Abstract. Intratumoral heterogeneity, particularly the potential cancer stemness of single cancer cells, has not yet been fully elucidated in human esophageal cancer. Single-cell transcriptome sequencing of two types of esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) and two types of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) tissues was performed, and the intratumoral cancer stemness of the types of esophageal cancer were characterized at the single-cell level in the present study. By comparing the transcriptomic profiles of single cancer cells with high and low stemness in individual patients, it was revealed that the overexpression of cell cycle-associated genes in EAC cells was highly correlated with stemness, whereas overexpression of genes involved in the signaling pathways of DNA replication and DNA damage repair was significantly correlated with stemness in ESCC. High expression of these stemness-associated genes was correlated with poor prognosis of patients. Additionally, poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase (PARP)4 was identified as a novel cancer stemness-associated gene in ESCC and its association with survival was validated in a cohort of 121 patients with ESCC. These findings have profound potential implications for the use of cell cycle inhibitors in EAC and PARP inhibitors in ESCC, which may provide novel mechanistic insights into the plasticity of esophageal cancer.

Introduction

Cancer stemness, which describes the stem-cell functions of cancer cells (1), may have profound implications for tumor aggressiveness and clinical outcome (2,3). The majority of current cancer therapies have been reported to induce cancer stemness, thereby failing due to metastasis and relapse (4-7). In the clinic, strategies that target cancer stemness may thus serve as a novel approach to develop the next generation of cancer therapeutics to suppress cancer relapse and metastasis (8,9).

Numerous signaling pathways have been reported to be functionally associated with the induction of cancer stemness in various cancer types, including WNT signaling (10,11), NOTCH signaling (12), Hipposignaling (13), hypoxia signaling (14), DNA damage repair (15) and RAS signaling (16). However, previous conventional molecular profiling studies have largely relied on bulk-tissue measurements. Considering that clinical cancer tissues are extremely heterogeneous, even within an individual patient (17), characterizing the features of cancer stemness in single cancer cells (i.e., intratumoral cancer stemness) will likely provide more accurate and vital information for identifying the potential pre-existing treatment-resistant cancer cells in the cancer tissue. Furthermore, the inhibition of these cancer stemness-associated signaling pathways will ultimately improve the detection, diagnosis and treatment of cancer.

To characterize the features of intratumoral cancer stemness in two types of esophageal cancer, namely esophageal...
squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) at a single-cell level, single-cell RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq) data of ESCC and EAC from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database was utilized in the present study. Once the single cancer cells were successfully separated from non-cancer cells in the cancer tissues of patients with EAC and ESCC, cancer stemness analysis was applied to identify high-and low-stemness cancer cells in each patient (18). By comparing these cancer cells within individual patients, the present study intended to identify the signaling pathways and signature genes that were responsible for the cancer stemness of EAC and ESCC. Furthermore, the expression level of these significant genes was validated and their association with prognosis was assessed using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) cohort and our ESCC cohort.

Materials and methods

Single-cell transcriptome data for bioinformatics analyses. All single-cell transcriptome data were obtained from the SRA (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) under the accession no. SRP119465. In these datasets, 5-10 M reads per single cell were generated with Illumina Hiseq4000 (PE150).

RNA-Seq data preprocessing, alignment, normalization and quantification. Fastqc and the R/Biocorundum package ‘ShortRead 1.40.0’ were used to perform quality control of all sequenced data (19). Data were trimmed using Trimmomatic 0.35 to remove and filter low quality and adapter contaminated reads (20). The human genome NCBI GRC38 and its corresponding transcriptome gene annotation, which can be downloaded from iGenome, was used for read alignment. The Tophat2.1.1 (http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/) alignment tool was used for alignment with default parameter settings. The fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) data from Cufflinks output were used for ‘Monocle 2.4.0’ gene differential expression methods (21). A quantile normalization method was applied to the log2 transformed FPKM dataset. In the bulk-cell quantification step, Cufflinks 2.2.1 was used to generate FPKM data with default parameter settings (22).

Cancer stemness analysis. Cancer stemness can be measured according to the expression profiles of stem cell genes, which is a property shared by embryonic and adult stem cells (23). The 35 known stem cell markers were identified according to previous publications, which are listed in Table SI. To quantify the heterogeneity of cancer stemness, a cancer stemness index (SI) was defined by averaging the expression values of these 35 known stem cell markers. Heatmaps for EAC and ESCC were generated and 274 single cancer cells were clustered using the SI level.

Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) analysis. DAVID (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov) functional annotation bioinformatics micro-array analysis was used to identify significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms among the given list of genes that were identified to be differentially expressed in response to curcumin. Statistically overrepresented GO and KEGG categories with a P-value of ≤0.05 were considered significant.

Gene expression in online database. Gene expression and survival analysis in various cancer tissues was detected using cBioPortal (http://www.cbioportal.org) and Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA; http://geopia.cancer-pku.cn) according to the protocol (http://geopia.cancer-pku.cn/example.html).

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining. Human tissues were provided by the Department of Pathology, Hangzhou Cancer Hospital (Hangzhou, China) under a protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Cancer Research Institute, Hangzhou Cancer Hospital. The tissues were collected within 1 h of tumor resection and fixed in formalin for 24 h at room temperature. Dehydration and embedding in paraffin was performed following routine methods. Paraffin sections were cut at 5-μm thickness, then deparaffinized and rehydrated. Once endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 3% H2O2 for 10 min at room temperature, the sections were treated with citrate buffer (pH=6.0) in a microwave oven for 10 min for antigen retrieval. Following a pre-incubation with blocking buffer (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) for 10 min, the sections were incubated with primary antibodies in a humidified chamber for 1 h at room temperature. The primary antibody against poly [ADP-ribose] polymerase (PARP)4 (1:20; cat. no. ab24110; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) was diluted with blocking buffer. Once the sections were washed with phosphate buffered saline twice for 5 min, the antigen binding sites were visualized using the GTVision II Detection System (Gene Tech Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Microscope images were assessed using Image-Pro plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD, USA), and the mean integrated optical density (IOD) of each image was collected. Mean IOD was referred to the average level of positive tissues.

Statistical analysis. Statistical comparisons were made with one-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post hoc tests. Data were collected into a spreadsheet program and then imported into statistical software packages (GraphPad Prism version 7.1 for Windows; GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan-Meier method. Curves were analyzed using the log-rank method and hazard ratios were reported where applicable. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Intratumoral cancer stemness of EAC and ESCC at single-cell level. Previously, we described the heterogeneity of cancer tissues in 2 patients with EAC and 3 with ESCC through separating and characterizing the expression profiles of different cell types via single-cell transcriptome sequencing (SRP119465) (24). All the single cells were picked arbitrarily. In the present study, it was investigated whether the characterized single cancer cells of EAC and ESCC (150 EAC and 124 ESCC single cells) contain more malignant cancer cells...
representing cancer stem cells. Thus, all the single cancer cells were evaluated in terms of their expression of the cancer stemness-associated signaling pathways. A small proportion of cancer cells aberrantly overexpressing cancer stemness genes were identified. To quantify the heterogeneity of stemness, cancer stemness analysis was used, which defines a stemness index (SI) by averaging the expression values of 35 known stem cell markers according to previous publications (Table SI) (18). Overall, the distribution of SI values was continuous in EAC and ESCC (Fig. 1). As the cancer tissues consist of single cancer cells, the distribution of SI values may reflect their potential resistance to cancer therapy. Compared with patient EA02, the single cancer cells from patient EA01 demonstrated significantly higher SI values (P<0.01), which was consistent with the poor prognosis outcome of patient EA01 following clinical radiotherapy (data not shown). By contrast, the single cells of these 3 ESCC patients demonstrated uniform distribution with regard to the distribution of cancer stemness, and in bulk level, they exhibited moderate levels of cancer stemness.

Cell cycle signaling is associated with high cancer stemness of EAC. Due to the deep sequencing data of single-cell transcriptome (5-10 M reads per single cell), the detailed features of single cancer cells with high SI values were investigated. The SIhigh single cancer cells (top 5) were compared with SIlow single cancer cells (top 5) in each patient with EAC (EA01 and EA02), and the respective differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified. Venny analyses (http://bioin-fogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/) of these DEGs in EA01 and EA02 indicated that 131 genes were upregulated, among which 16 genes were significantly upregulated (P<0.01; Fig. 2A), including the previously reported cancer stemness-associated genes aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1A1) (25-29), aurora kinase A (AURKA) (30-33), Replication Timing Regulatory Factor 1 (RIF1) (34-36), stathmin 1 (STMN1) (37,38) and Tripartite Motif Containing 59 (TRIM59) (39,40) (Table SII).

Following this, KEGG and GO analyses was applied to these 131 genes, which indicated that genes associated with the 'cell cycle' and 'metabolic pathways' were significantly enriched (P=0.0025 and P=0.039, respectively; Fig. 2B). Taken together, unlike previous bulk measurements (41), the methods used in the present study allowed the identification of significant genes and signaling pathways that were associated with the cancer stemness of EAC at the single-cell level.
Cancer stem cells are believed to constitute a principal cellular source for tumor progression and therapeutic drug resistance (42). Therefore, the small proportion of ‘stem cell-like’ carcinoma cells likely represents the true cancer stem cells of esophageal cancer. In cBioPortal of TCGA-EAC (http://www.cbioportal.org), it was indicated that the cell cycle-associated genes identified in the present single-cell data were significantly upregulated in some patients with EAC (TCGA, EAC provisional). In particular, 6 genes [E2F Transcription Factor 3 (E2F3), checkpoint kinase 1 (CHEK1), Cell Division Cycle 20 (CDC20), BUB3, Structural Maintenance of Chromosomes protein 3 (SMC3) and Transcription Factor Dp-1 (TFDP1)] were upregulated in 4-10% of patients with EAC, as indicated in Fig. 2C. Notably, the patients with EAC who expressed a high level of these cell cycle-associated genes exhibited poorer prognosis with regard to disease/progression-free survival compared with patients without altered expression of these genes (median months disease-free: 15.67 vs. 24.06 months). EAC, esophageal adenocarcinoma; SI, stemness index; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; E2F3, E2F transcription factor 3; CHEK1, checkpoint kinase 1; CDC20, cell division cycle 20; SMC3, structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 3; TFDP1, transcription factor Dp-1; HTLV-1, human T-lymphotropic virus type 1; ACVR1B, activin A receptor type 1B; ALDH1A1, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A1; AURKA, aurora kinase A; RIF1, replication timing regulatory factor 1; STMN1, stathmin 1; TRIM59, tripartite motif containing 59; CENP1, centromere protein E; FAM168A, family with sequence similarity 168 member A; GPR89B, G protein-coupled receptor 89B; HMGB2, high mobility group box 2; LANCL2, lanthionine synthetase C-like 2; LONP2, l ornipeptidase 2, peroxisomal; PP1R18, protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 8; PTDD51, phosphatidylserine synthase 1; SRSF8, serine and arginine rich splicing factor 8; ZNF107, zinc finger protein 107.

DNA replication and DNA damage repair-associated genes are enriched in high-cancer stemness cells in ESCC. Cancer stemness analysis was performed using SI values on single cancer cells in the single-cell data of ESCC. Due to the few single cancer cells in patient ESC01, this patient was excluded in further analysis. The DEGs of SI<sup>high</sup> single cancer cells (top 5) and SI<sup>low</sup> single cancer cells (top 5) in patient ESC02 and ESC03 were identified. Venny analyses of these DEGs indicated that 338 genes were upregulated, among which 35 genes were significantly upregulated (P<0.01; Fig. 3A), including previously reported cancer stemness-associated genes cell division cycle-associated protein 7 (CDC7) (43), Kinesin Family Member 11 (KIF11) (44-46), Wnt ligand secretion mediator (WLS) (47,48) and zinc finger MYM-type containing 2 (ZMYM2) (49,50) (Table SIII). These 338 genes were further analyzed with KEGG and GO analyses, which indicated that they were significantly enriched in ‘DNA replication’ (P=1.25x10<sup>-44</sup>), ‘Fanconi anemia pathway’ (P=0.006), ‘RNA transport’ (P=0.0097) and ‘nucleotide excision repair’ (P=0.027; Fig. 3B).

Identification of PARP4 as a potential marker of high cancer stemness for ESCC. Among the cancer stemness features of ESCC, it was indicated that several DNA damage repair-associated genes (DDX11, RAD17 and PARP4) were
significantly upregulated within the more stringently upregulated genes (35 genes) (Fig. 3; Table S1). Previously reported stemness-associated genes were marked in red; the references were provided in Table SII. (B) Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery-Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes analysis of upregulated genes in ESCC. The signaling pathways of DNA replication, Fanconi anemia pathway, RNA transport and nucleotide excision repair were significantly enriched in the cancer stemness of ESCC (P<0.05). ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; S1, stemness index; ANGEL2, angel homolog 2; APPBP2, amyloid β precursor protein binding protein 2; BRD8, bromodomain containing 8; CDC27, cell division cycle associated 7; CEP99, centrosomal protein 89; CUL7, cullin 7; DXD11, DEAD/H-Box Helicase 11; ECHDC2, enoyl-CoA hydratase domain containing 2; FAM111A, family with sequence similarity 111 member A; FAM13A, family with sequence similarity 13 member A; FBXW2, F-Box and WD repeat domain containing 2; FGFR1OP, FGFR1 oncogene partner; FN3KR, fructosamine 3 kinase related protein; GAR1, GAR1 ribonuclease protein; GMCL1, germ cell-less, spermatogenesis associated 1; GPNP1, glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase 1; IN5T4, integrator complex subunit 4; KIF11, kinesin family member 11; MGA1, mannose (alpha-1,3)-glycoprotein beta-1,2-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase; MPPl, membrane palmitylated protein 1; NBFP1, NBPF member 1; OGFOD3, 2-oxoglutarate and iron dependent oxygenase domain containing 3; PAK1P1, p21-activated protein kinase-interacting protein 1; PARP4, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 4; PRIM2, DNA primase subunit 2; RAD17, RAD17 checkpoint clamp loader component; SNIP1, Smad nuclear interacting protein 1; TPRA1, transmembrane protein adipocyte associated 1; TRAF5, TNF receptor associated factor 5; USP11, ubiquitin specific peptidase 11; WDR92, WD repeat domain 92; WLS, Wntless Wnt ligand secretion mediator; ZADH2, zinc binding alcohol dehydrogenase domain containing 2; ZMYM2, zinc finger MYM-type containing 2; ZNF761, zinc finger protein 761.

Figure 3. Signature genes and signaling pathways responsible for the high cancer stemness of ESCC. (A) Venn analysis of upregulated genes in the cancer stemness of ESCO2 and ESCO3. A total of 338 upregulated genes were identified. Among these, a total of 35 significantly upregulated genes were listed (P<0.01). Previously reported stemness-associated genes were marked in red; the references were given in Table SII. (B) Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery-Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes analysis of upregulated genes in ESCC. The signaling pathways of DNA replication, Fanconi anemia pathway, RNA transport and nucleotide excision repair were significantly enriched in the cancer stemness of ESCC (P<0.05). ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; SI, stemness index; ANGEL2, angel homolog 2; APPBP2, amyloid β precursor protein binding protein 2; BRD8, bromodomain containing 8; CDC27, cell division cycle associated 7; CEP99, centrosomal protein 89; CUL7, cullin 7; DXD11, DEAD/H-Box Helicase 11; ECHDC2, enoyl-CoA hydratase domain containing 2; FAM111A, family with sequence similarity 111 member A; FAM13A, family with sequence similarity 13 member A; FBXW2, F-Box and WD repeat domain containing 2; FGFR1OP, FGFR1 oncogene partner; FN3KR, fructosamine 3 kinase related protein; GAR1, GAR1 ribonuclease protein; GMCL1, germ cell-less, spermatogenesis associated 1; GPNP1, glucosamine-6-phosphate deaminase 1; IN5T4, integrator complex subunit 4; KIF11, kinesin family member 11; MGA1, mannose (alpha-1,3)-glycoprotein beta-1,2-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase; MPPl, membrane palmitylated protein 1; NBFP1, NBPF member 1; OGFOD3, 2-oxoglutarate and iron dependent oxygenase domain containing 3; PAK1P1, p21-activated protein kinase-interacting protein 1; PARP4, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 4; PRIM2, DNA primase subunit 2; RAD17, RAD17 checkpoint clamp loader component; SNIP1, Smad nuclear interacting protein 1; TPRA1, transmembrane protein adipocyte associated 1; TRAF5, TNF receptor associated factor 5; USP11, ubiquitin specific peptidase 11; WDR92, WD repeat domain 92; WLS, Wntless Wnt ligand secretion mediator; ZADH2, zinc binding alcohol dehydrogenase domain containing 2; ZMYM2, zinc finger MYM-type containing 2; ZNF761, zinc finger protein 761.

Figure 4. Upregulated PARP4 correlates with poor prognosis and overall survival in the ESCC cohort. (A) Representative images of PARP4-stained ESCC samples resected from patients in each IHC score category: 0, 1, 2 and 3 (scale bar, 200 μm). (B) Survival analysis based on IHC score of PARP4. IHC=3: scores 3; IHC=0: scores 0. Significance was determined using the Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test. IHC, immunohistochemical; PARP4, poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 4; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

In order to validate the correlation between the expression level of PARP4 and the prognosis of these patients with ESCC, an ESCC cohort with 121 patients was assessed in the present study (Table SIV). Immunohistochemical scoring for the cancer tissues from these patients indicated that high PARP4 expression was associated with poorer survival (Fig. 4A), particularly in patients with higher PARP4 scores (12 patients, IHC score=3; P=0.0248; Fig. 4B). Notably, the majority of patients with ESCC exhibited moderate expression of PARP4 (55 patients, IHC score=1; 36 patients, IHC score=2; Fig. S3A), and their overall survival was longer than that of
patients with high PARP4 (IHC score=3) but shorter than that of patients with low PARP4 (IHC score=0; Fig. S3B).

Discussion

Current cancer treatments ultimately fail owing to metastasis and relapse, which is primarily due to the heterogeneity of cancer tissues (51). Novel methodologies to evaluate and design strategies to reduce the therapeutic resistance in cancer tissues are urgently required for advancing cancer treatment in the clinic (3). Although our increased understanding of the genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic complexity of tumor heterogeneity further highlights the extreme heterogeneity of cancer cells, it has been indicated that the heterogeneity of intratumoral cancer cells was considerably more complicated than originally conjectured. Previous results have indicated that targeting cancer stemness can be used as a strategy to develop the next generation of cancer therapeutics to suppress cancer relapse and metastasis (8). To this end, in the present study, single-cell transcriptome sequencing was utilized to describe the detailed expression profiles of intratumoral cancer stemness in EAC and ESCC at single-cell level.

With the combined expression of potential cancer stemness genes, the SI was generated for each of the single cells, and the heterogeneity of cancer cells was characterized to separate the potential cancer relapse-associated cancer stem cells (18). With the deep sequencing of single-cell transcriptome, detailed features of these potential cancer stem cells could be investigated. This approach can identify a large number of potential cancer stem cell-associated genes and signaling pathways, which may be useful therapeutic targets for future clinical trials.

In EAC, a number of upregulated genes in high-stemness cancer cells were indicated, including previously reported cancer stemness-associated genes ALDH1A1 (25-29), AURKA (30-33), RIFI (34-36), STMN1 (37,38) and TRIM59 (39,40). These genes were significantly enriched in the ‘cell cycle signaling pathway’. In particular, AURKA and CDC20 genes were significantly enriched in the ‘cell cycle signaling pathway’. This finding holds considerable promise, as in the clinic numerous compounds are already utilized that can target components of cell cycle signaling (52), including cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK)-4/CDK6 (palbociclib, ribociclib and abemaciclib), aurora kinases (AT9283 and MLN8237), Wee1 kinase (MK-1775), KSP (ispinesib) and tubulin (taxanes and vinca alkaloids). Based on the characterization of intratumoral cancer stemness in EAC provided in the present study, it was considered that combining such cell cycle inhibitors with current standard treatments might be worthwhile to reduce the potential cancer stemness-associated therapeutic resistance in EAC.

In ESCC, with the exception of the previously reported cancer stemness-associated genes CDCA7 (43), KIF11 (44-46), WLS (47,48) and ZMYM2 (49,50), the present study identified the cancer stemness-associated signaling pathways of DNA replication and DNA damage repair; therefore, these pathways may represent the features of cancer stemness in single ESCC cancer cells. Furthermore, the DNA damage repair-associated factor PARP4 was identified as a novel potential cancer stemness marker in ESCC, the expression of which correlated with patient prognosis. PARP4 may thus serve as a novel therapeutic target for the inhibition of cancer stemness in ESCC. Notably, several compounds are also currently utilized in the clinic that can target PARP-1 (PARP-1 inhibitors) (53). Considering that these have been used to treat various types of cancer (54-56), with excellent outcomes reported in non-small cell lung cancer (57), such PARP-1 inhibitors may serve as effective supplementary therapy to conventional anticancer agents for ESCC (58,59).

In conclusion, through transcriptomic analyses of single cancer cells, the present research demonstrated the features of intratumoral cancer stemness in EAC and ESCC. The diversity of cancer stemness within and between different types of cancer implied that the treatment of EAC and ESCC should be approached differently in future clinical therapy. Furthermore, the detailed profiles of intratumoral cancer stemness in EAC and ESCC provided several indications for applying treatment strategies against specific cancer stemness features in future cancer therapy clinical trials.
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