC patients had a very low HSPB8 level (Fig. 5C), indicating that the differential expression of HSPB8 was only partially determined by its methylation level.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that HSPB8 could promote the proliferation and inhibit the apoptosis of GC cells by activating ERK-CREB signaling. We also demonstrated that high expression of HSPB8 was indicative of a poor prognosis in GC patients. These findings suggest new evidence to improve our understanding of the mechanisms attributed to the carcinogenesis of GC, and provide insight into the development of patient stratification strategies for GC treatment.
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