Miliary Splenic Tuberculosis simulating Mycobacterium arupense fragment length polymorphism analysis

Mycobacterium arupense forms the cornerstone in diagnosis of tuberculosis. Diagnostics, microscopy and culture confirmation could still help in knowing the antibacterial sensitivity. In an era of molecular techniques, conventional and real time PCR between the typical and atypical mycobacteria and to differentiate between the two organisms. Culture is important as it can differentiate the two. Culture could be rewarding in pus samples negative for other organisms. However, they may have limited role in resource poor, developing countries. A meticulous painstaking screening of all the clinical specimens is required while they may have limited role in resource poor, developing countries. A high index of suspicion is required while examining smears in tropical countries like India where tuberculosis is endemic. A meticulous painstaking screening of all the clinical specimens is required while they may have limited role in resource poor, developing countries. A high index of suspicion is required while examining smears in tropical countries like India where tuberculosis is endemic.

There are reports of splenic tuberculosis presenting as splenic abscess in immunocompetent patients. It is its involvement in miliary tuberculosis and the other clinical disease in spleen is infrequent. Tubercles are more numerous and infection. Evidence of haematogenous spread is almost always found in spleen. Tubercles are more numerous and infection. Evidence of haematogenous spread is almost always found in spleen. Tubercles are more numerous and infection. Evidence of haematogenous spread is almost always found in spleen.
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Mycobacterium arupense pulmonary infection: Antibiotic resistance and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis

Mycobacterium arupense is a novel mycobacterium species. It was first identified from clinical specimens in 2006 and since then there were reports of its isolation from various clinical settings. However, its role in tuberculosis is still under investigation. In this study, we report a case of Mycobacterium arupense isolated from a patient with pulmonary tuberculosis. The patient was asymptomatic. Splenic lesion was a chance finding on abdominal USG. The splenic aspirate did not reveal any organism on gram staining. A ZN staining was not positive for acid fast bacilli. It was later grown on LJ medium and identified as Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The cytological report was consistent with tuberculosis. The patient was asymptomatic. Splenic lesion was a chance finding on abdominal USG. The splenic aspirate did not reveal any organism on gram staining. A ZN staining was not positive for acid fast bacilli. It was later grown on LJ medium and identified as Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The cytological report was consistent with tuberculosis.
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have been only two reports of its recovery from clinical samples. In the present case *M. arupense* was isolated from the sputum of a 62-year-old man with a malignant mass in his left kidney, who presented with a one-month history of recurrent fever, dyspnea and haemoptysis. *M. arupense* was identified with sequencing of hsp65 and 16S rRNA genes. In the present study, its biochemical profile along with its resistance status and hsp65 RFLP analysis is presented.
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**Introduction**

In recent years, the application of molecular techniques has revolutionized the identification of mycobacteria. Sequencing analysis of 16S rRNA, 65 kDa heat shock protein (hsp65) and rpoB genes has been used to distinguish mycobacteria at the species level,[1] and several novel mycobacterial species have been described. Cloud *et al.* identified *Mycobacterium arupense* for the first time in June 2006, in the United States of America.[2] In the same year, there was a report from Japan by Masaki *et al.* and more recently from Taiwan by Tsai *et al.*[3,4] The findings of these reports showed that isolates of *M. arupense* appeared to be clinically significant.[3-4]

To our knowledge, the present study is the fourth worldwide to report on the recovery of *M. arupense* from clinical samples. Identification was achieved by sequencing of the 16S rRNA and hsp65 genes. The biochemical profile and resistance status of *M. arupense* along with restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis of its hsp65 gene are also presented.

**Case Report**

The patient was a 62-year-old man who presented to our hospital with a one-month history of recurrent fever, dyspnea and haemoptysis. His medical history included a large deficiency of the mitral valve and hypertension. A chest x-ray revealed extensive bilateral consolidation, predominantly in the middle lung fields, with marked bilateral pleural involvement, atelectasis due to pressure on the lung, and a small amount of pericardial fluid. The pleural collection was found to be an exudate without malignant cells. Computed tomography (CT) scanning revealed bronchoalveolar infiltration, in the presence of tumescent mediastinal lymph nodes; a solid mass (11.7 x 11.8 x 9.6 cm) with calcifications on the left kidney, suggestive of malignancy. Baseline laboratory examinations revealed an elevated erythrocyte sedimentation rate (65 mm/h), a C-reactive protein concentration of 21.75 mg/dL, and a creatinin concentration of 4.1 mg/dL. Sputum samples were sent for common bacterial cultures; only one sputum sample was sent for mycobacterial culture. Immediately following sample collection, an empiric antibiotic regimen (levofloxacin, piperacillin/tazobactam and clarithromycin) was started. Clinically, the patient improved. The patient defervesced, and the haemoptysis and dyspnea subsided. The symptoms were not associated with the kidney mass and, at that point, the patient did not receive any treatment for the tumour. There were no further radiological findings concerning the mass lesion. The patient was discharged from the hospital after two weeks and programmed for further investigation of the kidney tumour in the future.

All cultures for common bacteria were negative. The sample for mycobacterial culture was treated according to standard procedure.[5] A solid (Lowenstein-Jensen; LJ) and a liquid (MB/BacT/Alert 3D Automated System; bioMerieux, Durham, NC) culture were performed at 37°C. Acid fast staining was negative. Growth was observed after five days of incubation in the liquid medium and after 10 days on LJ slants. The colonies were small, smooth and colourless. The Accuprobe assay (Gen-Probe, San Diego, CA) yielded negative results for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, *Mycobacterium avium* complex and *Mycobacterium gordonae*. The results of biochemical testing were: negative for nitrate reduction, arylsulfatase (three-day) and urease, and positive for β-galactosidase. This profile is in agreement with previous report.[2] No growth was observed on MacConkey agar without crystal violet at 37°C and on LJ slants at 42°C. The line probe GenoType CM and AS assays (Hain-Lifescience, Germany) showed non-species-specific banding patterns: 1, 2, 3 and 10 for CM and 1, 2, 3 and 12 for AM.

Identification was achieved by sequencing the 16S rRNA and hsp65 genes. DNA from the isolate was extracted using a guanidinium thiocyanate lysis buffer as previously described.[6] A small number of mycobacterial colonies were suspended in 600 μl of lysis buffer (Guadinium thiocyanate 4M, 0.5% N-lauryl sarcosine, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 25 mM sodium citrate and 50 μg of glycogen) and incubated overnight at room temperature. A total of 600 μl of ice-cold (-20°C) isopropyl alcohol was then added. After 1.5 hours the sample was centrifuged for 10 minutes (16,600 g, 4°C). Isopropyl alcohol was removed and the pellet was washed with 70% ethanol. The dried pellet was dissolved in 50 μl of DNAse and RNAse-free double-distilled sterile water.

A 1340-bp product containing nearly the full length of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified in an automated DNA sequencer (3730 DNA analyzer, Applied Biosystems) using the Big Dye terminator sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, California, USA) and the F16S and R16S primers, as previously described.[7] The sequence was aligned with the sequences in the GenBank database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), showing 100% similarity (1340/1340 identities) with the 16SrRNA gene of the *M. arupense* strain AR30097 (DQ157760), 99.9% similarity (1339/1340) with the *M. arupense* strain CST7052 (AB239926) and 99.8% similarity (1337/1340) with the *M. arupense* strain
Agarose gel electrophoresis of fragments produced by isolate FI-05354 and 99% similarity was first identified by Cloud is a novel mycobacterium, its resistance status and its strains. In GeneRuler 50-bp DNA ladder (Fermentas), markers pBR322 DNA-MspI Digest (New England Biolabs) and BstEII digestion of the PCR amplification products of the hsp65 gene sequences were deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers FJ403044 and FJ263631, respectively.

The PCR product of hsp65 was further used for RFLP analysis. PCR product (12 μL) was digested with HaeIII (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) and BstEII (New England Biolabs), and the restriction mixture was run on 3.5% metaphor agarose gel (Cambrex Bioscience, Rockland, ME, USA) at 100 V. BstEII digestion produced two fragments of 325 and 115 bp, and HaeIII digestion produced four fragments of 145, 60, 50 and 40 bp [Figure 1].

Susceptibility testing of the isolate was performed using the E-test method (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The antibiotics tested and the minimum inhibitory concentrations obtained (in parenthesis) were: amikacin (1 μg/ml), ciprofloxacin (4 μg/ml), clarithromycin (0.25 μg/ml), ethambutol (0.032 μg/ml), ethionamid (0.25 μg/ml), linezolid (8 μg/ml), levofloxacin (4 μg/ml), rifampicin (0.5 μg/ml), streptomycin (1 μg/ml) and trimethoprim-sulfomethoxazol (>32 μg/ml). The results were evaluated as previously described.[4,9-11] According to available breakpoints, susceptibility was found for amikacin, clarithromycin, ethambutol, ethionamid, linezolid and streptomycin whereas, resistance was found for ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfomethazol.

**Discussion**

*Mycobacterium arupense* is a novel mycobacterium species. The present study is the first report from Greece and among the first worldwide to document its recovery from clinical samples. In the present study the biochemical profile of *Mycobacterium arupense*, its resistance status and its hsp65 RFLP banding pattern were also presented.

*M. arupense* was first identified by Cloud *et al.* in several clinical specimens, mainly sputa and bronchial wash specimens.[2] Moreover, it was isolated from sterile sites (lymph node, lung biopsy, pleural fluid, surgical tissue and urine), indicating that *M. arupense* can be the cause of human disease.[3] However, the authors noted that additional information was needed to gain insight into the clinical significance of this organism. In the report by Masaki *et al.*, *M. arupense* was isolated in two specimens from Japanese men: (a) sputum from a 60-year-old patient with an abnormal shadow by indirect x-ray and (b) pus from a 68-year-old patient with purulent tendovaginitis.[5] The latter patient recovered after the operation and the antimicrobial treatment administered, leading the authors to suggest that the organism was clinically relevant.[5] Tsai *et al.* (2008) described the case of a 54-year-old woman with swelling and pain of her left middle finger and a tender nodule in the middle of her palm.[4] Six months earlier, she had been involved in a motorcycle traffic accident and noted a mildly tender nodule on her left palm one month later. A specimen was obtained by incision and the culture grew a mycobacterium species that was later identified as *M. arupense* by sequencing. The patient received a multi-drug treatment for six months, and her condition improved.[4]

The principal issue concerning non-tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) is whether the presence of an NTM is merely colonization or the cause of disease. Many physicians make this determination according to the American Thoracic Society (ATS) criteria,[32] which require three positive cultures from sputum samples to characterize an NTM as the cause of the disease. However, it should be noted that in everyday hospital practice the documentation called for by the ATS criteria is hard to achieve. Patients with pulmonary infections are admitted to hospitals, where routine pulmonary samples are taken for common bacterial cultures. In certain cases only one sample is sent for mycobacterial culture. Usually, immediately after sample collection, an empiric antibiotic treatment is administered. This happened with our patient. In most cases the empiric treatment includes quinolones and/or MLS (Macrolides, Lincosamides, Synergystins), which are usually effective against the majority of NTMs. Thus, even if cultures for common bacteria are negative and result in additional samples being sent for mycobacterial cultures, these cultures...
are also likely be negative.

It should be noted that although physicians include mycobacteriosis in their differential diagnosis list when tending to patients with a recurrent fever, and despite the fact that patients suffering from mycobacterial disease usually are not acutely ill, physicians usually do not apply the proper protocol for sample collection. Such a protocol should be: one sample on arrival, one sample the following morning and a third sample when the second specimen is delivered to the laboratory.

Based on the ATS criteria, the case presented in this study should be characterized as one of colonization with only one isolation of the strain. However, the fact that no other bacterium (either common or mycobacterium) was isolated and the fact that the patient improved clinically with the administered antibiotic therapy suggest that the possibility of infection due to \textit{M. arupense} cannot be ruled out. Nevertheless, \textit{M. arupense} is a new mycobacterium and further data are required for its pathogenic potential to be assessed.
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